

Mechanics - Lecture 13 - 5/2/2018 (pg 13.4 corrected)

Are there alternatives besides (a) exact evaln of integrals, in the simplest settings (eg start of Lecture 12) and (b) numerical soln by Markov chain Monte Carlo (eg w/out of Lecture 12)?

Yes: two further alternatives are

- "mean field theory"
  - advantage: relatively elementary
  - disadvantage: gives estimate of uncontrolled validity
- "real space renormalization"
  - advantage: relatively systematic
  - disadvantage: more complicated.

Since our time is limited, I'll discuss only mean field theory, following (more or less) Baxter's §3.7-3.8. (For a quick intro to real space renormalization see §5.6 of Chorin + Hald.)

Start by explaining the main idea, which is "variational approx". If calcns are inconvenient using the real  $H$ , we can ask whether there's a simpler  $H_0$  (for which

calculations are easier) that provides a good model.

How to assess "goodness" of  $H_0$  as a model? Observe that if  $Z_0 =$  partition fn of  $H_0$ ,  $Z =$  partition fn of  $H$ , then (using cont's notation)

$$\frac{Z}{Z_0} = \frac{\int e^{-\beta H}}{Z_0} = \frac{\int e^{-\beta(H-H_0)} e^{-\beta H_0}}{Z_0} = \langle e^{-\beta(H-H_0)} \rangle_0$$

where  $\langle \rangle_0$  denotes avg wrt canonical distn of  $H_0$ .

But  $e^{-\beta x}$  is a convex fn of  $x$ ; so by Jensen's inequality

$$e^{-\beta \langle H-H_0 \rangle_0} \leq \langle e^{-\beta(H-H_0)} \rangle_0$$

whence

$$\frac{Z}{Z_0} \geq e^{-\beta \langle H-H_0 \rangle_0}$$

Writing  $Z = e^{-\beta F}$ ,  $Z_0 = e^{-\beta F_0}$ , we can write this as

$$F \leq F_0 + \langle H-H_0 \rangle_0$$

We usually wouldn't know the LHS, but if we have a family of candidates for  $H_0$ , the one that minimizes the RHS is apparently "best".

Example, to show how this works: mean field theory for the 2D Ising model:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{(i,j) + \\ (j,i) \text{ are} \\ \text{nearest neighbors}}} s_i s_j - B \sum_i s_i$$

(Here  $s_i = \pm 1$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and  $1 \leq j \leq N$ ;  $B$  is an "applied field" which favors  $s_i = 1$  if  $B > 0$  and  $s_i = -1$  if  $B < 0$ ).

I mentioned @ end of Lecture 12 (for  $B=0$ , but it's also true for  $B \neq 0$ ) that for low temp (large  $\beta$ ) the canonical density is concentrated near the uniform states (of course for  $B > 0$ , the part near  $s_i = 1$  is more likely).

How does mean field theory work in this case? Use  $H_0 = -B^* \sum_i s_i$ . Suppose "best"  $B^*$  is  $B + \hat{B}$ ; then quadratic term in  $H$  is being minimized by "mean field"  $\hat{B}$ .

How to find "best"  $B^*$ ? I'll do this calcn for  $B=0$  (Bubler permits any  $B$ , but key ideas are already present when  $B=0$ ).

Key pt that makes this computable: in canonical distn for  $H_0 = -B^* \sum_i s_{ij}$  the spins are independent

step 1: Calculate  $Z_0$ . If there were just one spin  $Z_0$  would be  $e^{-\beta B^*} + e^{\beta B^*} = 2 \cosh(\beta B^*)$ . For  $N^2$  indep spins this becomes

$$Z_0 = [2 \cosh(\beta B^*)]^{N^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow F_0 = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_0 = -\frac{N^2}{\beta} \ln (2 \cosh(\beta B^*))$$

step 2: Calculate  $\langle H - H_0 \rangle_0$  taking  $B=0$   
This is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{neighbors } f} \langle s_{ij} s_{ij'} \rangle_0 - B^* \sum_i \langle s_{ij} \rangle_0$$

Since  $\{s_{ij}\}$  are indep in canonical distn for  $H_0$ ,

$$\langle s_{ij} s_{ij'} \rangle_0 = \langle s_{ij} \rangle_0^2$$

Recall now that  $\langle H_0 \rangle_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z_0(\beta)$

which becomes

$$-N^2 B^\# \langle s_{ij} \rangle_0 = -N^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln(2 \cosh \beta B^\#)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle s_{ij} \rangle_0 = -\tanh(\beta B^\#)$$

$$\text{So } \langle H - H_0 \rangle_0 = -2N^2 [\tanh(\beta B^\#)]^2 + N^2 B^\# \tanh(\beta B^\#)$$

Thus (cancelling a common factor of  $N^2$ ) minimization of  $F_0 + \langle H - H_0 \rangle_0$  is equivalent to

$$\min_{B^\#} \frac{-1}{\beta} \ln(2 \cosh \beta B^\#) - 2 [\tanh(\beta B^\#)]^2 + B^\# \tanh(\beta B^\#)$$

Deriv vanishes when

$$B^\# = 4 \tanh(\beta B^\#)$$

If  $\beta$  is large there are 3 solns ( $B^\# = 0$  + two more); the min is at the nonzero solns (which are equal up to sign).

Since  $\langle s_{ij} \rangle_0 = -\tanh(\beta B^\#)$ , we see that the predicted value of  $\langle s_{ij} \rangle_0$  is nonzero (with two distinct possible values) when  $\beta$  is large.

(Of course, for Canonical distn assoc  
 $H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} s_{ij} s_{ij}$ . The canonical distn is  
 symmetric abt exchanging  $+1$  and  $-1$ , so  
 $\langle s_{ij} \rangle$  is zero. But non-uniqueness of  $B^\#$   
 reflects the fact that Canonical distn  
 consists of two symmetry-related masses,  
 one near  $s_{ij} \equiv 1$  and one near  $s_{ij} \equiv -1$ .)