

# ODE Oral Exam Notes 2008

Chaitanya Ekanadham

## 1 Existence and uniqueness

### 1.1 Definitions

ODE/IVP, Lipschitz,  $C_1$ , local/global solution, method of successive approx.,

### 1.2 Useful Theorems

**Theorem 1.** Gronwall's lemma

Suppose  $g, \beta$  are nonneg. cnts functions and  $g(t) \leq \alpha + \int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)g(s)ds$  on some interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g(t) \leq \alpha e^{\int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)ds}$  on  $I$ .

**Depends on:** FTOC

**Proof idea:** Look at the function  $f(t) = \exp(-\int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)ds) \int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)g(s)ds$ .

Since  $f'(t) \leq \alpha \beta(t) \exp(-\int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)ds)$  it follows by FTOC that  $f(t) \leq \int_{\tau}^t \alpha \beta(s) \exp(-\int_{\tau}^s \beta(r)dr) ds$ .

Bound  $\int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)g(s)ds = e^{\int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)ds} f(t)$  above by  $\alpha e^{\int_{\tau}^t \beta(s)ds}$  using this inequality.

□

**Theorem 2.** Picard-Lindelof existence/uniqueness theorem

Suppose  $f : (I \times U) \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous in  $(t, y)$  and uniformly Lipschitz in  $y$ . Then  $\forall (\tau, \xi) \in (I \times U)$ , the ODE  $[\dot{y} = f(t, y)$  with  $y(\tau) = \xi]$  has a unique solution on  $\{t \in I : |t - \tau| < \alpha\}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Depends on:** Completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ , Gronwall's lemma (for uniqueness)

**Proof idea:** 5 steps:

1. Choose  $a, b$  s.t.  $\{t : |t - \tau| < a\} \subseteq I$  and  $\{y : |y - \xi| < b\} \subseteq U$ . Let  $\alpha = \min a, \frac{b}{M}$  where  $M$  is an upper bound of  $|f|$  on a closed set in  $I \times U$  (so that  $f$  can't get outside of  $U$  on a small interval around  $\tau$ ).
2. Set  $\varphi_0(t) = \xi$  and  $\forall n \geq 0$  define  $\varphi_{n+1}(t) = \xi + \int_{\tau}^t f(s, \varphi_n(s))ds$ . The idea is that a fixed point of this iterative process must be a solution to the ODE (verify using FTOC). So it suffices to show that the sequence  $\varphi_n$  converges to a limit function  $\varphi$  that is a fixed point.

3. Show by induction that  $\forall k \geq 0, |\varphi_{k+1}(t) - \varphi_k(t)| \leq \frac{MC^k |t-\tau|^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$  where  $C$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $f$ . So  $\varphi_n(t)$  converges uniformly and absolutely to some conts  $\varphi(t)$  on  $\{t \in I : |t - \tau| < \alpha\}$ .
4. Show that  $\varphi(t)$  is a fixed point of the process, i.e. that  $|\varphi(t) - \int_{\tau}^t f(s, \varphi(s)) ds| = 0$  by expanding  $\varphi(t) = \lim \varphi_n(t)$  and using the uniform convergence and Lipschitz properties.
5. Show uniqueness by taking two solutions  $\varphi, \Psi$  that are fixed points of the iteration and showing that  $|\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| = 0$  using Gronwall's lemma.

□

**Theorem 3.** Cauchy-Peano existence theorem

Suppose  $f : (I \times U) \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous in  $(t, y)$ . Then  $\forall (\tau, \xi) \in (I \times U)$ , the ODE  $[y' = f(t, y)$  with  $y(\tau) = \xi]$  has a unique solution on  $\{t \in I : |t - \tau| < \alpha\}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Depends on:** Arzela-Ascoli theorem

**Proof idea:** The basic idea is to define a family of approximate solutions  $\{\varphi_{\epsilon_n}(t)\}$ , extract a convergent subsequence, and show that the limit function is a solution.

1. Define  $\varphi_{\epsilon_n}(t) = \xi + 1_{t>\tau} [\int_{\tau}^t f(s, \varphi_{\epsilon}(s - \epsilon)) ds]$ .
2. Show that  $\{\varphi_{\epsilon_n}(t)\}$  are uniformly bounded on some interval containing  $\tau$ . Choose  $\alpha$  small s.t.  $\varphi_{\epsilon}(t)$  does not exit  $U$  on  $\{t \in I : |t - \tau| < \alpha\}$  and also s.t.  $|f| \leq M$  on  $\{(t, \varphi_{\epsilon}(t)) : \tau \leq t \leq \tau + \alpha\}$ .
3. Show that  $\{\varphi_{\epsilon_n}(t)\}$  are equicontinuous by showing that they are unif. Lipschitz (because  $|\varphi'_{\epsilon}(t)| \leq |f| \leq M$ ).
4. Apply Arzela-Ascoli theorem to extract a subsequence  $(\varphi_{n_j}(t)) \rightarrow \varphi(t) \forall t \in [\tau, \tau + \alpha]$ .
5. Show that  $\varphi(t)$  is a fixed point of the iterative process using similar tricks to previous Theorem (use triangle inequality and  $\epsilon, \delta$  argument).
6. Do the same for  $[\tau - \alpha, \alpha]$ .

□

### 1.3 Important examples

1. Continuity of  $f$  alone is not sufficient for uniqueness. Consider the ODE  $\dot{y}(t) = |y(t)|^{1/2}$  on  $t \in [0, 1]$  with  $y(0) = 0$ .  $y(t) \equiv 0$  and  $y(t) = \frac{t^2}{4}$  are both solutions.
2. The Lipschitz condition on  $f$  is not necessary (example here).
3. An ODE can have EXACTLY

## 2 Properties/extension of solutions

### 2.1 Definitions

### 2.2 Useful Theorems

**Theorem 1.** Continuation of solutions

Suppose  $y(t)$  is a solution to the ODE  $[\dot{y} = f(t, y)$  with  $y(\tau) = \xi]$  on  $t \in (a, b)$ . Let  $D = I \times U$  be the domain of  $f$ . If  $f$  is bounded on  $D$ , then:

1.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} y(t)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} y(t)$  exist
2.  $(a, \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} y(t)) \in D$  and/or  $(b, \lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} y(t)) \in D \Rightarrow y(t)$  can be continued to the right and/or left.

**Depends on:** completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$  i.e. cauchy criterion

**Proof idea:** Consider two points  $t_1 < t_2 \in (a, b)$  approaching  $a^+$ .  $|y(t_1) - y(t_2)| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |f(s, y(s))| ds \leq M|t_2 - t_1| \rightarrow 0$  as they both approach  $a$  (Likewise for  $t_1, t_2 \rightarrow b^-$ ). By cauchy criterion, they  $y(t)$  has a finite limit  $y_a$  at  $a$ . If  $(a, y_a) \in D$ , one can verify that the function  $\tilde{y}(t)$  extending  $y(t)$  to  $[a, b)$  also satisfies the integral form of the ODE. Reapplying Cauchy-Peano at the point  $(a, y_a)$  gives the extension.  $\square$

**Remark:** The only case where continuation fails is if the finite limit is not in  $\text{Domain}(f)$  or if  $f$  is unbounded (i.e. finite-time blowup). See example below.

**Theorem 2.** (Continuity w.r.t. initial conditions/parameters)

Suppose  $f : D = (I \times U) \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and Lipschitz in  $(t, y)$ . Suppose  $\psi(t)$  is a solution to the ODE  $[\dot{y} = f(t, y)]$ . Then  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall (\tau, \xi) \in V_\delta \equiv \{(\tau', \xi') : \tau' \in (a, b), |\xi' - \psi(\tau')| < \delta\}, \exists$  a unique soln  $\varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$

on  $(a, b)$  with  $\varphi$  cnts in  $(t, \tau, \xi)$  on  $(a, b) \times V_\delta$ .

**Depends on:** Gronwall, continuation of solution, successive approximation, uniform limit of cnts fns is cnts

**Proof idea:** 3 steps:

1. Choose  $\delta' > 0$  s.t.  $V_\delta \subseteq D$  (one must exist). Choose  $\delta < \delta' e^{-K(b-a)}$  where  $K$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $f$ . We know that  $\forall (\tau, \xi) \in V_\delta, \exists!$  a soln  $\varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$  on some small interval containing  $\tau$ .
2. We can show that  $|\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| \leq |\xi - \psi(\tau)| + K \int_\tau^t |\varphi(s) - \psi(s)| ds$   $\forall t$  where  $\varphi$  is defined (just expand  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  in their recursive integral form). Apply Gronwall's lemma to show that  $|\varphi - \psi| \leq \delta' \Rightarrow \varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$  can be continued throughout  $(a, b)$  (since  $V_{\delta'} \subseteq D$ ).
3. Show  $\varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$  is cnts by showing it is the uniform limit of the sequence of cnts fns defined by:

$$\varphi_0(t, \tau, \xi) = \psi(t) - \psi(\tau) + \xi, \varphi_{n+1}(t, \tau, \xi) = \xi + \int_\tau^t f(s, \varphi_n(s, \tau, \xi)) ds.$$

Method is similar to before -

- (a) Show by induction that  $\forall n \geq 0, |\varphi_{n+1}(t) - \varphi_n(t)| \leq \frac{K^{n+1} |t - \tau|^{n+1} |\xi - \psi(\tau)|}{(n+1)!}$ . This shows uniform convergence.
- (b) Also NTS that  $|\varphi_n(t) - \psi| \leq \delta'$  so that  $\varphi_n(t)$  are all defined on  $(a, b)$  (do this by expanding  $\varphi_n(t)$  as a telescoping sum). Thus the uniform limit  $\varphi(t)$  satisfies desired ODE.

□

**Remark:** This extends the case where  $f = f(t, y, \mu)$  depends on some parameter  $\mu$  using  $V_\delta = \{(\tau', \xi', \mu') : \tau' \in (a, b), |\xi' - \psi(\tau')| + |\mu' - \mu'_0| < \delta\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** (Differentiability w.r.t. initial conditions/parameters)

Let  $f, D, \psi, \varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$  be as in previous theorem and suppose also that  $J(t, y) = \left[ \frac{df_i(t, y)}{dx_j} \right]_{i,j}$  exists and is cnts on  $D$ . Then  $\varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$  is  $C^1$  and  $\det \left[ \frac{d\varphi_i(t, \tau, \xi)}{d\xi_j} \right]_{i,j} = \exp\left(\int_\tau^t \text{tr} J(s, \varphi(s)) ds\right)$ .

**Depends on:**

**Proof idea:** Proof is complicated. Refer to Thm 7.2 in Coddington. Very briefly:

1. To show  $\frac{d\varphi_i(t,\tau,\xi)}{d\xi_j}$  exists, we note that it is the solution to the linear (matrix-valued) ODE  $[\dot{y}(t) = J(t, \varphi(t, \tau, \xi))y]$  (Plug in solution, switch order of differentiation, and apply chain rule).
2. The det part follows from next theorem

□

**Theorem 4.** (Properties of matrix-valued solutions to ODEs)

Suppose  $A(t)$  is a cnts  $n \times n$  matrix and that  $\Phi(t)$  is a matrix-valued function satisfying the matrix ODE  $[\Phi'(t) = A(t)\Phi(t) \forall t \in [a, b]$ . Then  $[\det \Phi(t)]' = (\text{tr} A(t)) \det \Phi(t)$ . As a consequence,  $\det \Phi(t) = \det \Phi(\tau) \exp(\int_{\tau}^t \text{tr} A(s) ds)$ .

*Depends on:*

**Proof idea:** Pure computation with some tricks. Use the permutation expansion of  $\det \Phi(t)$  and then take  $\frac{d}{dt}$  by repeated applying product rule to

get that  $[\det \Phi(t)]' = \sum_{j=1}^n \det \Phi_j(t)$  where  $\Phi_j(t)$  is obtained by replacing the

$j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $\Phi(t)$ ,  $[\Phi_{j*}(t)]$  with  $[\Phi'_{j*}(t)] = [\sum_{k=1}^n a_{*k}(t)\Phi_{kj}(t)]$ . Perform row operations to normalize  $j^{\text{th}}$  row to  $[a_{jj}(t)\Phi_{j*}(t)] \Rightarrow \det \Phi_j(t) = a_{jj}(t) \det \Phi(t)$ , giving the result. □

## 2.3 Important examples

1. Finite-time blow up (where continuation fails): consider the ODE  $[\dot{y} = y^2]$  with  $y(1) = -1$ . The solution on  $(0, \infty)$  is  $y(t) = -t^{-1}$ . But clearly  $f(t, y) = y^2$  is not bounded on  $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ , so the theorem cannot apply, which makes sense since the solution blows up at  $t = 0$ .

# 3 Linear/Autonomous ODE

## 3.1 Definitions

autonomous ODE, linear ODE, critical point, positive/negative attractor, node, saddle, focus, center, stable/unstable manifold, first integral, fundamental matrix, linearly independent solutions

### 3.2 Critical points in 2D linear systems

Consider the ODE  $\dot{x} = Ax$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  is a nonsingular matrix and  $x = (x_1, x_2) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$  and let  $A = SJS^{-1}$  be the Jordan decomposition of  $A$ . Consider the following cases at the critical point at  $x = 0$ :

1. **Node:**  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are real and have the same sign.
  - (a) **A diagonalizable:** look at  $z = S^{-1}x$ . Then  $\dot{z} = S^{-1}Ax = JS^{-1}x = Jz \Rightarrow z_j = c_j e^{\lambda_j t}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . If the eigenvalues are negative, 0 is a positive attractor since  $z(t) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x(t) = Sz(t) \rightarrow 0$ . Otherwise it is a negative attractor. Notice also that we have  $z_2 = C|z_1|^\alpha$  with  $\alpha > \lambda_2/\lambda_1 > 0$
  - (b) **A not diagonalizable:**  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  and solving for  $z$  gives  $z_2 = c_2 e^{\lambda t}$  and  $z_1 = c_1 e^{\lambda t} + c_2 t e^{\lambda t}$ . If  $\lambda < / > 0$ , 0 is again a positive/negative attractor.
2. **Saddle:**  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are real and  $\lambda_2 < 0 < \lambda_1$  (WLOG). Solving for  $z(t)$  again gives  $z_j(t) = c_j e^{\lambda_j t}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . So  $z_2 = cz_1^\beta$  with  $\beta < 0$ . So  $z_1 \rightarrow \pm\infty$  and  $z_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . There is a stable subspace  $E_S = y$ -axis and unstable subspace  $E_U = x$ -axis with  $\mathbb{R} = E_S \oplus E_U$ .
3. **Focus:**  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \mu \pm i\omega$  with  $\mu, \omega \neq 0$ . We can use the same transformation  $z = S^{-1}x$  to get complex solutions  $z_{1,2}(t) = c_{1,2} e^{\mu t} e^{\pm i\omega t}$ . So  $x = Sz_1$  is also a (possibly complex) solution. Noting that the real/imaginary part of a solution is again a solution (since  $A$  is real), we get solutions  $\Re Sz_1$  and  $\Im Sz_1$ . For  $\mu < / > 0$  these correspond to a spiral going radially inward/outward.
4. **Centre:**  $\lambda_{1,2} = \pm i\omega, \omega \neq 0$ . Following the same process above, we have solutions that are oscillatory. Since  $z_{1,2}(t)$  are  $2\pi$ -periodic, it follows that so are the solutions  $x_{1,2}(t)$ .

### 3.3 Useful Theorems

**Theorem 1.** Solutions to autonomous ODE's are phase-invariant  
Suppose  $x(t)$  solves the autonomous ODE  $\dot{y} = f(y)$ . Then  $\forall t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \hat{x}(t) = x(t - t_0)$  is also a solution.

**Depends on:** chain rule

**Proof idea:** follows directly from chain rule. □

**Theorem 2.** Let  $V$  be the set of solutions to the ODE  $[\dot{x} = A(t)x]$  where  $t \in I$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $A(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}$  is continuous. Then  $V$  is vector space of dimension  $n$ .

**Depends on:** uniqueness of solutions, linear independence in regular vector spaces

**Proof idea:** Clearly the basic properties of a vector space holds in  $V$  (linear combinations of solutions are also solutions). In addition we can construct a basis for  $V$  by taking a basis  $\{\xi_j\}$  for the range of the solution (e.g., the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Fixing  $\tau \in I$ , we have  $n$  unique solutions  $\varphi_j(t)$  to the ODE solving  $\varphi_j(\tau) = \xi_j$ . Then we can use the fact that  $\{\xi_j\}$  is a basis to show that  $V = \text{span}\{\varphi_j\}$  and that  $\{\varphi_j\}$  are linearly independent (simply evaluate at  $\tau$ ).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** (Necessary and sufficient conditions for a fundamental matrix) Suppose  $M(t)$  solves the matrix-valued ODE  $[\dot{M} = AM]$ . Then  $M$  is a fundamental matrix  $\Leftrightarrow \det M(\tau) \neq 0$  for some  $\tau \in I$ .

**Depends on:** uniqueness, linear algebra, property of the determinant of the solution of matrix-valued ODE's

**Proof idea:** Let  $\varphi_j(t)$  be the  $n$  columns of  $M$ .

1.  $\Rightarrow$ : Suppose  $M$  is a f.m. Then  $\sum c_j \varphi_j(t) = 0 \forall t \Rightarrow c_j = 0, \forall j$ . Fix  $\tau \in I$  and suppose  $\sum c_j \varphi_j(\tau) = 0$ . By uniqueness (of the zero solution), we have that  $\sum c_j \varphi_j(t) = 0 \forall t \in I \Rightarrow c_j = 0 \forall j$ . So  $\varphi_j(\tau)$  are l.i. and so  $\det M(\tau) \neq 0$ .
2.  $\Leftarrow$ : If  $\det M(\tau) \neq 0$  for some  $\tau \in I$ . By theorem 4 above we have  $\det M(t) \neq 0 \forall t \in I$ . Thus the  $\varphi_j(t)$ 's must be l.i. and so  $M$  is a f.m.

$\square$

**Theorem 4.** (Multiplication by nonsingular matrices preserves f.m.'s) Suppose  $\Phi(t)$  is a f.m. for the ODE  $\dot{y} = A(t)y$  and  $C$  is a nonsingular constant matrix. Then  $\Phi(t)C$  is a f.m. and every f.m. is of the form  $\Phi(t)\tilde{C}$  for some nonsingular  $\tilde{C}$ .

**Depends on:** linear algebra and matrix calculus, previous theorem

**Proof idea:** 1.  $\Rightarrow$ :  $(\Phi(t)C)' = A(\Phi(t)C)$  and  $(\Phi(t)C)$  is nonsingular since its determinant is the product of 2 nonzero determinants.

2.  $\Leftarrow$ : Take 2 f.m.'s  $\Phi_1(t)$  and  $\Phi_2(t)$ . Let  $\Psi(t) = \Phi_1^{-1}\Phi_2$  so that  $\Phi_2 = \Phi_1\Psi$ . Differentiating both sides wrt  $t$  (using product rule for RHS) and using the fact that  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are solutions, we get  $\Psi'(t) \equiv 0$  so  $\Psi$  is constant and is nonsingular since  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are. □

**Theorem 5.** (Adjoint systems)

Let  $\Phi(t)$  be a f.m. for the ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)y]$ . Then  $\Psi(t)$  is a f.m. for the ODE  $[\dot{y} = -A(t)^*y] \Leftrightarrow \Psi^*\Phi = C$  for some constant nonsingular matrix  $C$ .

**Depends on:** previous theorem

**Proof idea:** Use the fact that  $\Phi(t)\Phi(t)^{-1} = I \Rightarrow (\Phi(t)^{-1})' = -\Phi(t)^{-1}\Phi'(t)\Phi(t)^{-1} = -\Phi(t)^{-1}A(t) \Rightarrow \Phi^{-*}$  solves the adjoint system and apply the previous theorem (for both directions). □

**Remark:** If  $A$  is antisymmetric ( $A = -A^*$ ) then we have  $\Phi^*\Phi = C \Rightarrow$  all solutions have constant norm.

**Theorem 6.** (Solution for constant coefficient linear ODE)

Suppose  $A_{n \times n}$  is a matrix and  $\tau \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\varphi(t) = e^{(t-\tau)A}\xi$  is a solution to the ODE  $\dot{y} = Ay$  with  $y(\tau) = \xi$ .

**Depends on:** properties of the matrix exponential

**Proof idea:** Write out the definition of  $e^{(t-\tau)A}$  as infinite series, and differentiate the sum term-by-term. □

**Remark:**  $e^A$  is typically computed by writing  $A = SJS^{-1} \Rightarrow e^A = Se^J S^{-1}$  where  $J$  is the Jordan form. If  $J$  is a Jordan block (with  $\lambda$  on the diagonal and 1's on the superdiagonal) then  $e^{tJ}$  has the same term  $t^r e^{\lambda t}/r!$  on the  $r$ 'th superdiagonal.

**Theorem 7.** (Reduction of order for linear ODE's)

Let  $A(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}$  and suppose  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  are  $m$  linearly independent solutions to the ODE  $\dot{y} = Ay$  with  $m < n$ . Then we can reduce the problem of finding  $n$  linearly independent solutions the ODE by solving another ODE of dimension  $n - m$ .

**Depends on:** manipulations

**Proof idea:** 4 steps:

1. Construct the  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  to have  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^m$  as the first  $m$  columns and the remaining columns as  $\{e_j\}_{j=m+1}^n$ . WLOG we can assume that the upper-left  $m \times m$  submatrix has nonzero determinant on some interval  $\tilde{I} \subseteq I$ .
2. Make the change of variables  $x = My$  to get the new ODE  $U'y + Uy' = AUy$ . Expand this out separately for the first  $m$  rows and the latter  $n - m$  rows. Use the fact that  $\varphi_j$ 's are solutions to simplify the equations.
3. The first system will allow you to solve  $\{y'_j\}_{j=1}^m$  in terms of  $\{\varphi_{ij}, a_{ik}, y_k\}_{k=m+1}^n$ .
4. Plugging these into the second system results in a linear ODE system of  $n - m$  variables  $\{y_j\}_{j=m+1}^n$ .

□

**Theorem 8.** (Solution for nonhomogeneous linear ODEs)

Let  $A(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}$  and  $b(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then if  $\Phi(t)$  is a f.m. for the linear ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)y]$  then  $\varphi(t) = \Phi(t)[\xi + \int_{\tau}^t \Phi^{-1}(s)b(s)ds]$  is a solution to the the ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)x + b(t), y(\tau) = \xi]$ .

**Depends on:**

**Proof idea:** Guess a solution of the nohomogeneous ODE of the form  $\varphi(t) = \Phi(t)\gamma(t)$  where  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Plug in to get the constraint  $\gamma'(t) = \Phi^{-1}(t)b(t)$  and integrate to get the desired form of  $\gamma(t)$ .

□

### 3.4 Important examples

1. A time-dependent matrix  $A(t)$  can have linearly independent columns but  $\det A(t) \equiv 0 \forall t$ . Consider  $\varphi_1(t) = (t, 0)^T$  and  $\varphi_2(t) = (t^2, 0)^T$ . The point of the above theorem is that such matrices are not fundamental matrices for ODE's.
- 2.
- 3.

## 4 Linear systems with periodic coefficients

### 4.1 Definitions:

characteristic/Floquet multipliers + exponents, 'log' of a matrix

### 4.2 Useful theorems:

**Theorem 1.** (Factorization of f.m.'s for periodic systems)

Suppose  $A(t)$  is  $T$ -periodic matrix. Then  $\exists$  a  $T$ -periodic matrix  $P(t)$  and a constant matrix  $R$  s.t.  $\Phi(t) = P(t)e^{tR}$  is a f.m. for the ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)y]$ .

**Depends on:** Nonsingular conjugation of f.m.'s, invariance to phase-shift for periodic systems

**Proof idea:**  $\Phi(t)$  is an f.m.  $\Rightarrow \Phi(t+T)$  is an f.m. Therefore  $\Phi(t+T) = \Phi(t)C$  for some nonsingular constant matrix  $C$ . Find a 'log' of  $C$ ,  $R$  s.t.  $C = e^{tR}$ , define  $P(t) = \Phi(t)e^{-tR}$ . One can check that  $P(t) = P(t+T)$  and clearly  $P(t)e^{tR} = \Phi(t)$ .

□

**Remark:**

1. One can derive the log of a nonsingular matrix by separately taking the log of each Jordan block. Since the eigenvalues are nonzero, we can always define the complex logarithm  $\log z = \ln|z| + i \arg z$ . For diagonal matrices the log is obvious, and for blocks with 1's on the superdiagonal and  $\lambda$ 's on the diagonal, write  $J = (\lambda I)(I + D)$  where  $D$  has zeros everywhere except  $\lambda^{-1}$  along the superdiagonal. Then use  $\log(1+z) = z - z^2/2 + z^3/3 - \dots$  to compute  $\log(I + D)$ .
2. If we take another f.m.  $\hat{\Phi}(t)$  s.t.  $\hat{\Phi}(t)C = \Phi(t)$  then applying the same procedure in the proof gives  $\hat{P}hi(t+T) = \hat{\Phi}(t)[Ce^{tR}C^{-1}]$  Thus all f.m.'s result in a family of similar matrices ( $e^{tR}$ ) with unique nonzero eigenvalues called characteristic multipliers.

**Theorem 2.** (Properties of Floquet exponents/multipliers)

Suppose  $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{n \times n}$  is  $T$ -periodic and let  $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^n$ ,  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be the Floquet multipliers and Floquet exponents of the ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)y]$ , respectively.

Then  $\prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j = e^{\int_0^T \text{tr}(A(s))ds}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \text{tr}(A(s))ds \pmod{\frac{2\pi i}{T}}$ .

**Depends on:** Properties of the determinant of an f.m.

**Proof idea:** Let  $\Phi(t)$  be an f.m. of the above ODE with  $\Phi(0) = I$ . From a previous theorem we have that  $\det \Phi(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \text{tr}(A(s))ds\right)$ . Evaluating at  $t = T$  and noting that  $\det \Phi(T) = \det(P(T)) \det(e^{TR}) = \prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j$  (since  $P(T) = P(0) = I$ ) gives the first result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** (Linearization of autonomous ODE about a periodic solution)

Suppose  $\varphi(t)$  is a  $T$ -periodic solution to the autonomous ODE  $[\dot{y} = f(y)]$ . Then 1 is a floquet multiplier of the ODE  $[\dot{y} = \frac{df}{dx}|_{\varphi(t)}y]$  obtained via linearization around  $\varphi(t)$ .

**Depends on:** Previous theorem

**Proof idea:** Noting that  $\dot{\varphi}(t)$  solves the linearized ODE (by the chain rule), and that the linearized ODE has  $A(t) = \frac{df}{dx}(\varphi(t))$   $T$ -periodic, we can see that since we can complete an FM  $\Phi(t)$  whose first column is  $\dot{\varphi}(t)$  and use the fact that  $\Phi(t+T) = \Phi(t)C \Rightarrow 1$  is an eigenvalue of  $C = e^{TR} \Rightarrow 1$  is a floquet exponent.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** (Wronskian and linear independence of solutions for special systems)

Suppose  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^n$  are  $n$  solutions to the ODE  $[L_n y = 0]$  where  $L_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j \frac{d^{n-j}}{dt^{n-j}}$  is the linear differential operator. Then  $\{\varphi_j\}$  are linearly independent  $\Leftrightarrow W(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(t) \neq 0 \forall t \in I$ .

**Depends on:** properties of fundamental matrices

**Proof idea:** First note that the matrix which the Wronskian is a determinant of is a fundamental matrix iff the solutions are LI. By the linearity of the differential operator, clearly the functions  $\{\varphi_j(t)\}_{j=1}^n$  are LD  $\Leftrightarrow$  the vector-valued functions  $\{\hat{\varphi}_j(t)\}_{j=1}^n$ , with the  $k$ th component being the  $k$ th derivative, are LD  $\Leftrightarrow W(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(\tau) \neq 0$  for some  $\tau \in I \Leftrightarrow W \neq 0 \forall t \in I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** (Wronskian gives the equation for a given solution)

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be  $C^n$  functions on  $I$  with  $W(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(t) \neq 0$  on  $I$ . Then there exists a unique homogeneous differential equation of order  $n$  for which the

matrix  $\Phi(t)$  formed by taking the  $j$ th column as  $(\varphi_j \varphi_j' \dots \varphi_j^{(n-1)})^T$  is a fundamental matrix. The ODE is:

$$(-1)^n \frac{W(x, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)}{W(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)} = 0.$$

**Depends on:** previous theorem

**Proof idea:** Linear independence of the  $\varphi_j$ 's comes from the previous theorem and the assumption that  $W(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) \neq 0$  on  $I$ . Clearly each  $\varphi_j$  solves the equation. Also by an expansion of  $W(x, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  in the first column shows that is an  $n$ th order ODE of the desired type, i.e.  $\sum a_j x^{(j)} = 0$  with  $a_n = 1$ . Uniqueness comes from the fact that the  $\varphi$ 's are a basis for the solution space, and so the coefficient matrix is determined uniquely ( $Phi(t) = A(t)\Phi(t) \Rightarrow A(t) = Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(t)$ ).

□

**Theorem 6.** (Nonhomogeneous solutions with Wronskians)

Suppose  $\{\varphi_j(t)\}_{j=1}^n$  are  $n$  LI solutions to the system  $L_n y = 0$ . Then a solution to the nonhomogeneous system  $[L_n y = b(t), y(\tau) = \xi]$  is  $\psi(t) =$

$$\psi_h(t) + \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(t) \int_{\tau}^t \frac{W_K(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(s)}{W(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(s)} b(s) ds$$

where  $\psi_h$  is the unique solution to the homogeneous system with the same initial condition and  $W_k$  is the same as the  $W$  except the  $k$ th column is replaced by  $[0, \dots, 0, 1]^T$ .

**Depends on:** previous theorem on nonhomogeneous linear ODE, properties of Wronskian

**Proof idea:** This is just reinterpreting  $L_n y = b(t)$  as a system of ODE's in  $n$  dimensions, applying the theorem for nonhomogeneous linear ODE, and using the Cramer's representation of the matrix inverse to write the coefficients using the Wronskian

□

### 4.3 Important examples:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## 5 Stability

**Theorem 1.** (Stability of solutions to constant coeff linear systems)

Consider the 0 solution to the ODE  $[y' = Ay]$  for some matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with

eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ . Then:

1.  $\Re\lambda_k < 0 \forall k \Rightarrow$  the 0 solution is asymptotically stable
2.  $\Re\lambda_k \leq 0 \forall k$  and  $\lambda_k$  with 0 real part are nondefective  $\Rightarrow$  the 0 solution is stable
3.  $\exists k$  s.t.  $\Re\lambda_k > 0$  or a defective  $\lambda_k$  with 0 real part  $\Rightarrow$  the 0 solution is unstable

**Depends on:** Jordan form and solution to constant coeff linear systems

**Proof idea:** This follows directly from the fact that the solution is of the form  $\varphi(t) = \xi S e^{tJ} S^{-1}$  where  $A = SJS^{-1}$ . Clearly in the first 2 cases,  $\|e^{tJ}\| \rightarrow 0$  whereas in the last case, it diverges.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** (Stability of solutions to perturbed constant coeff linear systems)

Consider the 0 solution to the ODE  $[\dot{y} = Ay + B(t)y + f(t, y)]$  for some matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ . Suppose the following hold:

1.  $\|B(t)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$
2.  $\frac{|f(t, y)|}{|y|} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|y| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $t$

Then if  $\Re\lambda_k < 0 \forall k$ , the 0 solution is asymptotically stable. Furthermore, convergence is exponential, i.e.  $\exists C, \delta, \mu$  s.t.  $|y(t_0)| < \delta \Rightarrow |y(t)| \leq C e^{-\mu(t-t_0)} \forall t \geq t_0$ .

**Depends on:** Previous theorem, Duhummel-type formula, Gronwall's Lemma

**Proof idea:** By the assumptions we can show that  $\|e^{tA}\| \leq C e^{-\mu t}$  where  $\mu = \max\{\lambda_k\} < 0$ . We can write the solution as:

$$\varphi(t) = e^{(t-\tau)A} \varphi(\tau) + \int_{\tau}^t e^{(t-s)A} [B(s) + f(s, \varphi(s))] ds.$$

Choose  $T$  s.t.  $t \geq T \Rightarrow \|B(t)\| \leq \epsilon$  and choose  $\eta$  s.t.  $|x| < \eta \Rightarrow |f(t, x)| \leq \epsilon|x|$ . Take absolute value of above expression and bound the part of the integrand in brackets by  $2\epsilon|\varphi(s)|$ . Then apply Gronwall's lemma to the function  $g(t) = e^{\mu(t-\tau)}|\varphi(t)|$  to get that:  $|\varphi(t)| \leq C|\varphi(\tau)|e^{(2\epsilon C - \mu)(t-\tau)}$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** Any solution of the ODE thus goes to 0 exponentiall, i.e.

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\varphi(t)|}{t} \leq -\mu.$$

**Theorem 3.** (Stability of solutions to periodic coeff linear systems)

Consider the 0 solution to the ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)y]$  for some periodic matrix  $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The same exact stability results hold above if we substitute 'eigenvalues of the coeff matrix' with the Floquet exponents.

**Depends on:** Previous theorem, change of variable

**Proof idea:** We reduce the ODE to a linear constant coeff. ODE by guessing a solution of the form  $y(t) = P(t)x(t)$  where  $\Phi(t) = P(t)e^{tR}$  is the decomposition of the f.m. for the original ODE. The result is the ODE  $[\dot{x} = Rx]$ , and we apply the above theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** (Stability of solutions to perturbed periodic coeff linear systems)

Consider the 0 solution to the ODE  $[\dot{y} = A(t)y + B(t)y + f(t, y)]$  for some matrix  $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is  $T$ -periodic and the same assumptions on  $B(t)$  and  $f(t, y)$  hold. Then if the characteristic exponents of the system all have negative real part, the 0 solution is asymptotically stable.

**Depends on:** Previous theorem, decomposition of the fundamental matrix for periodic systems, Change of variables

**Proof idea:** Let  $\Phi(t) = P(t)e^{tB}$  be the decomposed fundamental matrix for the linear system. Guess a solution  $x(t) = P(t)y(t)$  for the nonlinear system. Substituting it in and manipulating (product rule etc.) gives an ODE for  $y(t)$  of the form:  $\dot{y} = By + P^{-1}F(t, P(t)y(t))$ . Apply the previous theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** (Instability of solutions to perturbed constant coeff linear systems)

Consider the 0 solution to the ODE  $[\dot{y} = Ay + B(t)y + f(t, y)]$  for some matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$  with the same restrictions on  $B, f$ . Then if  $\exists k$  s.t.  $\Re \lambda_k > 0$ , the 0 solution is unstable.

**Depends on:** dependencies...

**Proof idea:** Factor  $A = SJS^{-1}$  and take  $y = S^{-1}x$ . Then  $\dot{y} = Jy + S^{-1}F(t, Sy)$ . Let  $R^2$  and  $\rho^2$  be the sum of squared-norms of the components of  $y$  corresponding to positive-real evals and negative-real-part evals, respectively. Show that  $\dot{R} \geq \frac{\sigma}{2}R - \epsilon R$  and  $\dot{\rho} \leq \epsilon(R + \rho) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(R - \rho) \geq \frac{\sigma}{4}(R - \rho)$ , which implies exponential growth and thus instability.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** (Relationship between stability of periodic solution and linearization about the solution)

Consider the ODE  $[\dot{x} = F(t, x)]$  with  $F$  being  $T$ -periodic. Suppose  $\varphi(t)$  is a  $T$ -periodic solution. Now consider the linearization about  $\varphi(t)$ , i.e.  $[\dot{y} = \frac{dF}{dx}(t, \varphi(t))y + g(t, y)]$  (periodic coefficient linear system with  $o(y^2)$  non-linear term). Then:

1. If the  $n$  characteristic exponents of the linear system have negative real part, then  $\varphi(t)$  is Lyapunov and asymptotically stable.
2. If  $F = F(x)$  is independent of  $t$  (autonomous system) and  $n - 1$  char exponents have negative real part, then  $\varphi(t)$  is orbitally and asymptotically stable.

**Depends on:** First variation and application of previous theorem on asymptotic stability

**Proof idea:** 1. We see this by applying the previous theorem to the linearization (first variation) about  $\varphi(t)$ , which gives that 0 is an asymptotically stable solution to the first variation system. In other words, the difference of another solution to  $\varphi(t)$  evolves according to the first variation and thus goes to 0 asymptotically, i.e.  $\varphi(t)$  is asymptotically Lyapunov stable.

2. Since the system is autonomous, we know that  $\varphi(t)$  is a periodic solution to the first variation. If we denote  $\Phi(t)$  as a fundamental matrix for the first variation system. We know that since  $\Phi(t + T)$  is also a fundamental matrix it is related to  $\Phi(t)$  by a nonsingular matrix with first column  $e_1 = [100\dots 0]^T$ . Thus 1 is an eigenvalue of this matrix, i.e. 0 is a characteristic exponent. Clearly we cannot get Lyapunov asymptotic stability since  $\varphi(t + \delta)$  is a solution which does not converge to  $\varphi(t)$ . However, we can get *asymptotic orbital stability*, even a stronger result:

$$\exists C \text{ s.t. } |\varphi(t) - x(t + C)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

The actual proof is really long and complicated - see Coddington p323-327.

□

**Theorem 7.** (Lyapunov functions and stability)

Consider the ODE  $[\dot{y} = f(t, y)]$  with  $f(t, 0) = 0$ . Then:

1. If we can find a positive definite function  $V(t, x)$  (i.e.  $\exists W(x)$  cnts s.t.  $V(t, x) \geq W(x) > 0 \forall x \in D \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t \geq t_0$ ) s.t.  $\exists$  a neighborhood

of  $U$  around 0 on which  $(L_t V)(t, x) \equiv \frac{\delta V}{\delta t} + f(t, x) \frac{\delta V}{\delta x} \leq 0$ , then 0 is a stable solution.

2. If strict inequality holds ( $L_t V < 0$  on  $U$ ), then 0 is asymptotically stable.
3. If  $L_t V$  is positive definite on  $U$ , then 0 is unstable. **Depends on:** dependencies...

**Proof idea:** 1. By assumption  $V(t, x) \geq m > 0$  on some annulus  $B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r)$  centered at 0 and there is a  $\delta$  s.t.  $0 \leq V(t, x) \leq m/2$  on  $B(0, \delta)$ . If a solution  $x(t)$  starts in the  $\delta$ -ball at  $t = t_0$ , then  $V(t, x(t)) - V(t, x(t_0)) \leq 0$  by integrating the assumption on  $L_t V \Rightarrow V(t, x(t)) < m/2 \Rightarrow x(t) \in B(0, r)$ . But  $r$  is arbitrarily small, so 0 is stable.

2. Suppose there is a trajectory  $x(t)$  starting in  $B(0, a)$  at time  $t_0$ . Then we know that there is a  $\delta$  s.t. if  $x(t)$  enters  $B(0, \delta)$  it can never come out (by previous step), so  $|x(t)| > \delta \forall t \geq t_0$ . By integrating the assumption,  $V(t, x(t)) - V(t_0, x(t_0)) < -\mu(t - t_0)$  where  $L_t V < -\mu < 0$ , which contradicts the positive definiteness of  $V$ .
3. Similar argument to previous step. Take an annulus around 0 (with arbitrarily small outer ring) where  $V(t, x)$  is bounded below and above by positive values. Take a trajectory starting in the annulus and integrate out the assumption to get that  $V(t, x(t)) \rightarrow +\infty$ .

□

**Theorem 9.** (Poincare-Bendixson theorem)

Consider the autonomous 2D system  $[\dot{x} = f(x)]$  with  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$   $C^1$  on an open set  $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . The asymptotic behavior of the trajectory starting from any point  $x \in M$  must be one of 3 cases - it either tends to a fixed point, is a periodic orbit, or tends to a periodic orbit.

More formally, let  $\Phi(x, t) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the location of the trajectory starting at  $x$  after time  $t$ . Let  $\omega(x) = \{y \in M : \exists(t_n) \rightarrow \infty \text{ s.t. } \Phi(x, t_n) \rightarrow y\}$  (i.e. the set of points 'tended' to by the trajectory). Suppose we are given  $x \in M$  with  $\omega(x)$  compact and nonempty. Then if  $\omega(x)$  does not contain fixed points, it is exactly a periodic orbit in  $M$ .

**Depends on:** lots of things to do with planar geometry

**Proof idea:** The general approach is as follows:

1. For a given  $x \in M$ , let  $T$  be the trajectory starting from  $x$ . Then  $\omega(x)$  can intersect any transversal of  $T$  not more than once.

2. Any  $\omega$ -limit-point of an  $\omega$ -limit point lies on a periodic orbit
3. If  $\omega(x)$  contains a nondegenerate periodic orbit  $P$ , then  $\omega(x) = P$ .

□

## 5.1 Important examples

1. Perturbed constant coeff linear system is given by  $x'' + x + \mu x' + x^2 = 0$  where  $\mu$  is a 'damping term'. Then the nonlinear term is just  $[x^2 0]^T$  and the matrix in the linear term has eigenvalues  $\frac{-\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4}}{2}$  which is  $\pm 1$  for  $\mu = 0$ .
2. Consider the orbital stability theorem on the 2D ODE system defined by the equation  $x'' + f(x)x' + g(x) = 0$ , assuming there is some  $T$ -periodic solution  $\varphi(t)$ . The system is autonomous and we know that the sum of the char. exponents  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\varphi(s)) ds$  and  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . It follows that if  $\int_0^T f(\varphi(s)) ds > 0$ , then  $\varphi(t)$  is asymptotically orbitally stable.

## 6 Perturbed systems

**Theorem 1.** (How different is a solution of a 'perturbed' system?)

Consider the perturbed system  $[\dot{x} = f_0(t, x) + \epsilon f_1(t, x) + \dots + \epsilon^m f_m(t, x) + \epsilon^{m+1} R(t, x)]$  with  $x(t_0) = \eta$ . Suppose that  $f_i$  is cnts in  $t$  and  $C^{m+1-i}$  in  $x$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and that  $R$  is cnts in both arguments. Then:

$|x - (x_0(t) + \dots + \epsilon^m x_m(t))| \leq C \epsilon^{m+1}$  for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h]$  (where  $C$  may depend on  $h$ ) where  $x = x_0 + \epsilon x_1 + \dots$

**Depends on:** Gronwall's lemma, Duhummel's formula

**Proof idea:**  $\dot{x}_i$  can be derived by substituting the  $\epsilon$ -expansion of  $x(t)$  in the original ODE and equating powers of  $\epsilon$ . This gives a Duhummel formula for each  $x_i$ . To apply Gronwall's lemma to the expression to be bounded, subtract the sum of these Duhummel expressions from that of  $x(t)$ . Bound the integral using the smoothness assumption and apply Gronwall.

□

**Theorem 2.** (How different is a solution of a 'perturbed' nonautonomous system with periodic solution?)

Consider the perturbed system  $[\dot{x} = g(t, x) + \epsilon h(t, x) = f(t, x, \epsilon)]$  with  $g, h$   $T$ -periodic and  $f$  cnts in all arguments, Lipschitz in  $x$ . Suppose that the nonperturbed system has a  $T$ -periodic solution  $p(t)$ . If the first variation of the non-perturbed system has no  $T$ -periodic solution then the perturbed solution has a  $T$ -periodic solution for  $\epsilon$  small.

*Depends on:*

*Proof idea:*

□

**Theorem 3.** (How different is a solution of a 'perturbed' autonomous system with periodic solution?)

Consider the perturbed system  $[\dot{x} = g(x) + \epsilon h(x, \epsilon) = f(x, \epsilon)]$  with  $f$  cnts in  $(x, \epsilon)$  and  $C^1$  in  $x$ . Suppose that the nonperturbed system has a  $T$ -periodic solution  $p(t)$ . If 1 is a simple floquet multiplier of the first variation of the nonperturbed system, there exists a periodic solution of the perturbed system with period  $T(\epsilon)$ .

*Depends on:*

*Proof idea:*

□