

Untangling the annual cycle of the tropical tropopause layer with an idealized moist model: Tropical versus extratropical control

M. JUCKER* AND E.P. GERBER

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, 251 Mercer St, New York, NY

ABSTRACT

The processes regulating the climatology and annual cycle of the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) and cold point are not fully understood. Three main drivers have been identified: planetary scale equatorial waves excited by tropical convection, planetary scale extratropical waves associated with the deep Brewer-Dobson Circulation, and synoptic scale waves associated with the midlatitude storm tracks. In both observations and comprehensive atmospheric models, all three coexist, making it difficult to separate their contributions. Here, a new intermediate-complexity atmospheric model is developed. Simple modification of the model's lower boundary allows detailed study of the three processes key to the TTL, both in isolation and together. It is shown that tropical planetary waves are most critical for regulating the mean TTL, setting the depth and temperature of the cold point. The annual cycle of the TTL, which is coldest (warmest) in boreal winter (summer), however, depends critically on the strong annual variation in baroclinicity of the Northern Hemisphere relative to that of the Southern Hemisphere. Planetary scale waves excited from either the tropics or extratropics then double the impact of baroclinicity on the TTL annual cycle. The remarkably generic response of TTL temperatures over a range of configurations suggests that the details of the wave forcing are unimportant, provided there is sufficient variation in the upward extent of westerly winds over the annual cycle. Westerly winds enable the propagation of stationary Rossby waves, and weakening of the subtropical jet in boreal summer inhibits their propagation into the lower stratosphere, warming the TTL.

1. Introduction

The tropopause, the separation between the troposphere and stratosphere, is markedly less distinct in the tropics than the extratropics. The atmosphere gradually transitions from a clearly tropospheric regime at 12 km, where convective towers tightly couple airmasses to the surface, to a stratospheric regime above the tropical cold point near 18 km, where air parcels are months removed from the surface, extremely dry, but now richer in ozone. Given the gradual transition, the region is known as the tropical tropopause layer (TTL).

The TTL also marks a transition between dynamical regimes. Below, the Hadley Cell homogenizes angular momentum, allowing for a thermally driven overturning circulation. Above, the atmosphere lies in a downward control regime, where a mechanical torque (wave breaking) is necessary to drive a circulation across angular momentum surfaces. While the tropical cold point provides a distinct upper boundary, Fueglistaler et al. (2009) suggest that the bottom of the TTL might best be located 15 km,

the level of zero net radiative heating. Above this point, radiative warming balances the mechanically driven uplift of parcels into the stratosphere, while below, convective heating plays a critical role.

The TTL is of crucial importance for the chemical composition of the stratosphere (Plumb 2007; Schofield et al. 2011; Randel and Jensen 2013). It is the entry point for most air that enters the stratosphere. Air is quasi-horizontally mixed along isentropic surfaces into the “middle world”, the lower stratosphere of the extratropics that laterally borders the TTL, and brought up through the top of the TTL by the diabatic circulation into “overworld”, the isentropic layers of the middle atmosphere above that never intersect the tropopause (Holton et al. 1995). Freeze drying of air by the cold point strongly constrains water vapor throughout the stratosphere, especially for the overworld (Brewer 1949). Solomon et al. (2010) highlight the role of the TTL on surface climate: modulations of stratospheric water vapor impact on surface temperatures on decadal time scales. Dessler et al. (2013) suggest that processes in the TTL increase the climate sensitivity of the planet, with greenhouse gas forcing effecting an overall increase in water vapor throughout the stratosphere, which in turn enhances surface warming.

*Corresponding author address: Martin Jucker, School of Earth Sciences, McCoy Building, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC Australia 3010
E-mail: publications@martinjucker.com

In this study, we develop a model of an idealized moist atmosphere to understand the processes regulating the climatology of the TTL, in particular, its striking *annual* cycle. Despite the semi-annual variation of insolation across the tropics, the TTL exhibits a strong annual variation of up to 8 K (Fueglistaler et al. 2009), in phase with the annual cycle of the boreal hemisphere. While the maximum annual cycle in temperature is located above the cold point, there is sufficient variation at this level to modulate stratospheric water vapor on an annual basis, the signal carried up by the diabatic circulation in what is known as the "stratospheric tape recorder" (Mote et al. 1996).

Comprehensive models are broadly (but not universally) able to capture this annual cycle in temperature (e.g. Gettelman et al. 2010), but the exact mechanisms are still the subject of active research. While it is clear that the annual cycle in temperature is driven, both directly and indirectly, by an annual variation in upwelling, various theories have been proposed for its forcing.

Upwelling indirectly regulates temperature by driving variation of ozone and water vapor in the TTL. Stronger upwelling in boreal winter reduces the concentration of ozone, leading to radiative impact of 1-2 degrees Fueglistaler et al. (2011). A more recent study by Ming et al. (2017) take into account annual variations in water vapor concentration as well, suggesting that total impact changes in ozone and water vapor could explain up to about 30% of temperature variations. The bulk of the annual cycle, however, is directly forced by variations in upwelling: stronger ascent in boreal winter cools the TTL through an increased rate of adiabatic expansion.

The open question is then: what drives increased upwelling in boreal winter? Yulaeva et al. (1994) found in MSU-4 data that there is nearly complete compensation between monthly mean zonal mean cooling in the tropics and warming in the extratropics related to the stratospheric meridional overturning circulation. They suggest that the stronger orographic and thermal forcing of the wintertime planetary waves in the Northern Hemisphere, as compared to the Southern Hemisphere, is responsible for the annual cycle in the tropics. In support of this mechanism, Ueyama and Wallace (2010) found that tropical upwelling in the Brewer-Dobson Circulation (BDC) is correlated to eddy heat fluxes at high latitudes, more so than with equatorial tropospheric planetary waves. Chen and Sun (2011) and Gerber (2012) also showed evidence for a link between extratropical planetary waves and TTL upwelling at the cold point in idealized atmospheric models.

Other studies, however, have found that extratropical planetary waves, while important for upwelling above 70 hPa, matter much less in the TTL (Chae and Sherwood 2007; Ueyama et al. 2013). This suggests the need for a more local, tropical mechanism. Kerr-Munslow and Norton (2006) and Norton (2006) explore the influence of the Indian monsoon on the TTL temperature and upwelling

annual cycle. Their wave analysis and numerical experiments suggest that the annual cycle is forced by the breaking of equatorial Rossby waves due to tropical convection close to the tropopause.

Along the same lines, Boehm and Lee (2003) report that the order of magnitude of tropical upwelling can be explained with tropical convection induced Rossby waves. However, they also find that those waves result in stronger upwelling in July rather than January, contrary to observed upwelling. Randel et al. (2008) show that different wave sources contribute in different ways to the tropical upwelling. Whereas extratropical waves are important in the mean upwelling at 100 hPa, the seasonal cycle seems to be largely linked to shifting convection. These authors also attribute the annual cycle to horizontal eddy momentum flux convergence coming from both extratropical and tropical waves. The findings of Grise and Thompson (2013) point into the the same direction: high-vertical-resolution GPS radio occultation data shows that equatorial planetary waves dominate the zonally asymmetric TTL temperature components, but that TTL variability is determined by both equatorial and extratropical tropospheric waves.

Ortland and Alexander (2014) confirm that equatorial Rossby waves driven by convection drive most of the tropical upwelling in the mean, but propose another mechanism for the annual variation. Rather than changes in the location or intensity of convection, they suggest that changes in the refractive index due to mean wind variations can filter and re-orient different waves at different times of the year. Hence there can be an annual cycle in upwelling driven by convectively generated waves even if the convection itself is constant.

Finally, Jucker et al. (2013), propose a third mechanism for the TTL annual cycle, focussed on asymmetry in synoptic variability. They found that both the structure and magnitude of the difference between January and July TTL could be obtained in a dry model without convection. The only requirement in such a model is that extratropical meridional temperature gradients have a larger annual cycle in the Northern than in the Southern Hemisphere troposphere, suggesting a large impact of extratropical baroclinicity in setting up the TTL structure.

This work tests the three key elements of these theories in the simplified framework of a new idealized model, detailed in Section 2. The representation of the key forcings – tropical planetary waves, extratropical planetary waves, and variations in baroclinicity and synoptic waves – and their impact on mean TTL structure are discussed in Section 3. Section 4 explores their impacts on the annual cycle in the TTL, highlighting the importance of nonlinear interaction between the different forcings. We then explore the mechanism(s) in detail in Section 5, and finally summarize and conclude the manuscript in Section 6. In support of the results of Randel et al. (2008) and Grise and Thompson (2013), we find an important role for all waves in the

TTL, but show that variations in the tropical winds – as identified by Ortland and Alexander (2014) – are critical for regulating their annual variations.

2. A Model of an idealized Moist Atmosphere: MiMA

Idealized models of the atmosphere serve as intermediaries between the real atmosphere and our conceptual understanding (Held 2005). While idealization comes at the expense of a more realistic representation of the atmosphere, simplicity permits greater transparency and control of the key processes driving the circulation. In this study, the chief idealization will be to neglect the role of cloud and aerosol processes. Building on Merlis et al. (2013a), we explore an idealized atmosphere where moisture interacts with the circulation through the transport of latent heat (both through parameterized convection and resolved transport) and interacts with radiative transfer, but only in vapor form. The model provides a new step in a hierarchy of models, linking more idealized General Circulation Models (e.g. the so called “dry dynamical cores”) with comprehensive atmospheric models.

Dry dynamical cores are primitive equation solvers on the sphere driven by a highly simplified representation of atmospheric physics (e.g. Held and Suarez 1994; Polvani and Kushner 2002; Schneider and Walker 2006; Jucker et al. 2013). They have proven a useful tool for understanding the natural variability of the atmosphere (e.g. Gerber and Vallis 2007; Chen and Plumb 2009; Jucker 2016) and its response to external forcing (e.g. Simpson et al. 2009; Butler et al. 2010; Kidston et al. 2011). Even with highly simplified climate physics – all processes associated with radiation and moisture are parameterized by a simple Newtonian relaxation to a given “equilibrium” temperature profile – such models are capable of capturing, at least qualitatively, the formation and response of the tropical cold point to large scale perturbations in the climatological forcing (Gerber 2012; Kim et al. 2015). In particular, Ryu et al. (2008) and Ryu and Lee (2010) explore the impact of planetary scale tropical waves on the cold point in a dry dynamical core by approximating convection through localized heating anomalies in the tropics. They show how Kelvin waves influence the variability and intensity of the “cold trap,” the coldest region of the TTL over the Western Pacific.

In this work, we are keenly interested in the role of tropical convection and the annual cycle of solar insolation on the TTL, necessitating additional physics in the model. Frierson et al. (2006, 2007) (FHZ in what follows) provide a step in this direction, adding prognostic moisture to the large scale circulation, and developing the necessary changes to the boundary layer and surface for energetic consistency. To explicitly remove the radiative impacts of water vapor (and thus allow greater changes in the role of

latent heat transport without radiative feedbacks), they introduced a “gray radiation” scheme where a single spectral band of longwave radiation interacts with specified optical thickness, independent of the time varying water vapor in the atmosphere. While the model captures the key influence of synoptic variability on the tropopause structure (Haqq-Misra et al. 2011), the gray radiation approximation leads to significant problems in the stratosphere, where the radiative damping timescales becomes unrealistically long and shortwave heating associated with ozone plays a critical role in the circulation.

Merlis et al. (2013a,b) build on FHZ by replacing the gray radiation scheme with a full radiative transfer, using the scheme employed by GFDL’s Atmospheric Model 2 (AM2; Delworth et al. 2006). We follow in their direction, developing a Model for an idealized Moist Atmosphere (MiMA). MiMA essentially uses the physics of FHZ, but replaces the gray radiation with a full radiation scheme, namely the GCM version of the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTM) (Mlawer et al. 1997; Iacono et al. 2000). Due to the full treatment of radiation, some adjustments had to be made to the surface mixed layer ocean and stratosphere, as detailed below.

In particular, clouds have a net cooling impact on the atmosphere, such that a cloud free atmosphere with equivalent greenhouse gas concentrations (here just carbon dioxide and water vapor) will be notably warmer than an atmosphere with realistic cloud coverage. Merlis et al. (2013a) address this problem by specifying a time invariant cloud distribution. As the net cooling effect of clouds is predominantly in the extratropics (where clouds are lower, such that the shortwave cooling influence outweighs their longwave warming influence), their cloud distribution helps maintain temperature contrast between the tropics and high latitudes. Cloud forcings, however, strongly influence the circulation (e.g. Voigt and Shaw 2015). To avoid constraining the position of the extratropical jets, we leave clouds entirely out of the circulation, and increase the surface albedo to maintain a reasonable climatology.

A fairly limited set of parameters – detailed in the remainder of this section and next – allow one to explore a wide range of atmospheric circulation regimes with MiMA, as shown in Fig. 1. The first panel illustrates a hemispherically symmetric aquaplanet simulation, our “control” climate, and the second, a more Earth-like configuration in which the north-south symmetry has been broken with the addition of zonal asymmetries and a crude approximation of land-sea contrast in the Northern Hemisphere. As shown in Section 4, the simulation pictured at left has no annual cycle in the TTL, while that on the right has an annual cycle comparable to the observed atmosphere.

The code is publicly available, and all results shown in this paper are based on MiMA release v1.0 (Jucker 2017).




FIG. 1. Control climatological DJF zonal mean zonal wind (contours, interval 10 m/s) and temperature (shading). The control run (a) is north-south symmetric, with a uniform slab ocean with mixed layer depth 100 m (CTRL in Table 1). For comparison, panel (b) shows the DJF climatology of a simulation with wave-one 4 km topography and 1 m midlatitude ‘land’ (L01.O4M1 in Table 1). The polar vortex weakens by about 40% when adding this additional forcing in the NH midlatitudes.

The exact technical details and extensive parameter descriptions can be found in the online documentation at <https://mjucker.github.io/MiMA>, and the key changes in MiMA relative to the FHZ and Merlis et al. (2013a) models are documented below. The default values to all parameters are given in the documentation folder inside the code repository <https://github.com/mjucker/miima> and <https://mjucker.github.com/MiMA>. We list the most relevant parameter settings used in this work in Table 1 and the Appendix (Table A1).

a. Radiative transfer

MiMA uses the GCM version of the RRTM code for both short and long wave radiative transfer calculations [RRTMG, Mlawer et al. (1997); Iacono et al. (2000)]. All parameters relating to clouds and aerosols are set to zero. RRTM can include water vapor, ozone, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, oxygen (short and long wave), and the halocarbons CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-22, and CCl_4 (long wave only). In this work, however, we limit the radiative transfer calculations to three absorbers in the atmosphere: carbon dioxide, ozone, and water vapor. CO_2 is a simple scalar constant, set to 300 ppm throughout the atmosphere to roughly approximate its preindustrial concentration.

Ozone plays a fundamental role in the circulation of the stratosphere. In particular, the polar vortex in the winter hemisphere owes its existence largely to the meridional temperature gradient induced by shortwave absorption. In the simulations presented here, O_3 is specified by a time invariant distribution which is zonally symmetric, but varies in latitude and pressure as illustrated in Fig. 2. This profile is based on the ozone climatology of Fortuin

and Langematz (1994), but made north-south symmetric, i.e. there is no ozone hole in the Southern Hemisphere, and the ozone concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere are somewhat too low compared to the real world. This allows us to focus exclusively on the impact of hemispheric asymmetries in surface conditions on the TTL annual cycle. The north-south asymmetry of the stratosphere, however, could be improved with a more detailed treatment of ozone.




FIG. 2. Ozone distribution used in all simulations. MiMA reads ozone from an input file, which in our case contains a static and both zonally and north-south symmetric distribution based on the work of Fortuin and Langematz (1994). Contour interval is 2.5 ppm.

Fig. 1a illustrates the winter/summer climatology of MiMA in its default configuration. Absent any zonal asymmetry in the lower boundary, the stratospheric vortex

becomes extremely strong and steady in the winter hemisphere. When the zonal symmetry is broken at the surface, as illustrated in Fig. 1b, the vortex becomes more realistic and variable, exhibiting aperiodic Sudden Stratospheric Warming events. The vortex remains strong relative to observed Northern Hemisphere, however, in part due to the strong meridional gradient in ozone (a consequence of symmetrizing the ozone profile) and the crude treatment of gravity waves by a Rayleigh friction (detailed below).

Water vapor is the final radiatively active gas in the model, prognostically determined through the hydrological cycle as in FHZ. All other potential absorbers are neglected, but can be trivially included with a constant scalar concentration, similar to the implementation of CO₂, or given a specified distribution in space and time, as with ozone. For more idealized experiments, one can also overwrite radiative transfer code entirely, reading in the radiative heating, surface fluxes, and water vapor from input files.

All of our simulations are run with a seasonal cycle based on a 360-day year of twelve 30-day months, the equinoxes at days 90 and 270. Although RRTM includes the possibility to compute the Earth-Sun distance as a function of the day of the year, this calculation is only valid for 365-day years. Here, we fix the Earth-Sun distance to 1 AU, meaning that the Earth orbit is an exact circle; this allows us to focus exclusively on the impact of surface conditions on asymmetry in the TTL annual cycle. The obliquity is fixed to 23.439°, and the solar constant to 1360 W/m². The radiative time step is 50 minutes, which is purposefully chosen not to be a divisor of a full day, to avoid potential unphysical resonances when the radiation is called every day at the same time.

In this study, we also apply diurnal averaging to the radiation scheme: the atmosphere always sees the daily mean radiation at all times, which varies only with latitude and the annual cycle. It is trivial to restore the diurnal cycle within in the code, but note that diurnal averaging has a profound impact on the climate, with an adjustment of surface albedo of about 0.03-0.04 necessary (higher albedo if run with diurnal cycle) to keep global mean surface temperatures the same. We have checked that all the results presented here are independent of the choice of diurnal averaging, provided the albedo is adjusted as needed to maintain the same global mean temperature.

b. Water vapor and the hydrological cycle

The only active trace gas in MiMA is water vapor, which interacts with both shortwave and longwave radiation in RRTM. The surface fluxes, boundary layer, and large scale condensation are exactly as described in FHZ. In addition, we include an idealized version of the convection scheme developed by Betts (1986); Betts and Miller (1986), as implemented by Frierson (2007). Briefly, the

scheme seeks to model both deep and shallow convection, and parameters are as given in the control simulation of Frierson (2007). Evaporation is determined through a simplified bulk physics boundary layer scheme, and the surface is modeled as an infinite reservoir of water vapor, exactly as in FHZ. Latent heat is released through large scale condensation (which occurs whenever a grid box exceeds 100% relative humidity) or through the convection scheme. There are explicitly no clouds, so that precipitation falls out immediately, but it is re-evaporated if it passes through any layer below that is unsaturated.

GFDL's dynamical core includes the possibility to correct the total water mass in the atmosphere after each integration step to ensure conservation by the advection scheme. While this scheme improves the energy balance of the atmosphere, it can introduce a systematic water vapor sink in the stratosphere as numerical errors coming from the troposphere (which holds the vast majority of the atmosphere water) alias into the stratosphere. In order to conserve stratospheric water vapor, the water mass correction scheme is only applied below a given pressure level, set by default to 200 hPa. The mass of water vapor above that level is smaller than 1% of the total mass, and our testing has shown that the water vapor in the stratosphere is conserved without the correction scheme.

In order to ensure numerical stability of the radiative scheme, RRTM never sees specific humidity values below 0.2 ppmv, and the initial condition is set to 2 ppmv throughout the atmosphere. Note that both values are below typical values found anywhere in the stratosphere (except perhaps the southern stratospheric polar vortex), and the initial value is not important for the specific humidities found in the converged state of the model. In practice, these considerations are only relevant in the model spin up.

c. Surface conditions: A mixed layer ocean

The surface is modeled as a simple mixed layer, or “slab ocean”, governed by an effective ocean heat capacity C_0 which can be varied in latitude and longitude. The temperature evolves as given in Equation (1) of FHZ, repeated here for completeness:

$$C_0 \frac{\partial T_S}{\partial t} = R_S - R_{Lu} + R_{Ld} - L_v E - S, \quad (1)$$

with R_S, R_{Lu}, R_{Ld} being the net shortwave, upward, and downward longwave fluxes, L_v the latent heat of vaporization, E evaporative flux, and S sensible heat flux. The latent and sensible heat fluxes are determined by a simplified bulk physics boundary layer scheme exactly as in FHZ.

The heat capacity C_0 and surface albedo (which influences the net shortwave radiation S) are the two key parameters regulating the surface. The heat capacity plays

essential role in getting the annual cycle, as detailed in Section 3. The surface albedo is important for regulating the global mean surface temperature; clouds have a net cooling impact on the Earth, and a reasonable climatology in MiMA depends on tuning the surface albedo to compensate. We adjust it here for every experiment independently, to ensure that global mean temperatures near the surface are very similar across all simulations (see last column of Table 1).

As the mixed layer ocean does not allow any oceanic heat transport, a large part of the global meridional heat flux is missing, particularly in the tropics, where the ocean dominates atmospheric heat transport. If not addressed, this leads to strong meridional temperature gradient, and consequently, an unnaturally strong subtropical jet. To remedy this, we included a static surface heat flux, a so-called Q -flux, to remove heat in the tropics and redistribute it in the extratropics. The form follows exactly the symmetric version of Merlis et al. (2013b), which models the divergence of the poleward ocean energy flux as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_O(\phi) = Q_0 \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \left(1 - \frac{2\phi^2}{\phi_0^2} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\phi^2}{\phi_0^2} \right), \quad (2)$$

with $Q_0 = 30 \text{ W/m}^2$ and $\phi_0 = 16^\circ$ throughout this work. We found that a larger value produces a clear double ITCZ structure in the tropics, whereas a lower value has a single Intertropical Convergence Zone (ICTZ,) but allows the subtropical jets to become too strong.

d. Upper boundary conditions

In order to approximate the effect of gravity wave drag on the upper stratosphere, and avoid wave reflection off the model top, we introduced a crude parameterization of gravity waves through a Rayleigh friction sponge layer above 0.5 hPa. This friction is exactly as described in Polvani and Kushner (2002), and has a time scale of 0.5 days at the top layer. This can be replaced with an interactive gravity wave scheme as detailed by Cohen et al. (2013), but the results of this study are insensitive and the simple sponge layer was sufficient.

3. Capturing the key processes regulating the TTL in an idealized model

As detailed in the introduction, previous studies have identified three main players regulating upwelling in the TTL: extratropical planetary waves, tropical planetary waves, and midlatitude synoptic waves. Schematic Fig. 3 provides an overview of how we introduce each into MiMA through modification of the surface conditions. This gives us three fairly independent knobs to perturb the

Name	Albedo [%]	Topo [km], m	Land [m]	Warm pool [W/m ²]	T_{700} [C]
CTRL	20.5	–	–	–	8.7
O2M1	20.5	2, 1	–	–	8.6
O4M1	20.5	4, 1	–	–	8.8
O2M2	20.5	2, 2	–	–	8.6
O4M2	20.5	4, 2	–	–	8.6
L50	20.7	–	50	–	8.7
L10	20.8	–	10	–	8.5
L01	21.0	–	1	–	8.8
W15	20.5	–	–	15	8.5
W30	19.7	–	–	30	8.7
W45	19.2	–	–	45	8.6
L01.O4M1	21.0	4, 1	1	–	8.8
L01.O4M2	21.0	4, 2	1	–	8.5
W30.O4M1	20.0	4, 1	–	30	8.5
W30.O4M2	19.8	4, 2	–	30	8.5
W30.L01	20.0	–	1	30	8.9
W30.L01.O4M1	20.5	4, 1	1	30	8.3
W30.L01.O4M2	20.3	4, 2	1	30	8.5

TABLE 1. Overview of the model setups. ‘Albedo’ corresponds to MiMA parameter `const_albedo`, ‘Topo’ [km] to height and $m = 1(2)$ means that there are one (two) Gaussian mountains in NH midlatitudes. ‘Land’ corresponds to `land_capacity` with $1 \text{ m} = 4 \times 10^6 \text{ J/K/m}^2$. ‘Warm pool’ denotes the amplitude of zonal heat flux in W/m^2 , and T_{700} is the global mean temperature at 700 hPa in degrees C.




FIG. 3. The three key surface forcings used to modulate the TTL in MiMA. Extratropical planetary waves are excited by Gaussian-shaped mountains positioned in the midlatitudes. Tropical planetary waves are excited by a purely zonal heat flux within the tropics, which generates a ‘warm pool’ (i.e. a localized region of maximum SSTs along the equator) that in turn drives zonal asymmetry the tropical convection. Lastly, synoptic variability is modulated by reducing the heat capacity of the mixed layer ocean in the midlatitudes of one hemisphere, a very crude approximation to ‘land’ which in turn amplifies the annual cycle of midlatitude baroclinicity.

TTL and its annual cycle. Table 1 lists the 18 main simulations we will subsequently analyze with the naming conventions, and the values for each key forcings (albedo, topography, land-sea contrast, and warm pool). The last column shows the global mean temperature in the lower troposphere (700 hPa), which we used as a calibration point for all simulations.

Fig. 4 presents the key results of this section: (i) MiMA can reasonably capture the structure of the TTL, as compared to ERA-I reanalyses and a comprehensive climate model with a well represented stratosphere, and (ii) tropical planetary waves excited by localized SST anomalies in the tropics are most effective of the three forcings in modifying the mean TTL. In fact, our tropical temperature only begins to reasonably match the observed profiles with inclusion of realistic asymmetry in tropical SSTs (Fig. 4a). Before discussing each of the forcings in detail, however, we note the importance of using the planetary albedo of our model to steady the tropical SSTs across all integrations.

The annual cycle of the TTL is driven by variations in upwelling, but mean TTL temperatures are quite sensitive to surface temperatures. A perturbation to the tropical mean SSTs leads to an offset in TTL temperatures, which can be further amplified by lapse rate feedback. For each perturbation experiment, we therefore retune the surface albedo to keep tropical SSTs exactly the same. This allows us to keep in an Earth-like regime in all experiments, and focus on the impact of the surface conditions on the upwelling in the TTL region, not on their influence on mean surface temperature.

The impact is perhaps easiest to explain by example and illustrated in Fig. 4a. The “W30a” and “W30” integrations both include a purely zonal 30 W m^{-2} heat flux in the tropical mixed layer (as detailed in Section 3a). Even though the heat flux has no net zonal mean, just the introduction of zonal asymmetry to the tropical SSTs induces a 1.5 K zonal mean cooling in integration W30a. This is associated with a cooling of the entire tropical troposphere that penetrates up into the UTLS. In integration W30, however, the albedo was decreased from the control value of 0.205 to 0.197 to maintain the tropical mean surface temperature. The overall change in the TTL structure induced by the heat flux is about the same in both integrations, e.g., the cold point rises relative to the control integration, but the W30 integration isolates the impact of the change in upwelling from that of the SST.

Table 1 lists the albedo correction that was applied to each perturbation experiment. We stress that the impact is strongest on the mean temperature of the TTL, but not so important for its structure or its annual cycle.

a. Planetary waves in the tropics: A simulated warm pool

The warm pool in the West Pacific and maritime continent locally enhances convective activity over this region and drives the Walker circulation across the Pacific. Ortland and Alexander (2014) emphasize the significant impact of the planetary scale variation in convection on tropical upwelling and the TTL annual cycle. A simple way to approximate zonal asymmetries in tropical SSTs in MiMA is to include an additional purely zonal heat flux within the

mixed layer of the tropics. We give the heat flux a wave-one structure,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_W(\lambda, \phi) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\phi| > \phi_w \\ \left[1 - \left(\frac{\phi - \phi_0}{\phi_w} \right)^2 \right] Q_W \cos(k_w \lambda - \lambda_0), & |\phi| \leq \phi_w, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where Q_W the warm pool heat flux in $[\text{W/m}^2]$, $k_w = 1$ the warm pool wave number, and $\phi_w = 20^\circ$ the warm pool width. ϕ_0 and λ_0 can be used to change the meridional position and/or zonal phase, but are set to zero here.

Q_W is one of the key parameters in this study, and integrations with the tropical heat flux are denoted by Wx, where x is the value of Q_W in W/m^2 . Tropical SSTs vary by approximately 3 K in integration W30, which is most comparable to the observed zonal variation in tropical SSTs. The SST asymmetry is roughly linear with Q_W , such that the integration with $Q_W = 15 \text{ W/m}^2$ exhibits a 1.5 degree peak-to-peak variation, etc.. The associated variation in convection is much more nonlinear, saturating with increasing asymmetry in SSTs. The zonal peak-to-peak variation of convective precipitation amounts to about 12, 19, and 20% of the zonal mean for 15, 30, and 45 W/m^2 temperature forcing.

As explored by Ryu and Lee (2010) in a dry dynamical core, zonal asymmetry in convective heating has a large impact on upwelling in the TTL, and consequently the cold point height and temperature. Fig. 4a shows that localized convective heating lifts and cools the cold point, the effect driven by a substantial increase in upwelling throughout the TTL. In our control simulation, CTRL, the cold point is biased low and warm relative to ECWMF reanalyses and the CMAM30 dataset (a comprehensive model nudged by reanalyses, to provide better vertical resolution of the TTL; Hegglin et al. 2014). This is in part associated with a cold bias in the upper troposphere (the lapse rate in MiMA is too strong, as a result of the idealized convection scheme). More realistic variation in tropical SSTs – the W30 integration in particular – helps alleviate the bias in the lapse rate, and lift the cold point much closer to its observed value.

b. Planetary waves in the extratropics

Gaussian shaped mountains are introduced to the model’s Northern Hemisphere to generate extratropical planetary waves. Following Gerber and Polvani (2009), the mountains are centered about 45 degrees, to optimize interaction with the surface westerlies (but we avoid the “valleys” associated with simple wave 1 and 2 topography in that study). Here, waves 1 (2) are excited by the addition of a single (pair of) mountain(s), in the latter case positioned 180° apart in the zonal direction. Each mountain has a height of either 2 or 4 km, and a half-width of 20 degrees in latitude, and 20 (40) degrees in longitude for




FIG. 4. Vertical temperature profiles of the tropical cold point region showing the effects of a) the tropical warm pool, b) midlatitude orographic forcing, and c) extratropical land-sea contrast on the annual mean cold point structure. Temperatures were averaged from 30°S to 30°N to construct the profiles. The tropical warm pool has the largest effect on the annual mean, whereas extratropical land-sea contrast has no effect in the mean. The fine red line in panel a) (labeled W30a) shows the temperature profile for W30 if the surface albedo is the same as in CTRL (see text for more discussion).

single (pair of) mountain(s). The values of these two parameters are denoted in integration titles by $OxMy$, where x is height in km and y the wavenumber.

Extratropical planetary waves accelerate the Brewer-Dobson Circulation and weaken the climatological polar vortex (Fig. 1). As in the observed atmosphere, the weakening of the climatological vortex is the consequence of aperiodic Sudden Stratosphere Warming (SSW) events. SSWs in MiMA occur with both M1 and M2 orographic forcing, and in the configurations explored here, the rate varies strongly between configurations (from 0 to about 6 SSWs per decade). Note that the SSW rate is further influenced by the treatment of gravity wave drag and ozone. For example, in our default configuration, we have symmetrized the ozone between the two hemispheres, such that the northern hemisphere has too little relative to observations, and hence a stronger vortex.

To calibrate MiMA relative to the observed atmosphere, we compare the annual cycle of the extratropical average 100 hPa meridional heat flux (a proxy for vertical wave propagation into the stratosphere) in MiMA against ERA-I in Fig. 5. In the zonally symmetric control integration (grey), upward wave activity is weak, with a muted annual cycle consistent with the muted annual cycle in temperature gradients associated with the thick mixed layer. The additional of midlatitude topography (orange curves) dramatically increases wave propagation in the winter, but also helps reduce it in the summer. With the control mixed layer depth, wave 2 topography appears more effective in generating upward propagating wave activity – reaching levels on par with ERA-I – but there is a tendency for a lag in the annual cycle, especially with wave 1 topography. This is associated in part with the deep mixed layer depth in the control configuration, and improves – especially for wave 1 – when the mixed layer is reduced (green curves), as detailed in the next sub-section.

As shown in Fig. 4b, topography cools the cold region by up to about 1 K, but with little impact on its height. We also find that the cooling is not linear with the amplitude of




FIG. 5. Seasonal variation of meridional heat flux $\langle v'T' \rangle$ at 100 hPa and averaged $30^{\circ}\text{--}90^{\circ}\text{N}$. The addition of 4 km wave-two Gaussian mountains in midlatitudes allows for a very realistic variation in stationary wave forcing when compared to ERA-Interim. Adding land-sea contrast enhances the seasonal cycle even further.

topography at the surface. Curiously, there is more cooling of the TTL with 2 km topography than 4 km topography for both wave 1 and 2. Fig. 5 suggest that the wave activity may saturate relatively quickly in MiMA, in contrast to the dry dynamical core explored by Gerber (2012), where larger topography induced more cooling.

c. The annual cycle of synoptic variability

The heat capacity of the mixed layer strongly influences the amplitude and phase of the annual cycle in meridional temperature contrast, and hence the synoptic variability. As shown in Fig. 6, our control integration (with a equivalent mixed layer depth of 100 meters) exhibits a weak annual variation in baroclinicity, comparable to that of the observed Southern Hemisphere, albeit lagged 1-2 months behind from March to August. To approximate the influence of boreal land mass on the the annual cycle, we reduce the heat capacity of the mixed layer in Northern Hemisphere, thereby increasing the annual cycle in baroclinicity and synoptic eddy activity.

MiMA allows one to modify the heat capacity locally across multiple, square latitude-longitude patches, or to




FIG. 6. The monthly variation of the temperature difference between the equator and 45°N(S) for continuous (dotted) lines, averaged over the depth of the troposphere (1000 to 250 hPa). The mixed layer in CTRL is everywhere equivalent to 100 m of sea water, but reduced to 50, 10, and 1 m depth between 40 and 50°N in the integrations L50, L10, and L01, respectively.

link it to topographic height (and so more directly connect large scale topography with land). For simplicity, however, in this study we simply insert a band of reduced heat capacity to the Northern Hemisphere,

$$C_0(\lambda, \phi) = \begin{cases} C_0^l & , \phi_1 \leq \phi \leq \phi_2, \\ C_0^s & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where C_0^l is the heat capacity of "land" and C_0^s is the default "oceanic" heat capacity. The band of land is bounded by $\phi_1 = 40$ and $\phi_2 = 50^\circ\text{N}$ in all integrations, but earlier experimentation suggested that results are not too sensitive as long as the band covers the central midlatitudes.

C_0^l is a key parameter varied in this study, and denoted in integration titles by Lx, where x is the equivalent depth of the mixed layer in meters, e.g. L01 corresponds to an integration where the heat capacity of the land is equivalent to 1 meter of sea water, 100 times smaller than the default value. Note that although we refer to this as land-sea contrast, the band is only land-like in that it has a reduced heat capacity. In every other way they are treated exactly as the rest of the mixed layer, i.e. they never dry up and are still infinite reservoirs of water vapor to the atmosphere, and could be modified by a Q -flux if located in the tropics.

As illustrated in Fig. 6, reducing the heat capacity amplifies the annual cycle in baroclinicity, both increasing it in the winter months and decreasing it in the summer. As in the observed Northern Hemisphere, however, the latter effect is greater: compared to austral hemisphere, the baroclinicity of the atmosphere really collapses in the boreal summer, to a value of 1/4 the annual mean of the austral hemisphere in ERA-I, as compared to a 50% increase in winter. In general, the temperature gradient is a bit weaker in MiMA relative to observations, but captures equivalent annual variation with an equivalent mixed layer depth of 10 meters or less. The reduced heat capacity also

brings the annual cycle closer to that of the solar forcing, which improves the 2 month bias in the CTRL integration.

Once the albedo is corrected, modification of the Northern Hemisphere temperature gradient has very little impact on the annual mean TTL. Fig. 4c even shows a slight warming of the TTL temperatures, particularly right at the cold point, but the change is small compared to those induced by the warm pool or topography. While the hemispheric asymmetry in baroclinicity appears inconsequential to the mean TTL, we show in the next sections that it is perhaps most critical for generating the annual cycle of the TTL.

4. The annual cycle of the TTL

Fig. 7 illustrates the impact of each surface perturbation on the annual cycle in the cold point region. Since the addition of a purely zonal heat flux in the "warm pool" integrations does not break the north-south symmetry of the control climate, there should be no annual cycle in the warm pool integrations. The slight deviations illustrated in Fig. 7a thus provide a rough measure of the sampling error associated with 10 years of data collection. The TTL does exhibit a weak semi-annual cycle in these integrations, which is modulated by the presence of zonal asymmetry (not shown).

a. Single parameter sweeps: The impact of topography vs. baroclinicity

The addition of topography to the boreal hemisphere breaks the north-south symmetry of MiMA, but as seen in Fig. 7b, by itself, it is insufficient to generate the structure and amplitude of the TTL annual cycle. There is a modest effect in the mid to upper stratosphere, which does carry the expected sign: enhanced wave activity in boreal winter drives a stronger Brewer-Dobson circulation, cooling the TTL in boreal winter. This effect, however, is well above the cold point which regulates stratospheric water vapor. Note that we have also optimized the definition of "winter" vs. "summer" to construct Fig. 7b, taking into account the phase lag of the annual cycle in MiMA shown in Fig. 5. While the annual cycle is defined by a straightforward June-July-August (JJA) minus December-January-February (DJF) difference for all other integrations, for OM41, summer is defined as JAS and winter MAM, and for O4M2, summer is JAS and winter JFM. This shift was only applied to the O4M1 and OM42 integrations (here and in subsequent figures) and the impact of topography alone would appear even weaker if we simply considered the JJA-DJF difference.

For this null result, it is important to remember that topography alone does drive a pronounced annual cycle in upward wave activity in the extratropics in MiMA, with an amplitude comparable to that in reanalyses (Fig. 5). Consistent with other idealized modeling studies (e.g. Chen




FIG. 7. Vertical profile of the tropical temperature seasonal cycle (June–July–August mean minus the December–January–February mean, averaged from 30°S to 30°N) for single parameter scans of a) tropical warm pool strength, b) midlatitude topography, and c) midlatitude ‘land’ mixed layer depth. Mixed layer depth is labeled LD , where D is the mixed layer depth in meters ($D = 100$ m for CTRL). Topography is labeled $OHMm$, where H is the height in km, and m the wave number ($H = 0$ km for CTRL). Warm pool strength is labeled WQ , where Q is the zonal heat flux in W/m^2 ($Q = 0$ W/m^2 for CTRL). As expected, the tropical warm pool does not induce a seasonal cycle, as there is no North–South asymmetry in the forcing. Topography does create a seasonal cycle, but mostly in the upper stratosphere, and the profile is qualitatively very different to reanalysis. In contrast, midlatitude land–sea contrast (‘land’) has an effect which is qualitatively correct, though somewhat weak.

and Sun 2011; Gerber 2012), we find that these large planetary waves play an important role in the deep Brewer–Dobson circulation, but are drowned out by synoptic and planetary waves which break in the lower stratosphere. As noted by Ueyama et al. (2013), only about 1/5th of the mass that rises above 100 hPa between 15°S and 15°N actually makes it to 70 hPa. It is thus easy for extratropical waves to dominate the mean and annual cycle of upwelling in the mid-to-upper stratosphere, but play only a minor role in the cold point region.

Fig. 7c finally hints that MiMA is capable of capturing the observed annual cycle in TTL temperatures, and that the annual cycle of synoptic variability plays a key role, as suggested by Jucker et al. (2013). Halving the heat capacity (integration L50) has little effect on the annual cycle (consistent with the weak impact on the baroclinicity shown in Fig. 6), but once reduced by a factor of 10 (integration L10), a pronounced annual cycle appears with nearly the same structure as in observations, albeit less than half the amplitude. Further reduction of the mixed layer by another factor of 10 only modestly increases the amplitude, suggesting that the effect has saturated.

While the annual cycle of baroclinicity alone does not fully capture the amplitude of TTL variability, the structure is remarkably similar: temperatures are virtually constant through the depth of the troposphere, with an annual cycle appearing just about the tropical tropopause, increasing sharply to a peak just above the cold point, and then decaying linearly with height above. As discussed in the context of Fig. 6, the key appears to be the near collapse of the baroclinicity (and hence synoptic activity) in boreal summer. In MiMA, the heat capacity of the southern hemisphere maintains the baroclinicity year around; in the real atmosphere, it is the thermal inertia of the Southern Ocean and Antarctica, combined with the latter’s strong albedo.

Hence the annual cycle of the boreal hemisphere imprints itself strongly on the tropics above the tropopause.

b. The importance of nonlinear interactions

The three perturbations to the surface in MiMA are not fully independent of one another. For example, the change in the temperature gradients induced by changes in the heat capacity of the slab ocean are associated with variation in subtropical and extratropical jets, which in turn modify planetary wave generation and propagation. Indeed, Fig. 5 shows that planetary wave propagation into the stratosphere is enhanced in the integrations with reduced boreal hemisphere heat capacity, particularly in the one mountain configuration.

Fig. 8 reveals strong nonlinear impacts of the forcings on the annual cycle of the TTL. Planetary scale waves excited from both the tropics and midlatitudes can more than double the impact of the annual cycle in midlatitude baroclinicity, producing an annual cycle much larger than the sum of the responses to each forcing alone. MiMA can approximate the annual cycle of TTL temperatures in a number of configurations.

Fig. 8a explores the nonlinearity between extratropical planetary waves and baroclinicity. Wave 1 or 2 orography more than double the annual cycle induced by synoptic variability in the cold point region, bringing the amplitude right to observed levels. The relative amplification becomes even greater at height, and the annual cycle in MiMA eclipses that of observations above ~ 50 hPa.

Fig. 8b shows the nonlinear amplification associated with tropical planetary waves. In the presence of a ‘warm pool,’ the annual cycle induced by synoptic variability is also doubled, but only in the region just above the cold point. This suggests that any planetary wave has a pronounced impact in the lower stratosphere, but that the foot-




FIG. 8. Vertical profile of tropical temperature seasonal cycle (30°S to 30°N) as in Figure 7, but for mixed parameter scans of a) midlatitude land-sea contrast and topography, b) midlatitude land-sea contrast and tropical warm pool, c) tropical warm pool and midlatitude topography, and d) tropical warm pool, midlatitude land-sea contrast and topography. Adding topography or a tropical warm pool to land-sea contrast more than doubles the seasonal cycle, even though topography itself does not have a strong influence, and the warm pool has no effect by itself. Indeed, only adding those two forcings together (panel c)) does not have any significant effect on the TTL annual cycle. Adding all three forcings together has the strongest effect, and the forcing case with tropical warm pool, land-sea contrast, and wave-two topography ($W30.L01.O4M2$) even surpasses the annual cycle in reanalysis (dotted brown line in panel d)).

print of extratropical waves appears higher up in the middle to upper stratosphere.

The variation in baroclinicity appears essential to the annual cycle in MiMA. Fig. 8c shows that the weak effect of north-south hemispheric asymmetry induced by extratropical topography is relatively unaffected by further zonal asymmetry in the tropics. There is, however, further potential for amplification with all three forcings, as indicated by Fig. 8d. In both cases, the relative phasing between the mountains and “warm pool” could be important, and further experimentation indicated that these configurations optimized the impact on the annual cycle. In particular, the curious introduction of an annual cycle in *tropospheric* temperatures seen in the W30.OM1 and W30.OM1.L01 integrations is sensitive to the phasing.

Integration W30.L01.O4M2 is notable for producing an annual cycle in TTL temperatures that eclipses that of observations at nearly all levels. This integration combines realistic zonal variation in tropical temperatures (induced by a 30 Wm^{-2} Q-flux), realistic variation in midlatitude baroclinicity (associated with a mixed layer equivalent to 1 meter of sea water) and realistic extratropical wave propagation (induced by two 4 km mountains). The annual cycle here does include a radiative contribution associated with water vapor – a “tape recorder” in water vapor (Mote et al. 1996) appears in all MiMA integrations with an annual cycle in cold point temperature – but not from ozone, which is held fixed.

Before we dive into the mechanism, Fig. 9 compares the rich horizontal structure of the TTL and its annual variation in reanalyses with this W30.L01.O4M2 integration, focusing at 100 hPa. The model exhibits a very strong cold trap, which largely mirrors the SST maximum below, and the wave two signature associated with midlatitude topography appears in the annual variation of temperatures. But as in ERA-I, there is a great deal of synoptic scale variation in temperatures, particularly in the annual cycle. This suggests that a mix of planetary and synoptic waves are involved in the mechanism.




FIG. 9. Longitude-latitude contours of annual mean temperature (contours) and seasonal cycle (shading) at 100 hPa. (top) ERA-Interim and (bottom) MiMA. Note the strong similarities in structure, and in particular the localized maximum just off the cold point.

5. The mechanism(s) governing the TTL annual cycle

Fig. 8 indicates that MiMA can capture a realistic annual cycle of TTL temperatures and upwelling under several very different configurations. Clearly our planet has one specific configuration (at least on time scales up to 10^7 years), but the model results suggest that the mechanism may be fairly generic, and not overly sensitive to the exact configuration of the continents.

The annual cycle appears just above the tropical tropopause, peaking just above the cold point. Below, the atmosphere is in a Hadley Cell regime, where the circulation homogenizes the angular momentum, and to a lesser extent, temperature. Above the tropopause, however, it quickly transitions to a downward control regime, where a (quasi)steady circulation requires wave breaking to transport mass across surfaces of constant angular momentum, and the temperature largely responds to the mechanically induced upwelling. For this latter regime, we can construct a zeroth order model for the annual cycle by taking a Boussinesq approximation and treating all radiative processes as Newtonian relaxation,

$$\frac{db}{dt} - wN^2 = \frac{b - b_{eq}}{\tau}, \quad (5)$$

where b is the buoyancy (proportional to the temperature), b_{eq} is the "radiative equilibrium" state, w the vertical velocity, N^2 the stratification (which we take to be constant), and τ the effective radiative damping time scale.

As the annual cycle is longer than the radiative damping timescale, we can consider a quasi-steady state where the horizontal advection of buoyancy is small. Then, the temperature perturbation

$$T' \propto b - b_{eq} = wN^2\tau. \quad (6)$$

Suppose that the annual cycle is set by w (as b_{eq} should not have an *annual* cycle, but for variations in ozone and water vapor), but that the seasonal variations in w are fairly uniform in height (i.e. that the mass flux always decays exponentially with height, as in the leaky pipe model of Neu and Plumb 1999). Then, the vertical structure of the temperature perturbation is determined by τ , which has a pronounced vertical structure, decaying from a global maximum of 40 days right above the tropopause to about 10 days in the upper stratosphere (Newman and Rosenfield 1997; Jucker et al. 2013).

This crude model suggests that the radiative structure of the stratosphere will produce a local maximum in the annual cycle of TTL temperature just above the tropopause in response to fairly uniform perturbations in the upwelling. Or, in other words, a very generic annual cycle in wave breaking could be sufficient to produce such sharp vertical structure in the annual cycle, and help explain why MiMA appears able to capture a fairly realistic annual cycle in several different configurations.

Clearly, the vertical structure of the upwelling does vary depending on the source of the planetary waves, as indicated in Fig. 8. The annual cycle in upwelling associated with extratropical topography (Fig. 8a) is substantially deeper than that associated with tropical planetary waves associated with the "warm pool" (Fig. 8b), producing a larger variation in temperatures at height. The fingerprint associated with tropical waves best matches that

in reanalysis, indicating that asymmetry in tropical SSTs is essential for MiMA to capture both the mean and annual cycle of the TTL structure.

The question posed in the introduction remains: what controls the annual cycle in upwelling? The downward control framework allows us to focus in on the waves driving, as in Kim et al. (2016). Fig. 10 indicates that the actual waves driving the annual cycle in MiMA vary considerably – albeit somewhat predictably – with the structure of the forcing, despite having a similar overall impact on the upwelling.

With just a perturbation to the Northern Hemisphere heat capacity, synoptic waves play the dominant role (Fig. 10b), but with some help from waves 1 and 2; wave 3, the dominant player in the observed annual cycle (Kim et al. 2016) is notably absent. With the addition of a wave 1 warm pool, wave 1 takes on a far more prominent role, but waves 4 and 5 are also amplified. With one large mountain in the midlatitudes, odd numbered waves (1, 3, and 5) become quite important, while with two smaller mountains, waves 2 and 4 are amplified (Fig. 10a).

There is a hint of linearity in integrations with all three forcings, with the warm pool amplifying wave 1 with both topographic configurations, but the response is far from strictly linearity. Most curiously, upwelling associated with wave 2 tends to oppose the annual cycle when the warm pool and single mountain are combined with this phase relation.

The role of wave 3 in the observed atmosphere may follow in part from the wave 3 structure of the boreal winter flow. Wave 3 does play the most important role in our L01.O4M2 integration, and we suspect this could be further amplified with a more realistic lower boundary. In terms of the mechanism, however, we want to focus on how the impact of hemispheric asymmetry in "land" heat capacity is greatly amplified by the presence of any planetary scale perturbation at the lower boundary.

The source of extratropical stationary planetary wave activity does fluctuate on an annual basis (as it depends on near surface winds and stability), but there is little fluctuation in the tropical convection associated with the warm pool anomalies. Both, however, leave a strong imprint on the upwelling when combined with boreal heat capacity perturbation. As argued by Ortland and Alexander (2014), this suggest that it is annual variations in wave propagation – more so than the wave source – that cause the annual cycle in wave breaking.

Given the westward intrinsic phase velocity of Rossby waves, stationary waves depend on westerly flow to propagate, and will dissipate when they reach a level of zero velocity, or critical line. Motivated by Ortland and Alexander (2014), we illustrate the annual cycle in the tropical winds in Fig. 11 for one of our most "realistic" integrations (that picture in Fig. 9). (As also seen in Fig. 1, MiMA exhibits a hint of superrotation in the UTLS; this changes




FIG. 10. Annual cycle of tropical upwelling decomposed into wave numbers for the three cases with the largest annual cycle in temperature. Even though the temperature response in Figure 8 suggests nonlinear interactions, upwelling still is a combination of the forcings: a) synoptic waves plus uneven (even) wave numbers for L01+O4M1(M2). b) clear separation between wave-one tropical and synoptic extratropical forcing. c) W30+L01+O4M2 is very similar to L01+O4M2 plus a small increase in wave-one forcing, whereas W30+L01+O4M1 shows a much more complex behavior, with wave-two even counteracting tropical upwelling.




FIG. 11. Latitude-pressure contours of tropical zonal mean zonal wind in Northern Hemisphere Summer (top) and winter (bottom). The black dotted line denotes $u = 0$, i.e. the critical line for stationary Rossby waves. Shows the case W30.L01.O4M2; this is the integration with the largest seasonal cycle.

in configurations of the model built to capture the QBO; not shown.) The dashed lines highlight $\bar{u} = 0$ contours, the critical lines for stationary waves. The upper critical line is most relevant, as planetary waves are actively forced in the upper troposphere by tropical convection, and extratropically forced planetary waves can propagate equatorward across the subtropical jets.

There is little variation in the austral hemisphere, where the deep mixed layer limits the variability of surface temperatures. In the boreal hemisphere, however, the subtropical collapses in summertime, cutting off any planetary waves activity from this hemisphere. Given the large meridional scale of the planetary waves, however, we should not over interpret the fine scale structure of the critical line. Propagation will depend on the overall state of the tropical atmosphere, and the key is that the boreal winter state will favor a deeper penetration of planetary wave activity into the tropical stratosphere than the boreal summer state, even if the wave source remained constant.

Fig. 12 compares the tropical mean winds of the two solstitial seasons across a number of configurations, focusing on the region of the upper critical line. We take an average from 30°S to 30°N as this corresponds to the region of upwelling (i.e. spans the two turning latitudes of the residual mean circulation). The broad average captures the influence of the subtropical jets; for this reason the troposphere appears to be in a westerly regime. The darker lines in Fig. 12f correspond to the winds of the integration depicted in Fig. 11, and more clearly illustrate the fact that westerlies (in an average sense) extend 30 hPa higher in boreal winter than summer, allowing stationary waves to penetrate that much deeper into the TTL region.

The top row of Fig. 12 helps us understand the physical processes controlling the tropical mean winds. Modification of the boreal hemisphere baroclinicity is critical for the annual modification of the winds; the L50 integration in Fig. 12a is comparable to the control integration, and exhibits almost no variation; once the effective mixed layer depth drops to 10 or 1 meter, however, the critical line drops markedly in the boreal summer, associated with the weakening of the subtropical jet. In comparison, it doesn't really change that much in the winter: increasing the baroclinicity does not really affect the tropical winds, as the jets are determined by angular momentum constraints. Varying the midlatitude topography has




FIG. 12. Annual cycle of tropical zonal mean zonal wind profiles for parameter scans in a) midlatitude land-sea contrast, b) midlatitude topography, c) tropical warm pool, and the mixed cases d) land-sea contrast plus topography, e) tropical warm pool plus land-sea contrast, and f) tropical warm pool, land-sea contrast plus topography. Solid lines denote DJF, dashed lines JJA. The strength of the annual cycle in tropical zonal mean zonal wind profile is directly related to the strength of the annual cycle in temperature (Figures 7 and 8).

almost no influence on the winds at this level (Fig. 12b). The introduction of the warm pool (Fig. 12c) has a pronounced effect (consistent with its control on the mean state of the TTL), but can't by itself generate an annual cycle.

The bottom row of Fig. 12 illustrates the potential for nonlinearity as the forcings are combined. The situation is fairly linear when a warm pool is combined with the boreal heat capacity modification, integration W30.L01 in Fig. 12e. The wind profile takes on that of the warm pool integration (W30), but fluctuates in height just as in the L01. Topography – the two mountain configuration in particular – enhances the annual cycle of the winds associated with the fluctuation of baroclinicity by up to a 50% (Fig. 12d). This enhancement extends to the integrations with all three forcings (Fig. 12f), but again the structure of the profile is determined primarily by the warm pool.

To complete the argument, Figure 13 illustrates the link between the seasonal variation of the tropical zero wind line and the seasonal variation of TTL temperatures across all integrations. The positive slope implies that the larger the height difference between the zero crossings of zonal wind between DJF and JJA, the larger the TTL temperature difference between those seasons. As illustrated in Fig. 11, the seasonal variation in the critical line is primarily associated with the weakening of the northern hemisphere subtropical jet in boreal summer, which prevents stationary Rossby waves from penetrating into the TTL,




FIG. 13. Linear correlation between the TTL temperature difference between northern hemisphere winter and summer (x-axis) and the difference in height (in hPa) of the point where the zonal mean zonal wind changes from westerlies to easterlies (cf. Figure 12). There is a strong correlation, suggesting that it is the zonal wind structure which determines the location of wave propagation and breaking. The label L01.O4 includes both L01.O4M1 and L01.O4M2, L01.O4 includes both L01.O4M1 and L01.O4M2, and the label W30.L.O4 includes W30.L01.O4M1, W30.L01.O4M2, W30.L01.O2M2, and W30.L10.O4M2.

leading to weak wave breaking, diminished upwelling, and warmer temperatures in this season.

Overall, 75% of the variation in seasonal TTL temperatures can be explained by the seasonal variation in the critical lines. The critical line was assessed between 30°S to 30°N (consistent with Fig. 12), to capture the full width of the tropical upwelling region. Further experimentation with different widths (not shown) revealed that this range

of averaging is almost optimal. The correlation is stronger if we neglect the integrations with variation in midlatitude baroclinicity only (green dots): here no planetary waves are explicitly forced, and must be internally generated through baroclinic instability. With the addition of any source of planetary waves, the annual cycle in critical line variation leads to an amplified TTL annual cycle. (The L01.O42 integration – the rightmost dot in Fig. 13 – is also anomalous, but here the issue seems to be the tremendous variation in zonal winds (as shown in Fig. 12d), suggesting that the variation impact may saturate.)

The slope, approximately 0.1 K/hPa, indicates that a 10 hPa variation of the critical line translates to a 1 K variation in temperatures. This tends to underestimate the impact, as we did not constrain the regression to cross the origin, and the integrations without any zonal asymmetries exhibit a weaker response. In integrations with a warm pool, the slope is closer to 0.2 K/hPa, indicating a factor of two large sensitivity to variation in the critical lines.

6. Summary and Conclusions

We have developed a model of an idealized moist atmosphere, constructed to capture the essential elements of the hydrological cycle and radiative processes but explicitly exclude cloud-radiative feedbacks. The model, detailed in Section 2, is designed to fill in the gap between models of the “dry” atmospheric circulation Held and Suarez (e.g. 1994) and comprehensive aquaplanet models, building on the work of Frierson et al. (2006) and Merlis et al. (2013a).

We used the model to explore the mechanisms regulating the climatology and annual cycle of the tropical tropopause layer (TTL), identifying the key roles of planetary waves and boreal hemisphere temperature gradients. Adiabatic cooling associated with upwelling in the TTL region is responsible for the formation of the tropical cold point. As shown in Section 3, the model emphasizes the importance of tropical control over the climatological TTL: tropical planetary waves drive significant upwelling in the *lower stratosphere*, and so set temperature and height of the cold point. Extratropical planetary waves associated with large scale orography play an order one role in the circulation and variability of the stratosphere above 70 hPa, but are far less effective at modifying the mean TTL compared to tropical planetary waves.

Annual variations in the upwelling are primarily responsible for the annual variations in TTL temperatures. Previous studies have highlighted the potential roles of synoptic scale waves associated with midlatitude baroclinic zones (Jucker et al. 2013) and planetary scale waves generated by tropical convection (e.g. Ortland and Alexander 2014) and midlatitude topography (e.g. Yulaeva et al. 1994) in driving TTL upwelling. We use the idealized atmospheric model to explore the individual roles of each forcing, and, more importantly, their mutual interactions.

As shown in Section 4, the model is able to capture a fairly realistic variation of TTL temperatures in a number of configurations. The key ingredients are (1) a stronger annual cycle in baroclinicity in the boreal hemisphere, associated with reduced heat capacity of land relative to ocean and (2) the presence of stationary planetary scale waves, associated with *either* tropical SST variations or midlatitude topography. The critical role of boreal hemisphere baroclinicity emphasizes the potential for extratropical control over the TTL, while the fairly generic response of the TTL is consistent with the results of Randel and Jensen (2013) and Grise and Thompson (2013), who found important roles for all wave types in this region, in addition to considerable variations on a year-to-year basis.

The role of each of these ingredients in driving the annual variation was explored in Section 5, where we built on the mechanism for TTL variation proposed by Ortland and Alexander (2014). The stronger temperature variations in the boreal hemisphere – particularly the weakening of the summertime subtropical jet – lead to a significant lowering of the transition from westerly to easterly flow in boreal summer. Stationary planetary waves cannot effectively propagate through easterly flows, leading to a reduced wave breaking in boreal summer, and consequently, a warming of the TTL region. Fig. 13 suggests that 75% of the variability in the TTL temperatures across all of our simulations is associated with the variation of the tropical winds. Variation in boreal baroclinicity associated with the reduced heat capacity in the Northern Hemisphere was essential for varying the tropical winds, but planetary scale waves double the impact on TTL temperatures.

Our results suggest that the signature of tropical planetary waves is shallower than that of extratropical planetary waves, such that the tropical forcing generates a vertical profile of temperature variations closest to that seen in re-analyses and more comprehensive GCMs. The steep drop in effective radiative relaxation rates with height, however, will tend to concentrate the annual cycle in temperatures just above the tropopause. Hence the model captures a fairly realistic annual variation in TTL temperatures over a number of very different configurations, even without a tropical warm pool.

We acknowledge the danger of overinterpreting results from an idealized model that explicitly omits processes – namely, clouds – that play a critical role in the climate system. It is the robustness of the response to a number of very different configurations that gives us confidence in the relationship between planetary waves, midlatitude baroclinicity, and the TTL annual cycle.

In closing, we emphasize that, in the spirit of Held and Suarez (1994), MiMA has been defined as a recipe for an idealized atmosphere, rather than a specific numerical implementation. One can compare the influence of numerics by changing the underlying dynamical core, or the impact

of the representation of radiation by changing the radiative transfer scheme, e.g. RRTM vs. AM2. We therefore believe it may be well suited for other applications that involve the interaction between moisture, radiation, and large scale dynamics.

Acknowledgments. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grants AGS-1144302 and AGS-1546585. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF. MJ acknowledges the support of the ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science (CE110001028) during the revision of this article.

APPENDIX

Model setup parameters

All input files are part of the data published in Jucker and Gerber (2017) and available online. A detailed list of parameters in MiMA and their default values can be found in the documentation folder of the code repository Jucker (2017) and online at <https://mjucker.github.io/MiMA>. In addition, Table A1 gives the most important model setup parameters which are set to the same values for all simulations listed in Table 1.

References

- Betts, A. K., 1986: A new convective adjustment scheme. Part I: Observational and theoretical basis. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **112**, 677–691.
- Betts, A. K., and M. J. Miller, 1986: A new convective adjustment scheme. Part II: Single column tests using GATE wave, BOMEX, ATEX, and arctic air-mass data sets. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **112**, 693–709.
- Boehm, M. T., and S. Lee, 2003: The Implications of Tropical Rossby Waves for Tropical Tropopause Cirrus Formation and for the Equatorial Upwelling of the BrewerDobson Circulation. 247–261 pp., doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060<0247:TIOTRW>2.0.CO;2.
- Brewer, A. W., 1949: Evidence for a world circulation provided by the measurements of helium and water vapour distribution in the stratosphere. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **75**, 351–363.
- Butler, A. H., D. W. J. Thompson, and R. Heikes, 2010: The steady-state atmospheric circulation response to climate change-like thermal forcings in a simple general circulation model. *J. Climate*, **23**, 3474–3496.
- Chae, J. H., and S. C. Sherwood, 2007: Annual temperature cycle of the tropical tropopause: A simple model study. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **112** (19), 1–10, doi:10.1029/2006JD007956.
- Chen, G., and R. A. Plumb, 2009: Quantifying the eddy feedback and the persistence of the zonal index in an idealized atmospheric model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **66**, 3707–3719.
- Chen, G., and L. Sun, 2011: Mechanisms of the tropical upwelling branch of the brewer-dobson circulation: The role of extratropical waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **68**, 2878–2892.
- Cohen, N. Y., E. P. Gerber, and O. Bühler, 2013: Compensation between Resolved and Unresolved Wave Driving in the Stratosphere: Implications for Downward Control. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70** (12), 3780–3798, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0346.1.
- Delworth, T. L., and Coauthors, 2006: GFDL’s CM2 Global Coupled Climate Models. Part I: Formulation and Simulation Characteristics. *Journal of Climate*, **19** (5), 643–674, doi:10.1175/JCLI3629.1.
- Dessler, A. E., M. R. Schoeberl, T. Wang, S. M. Davis, and K. H. Rosenlof, 2013: Stratospheric water vapor feedback. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **110**, 18 087–18 091, doi:10.1073/pnas.1310344110.
- Fortuin, J. P. F., and U. Langematz, 1994: An update on the global ozone climatology and on concurrent ozone and temperature trends. *Proc. SPIE Vol. 2311, Atmos. Sens. Model.*, 207–216.
- Frierson, D. M. W., 2007: The Dynamics of Idealized Convection Schemes and Their Effect on the Zonally Averaged Tropical Circulation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64** (6), 1959–1976, doi:10.1175/JAS3935.1.
- Frierson, D. M. W., I. M. Held, and P. Zurita-Gotor, 2006: A Gray-Radiation Aquaplanet Moist GCM. Part I: Static Stability and Eddy Scale. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63** (10), 2548–2566, doi:10.1175/JAS3753.1.
- Frierson, D. M. W., I. M. Held, and P. Zurita-Gotor, 2007: A Gray-Radiation Aquaplanet Moist GCM. Part II: Energy Transports in Altered Climates. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64** (5), 1680–1693, doi:10.1175/JAS3913.1.
- Fueglistaler, S., A. E. Dessler, T. J. Dunkerton, I. Folkins, Q. Fu, and P. W. Mote, 2009: Tropical tropopause layer. *Rev. Geophys.*, **47**, RG1004.
- Fueglistaler, S., P. H. Haynes, and P. M. Forster, 2011: The annual cycle in lower stratospheric temperatures revisited. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**, 3701–3711, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3701-2011.
- Gerber, E. P., 2012: Stratospheric versus Tropospheric Control of the Strength and Structure of the BrewerDobson Circulation. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **69** (9), 2857–2877, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-11-0341.1.
- Gerber, E. P., and L. M. Polvani, 2009: Stratosphere-troposphere coupling in a relatively simple AGCM: The importance of stratospheric variability. *J. Clim.*, **22** (8), 1920–1933.
- Gerber, E. P., and G. K. Vallis, 2007: Eddy-zonal flow interactions and the persistence of the zonal index. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64**, 3296–3311.
- Gottelman, A., and Coauthors, 2010: Multimodel assessment of the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere: Tropics and global trends. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D00M08, doi:10.1029/2009JD013638.
- Grise, K. M., and D. W. Thompson, 2013: On the Signatures of Equatorial and Extratropical Wave Forcing in Tropical Tropopause Layer Temperatures. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70** (4), 1084–1102, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0163.1.
- Haqq-Misra, J., S. Lee, and D. M. W. Frierson, 2011: Tropopause Structure and the Role of Eddies. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **68** (12), 2930–2944, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-11-087.1.
- Hegglin, M. I., and Coauthors, 2014: Vertical structure of stratospheric water vapour trends derived from merged satellite data. *Nature Geosci.*, **7**, 768–776, doi:10.1038/ngeo2236.

Parameter	Value	Units	Meaning
dt_atmos	600	s	Dynamical time step
num_levels	80	–	Number of hybrid vertical levels
damping_order	4	–	8th order numerical diffusion
water_correction_limit	200	hPa	No water correction above 200 hPa to conserve stratospheric water vapor
initial_sphum	2.e-6	kg/kg	Initial constant specific humidity throughout the atmosphere
heat_capacity	4×10^8	J/K/m ²	Mixed layer depth of 100 m
slandlon	0	degrees	Western boundary of land patch
elandlon	360	degrees	Eastern boundary of land patch
slandlat	40	degrees	Southern boundary of land patch
elandlat	50	degrees	Northern boundary of land patch
qflux_amp	30	W/m ²	Meridional Q-flux to export heat from tropics to extratropics
olat	45	degrees	Meridional center of Gaussian mountains
olon	90 (270)	degrees	Zonal center of Gaussian mountains
wlat	20	degrees	Meridional half-width of Gaussian mountains
wlon	40 (20)	degrees	Zonal half-width of wave 1 (2) Gaussian mountains
rhbm	0.7	–	Relax to 70% relative humidity in Betts-Miller convection scheme
ozone_file	'ozone_1990.nc'	–	Use static, hemispherically symmetric ozone profiles derived from Fortuin and Langematz (1994)
co2_val	300	ppm	Run with 300 ppm CO ₂
dt_rad	7200	s	Call RRTM radiation every 2 hours
solr_cnst	1360	W/m ²	Solar constant for SW radiation

TABLE A1. List of the main parameter values which were set constant throughout all simulations in this work.

- Held, I. M., 2005: The Gap between Simulation and Understanding in Climate Modeling. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **86** (11), 1609–1614, doi:10.1175/BAMS-86-11-1609.
- Held, I. M., and M. M. J. Suarez, 1994: A Proposal for the Intercomparison of the Dynamical Cores of Atmospheric General Circulation Models. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **75** (10), 1825–1830, doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1994)075<1825:APFTIO>2.0.CO;2.
- Holton, J. R., P. H. Haynes, M. E. McIntyre, A. R. Douglass, R. B. Rood, and L. Pfister, 1995: Stratosphere-troposphere exchange. *Rev. Geophys.*, **33**, 403–439.
- Iacono, M. J., E. J. Mlawer, S. A. Clough, and J.-J. Morcrette, 2000: Impact of an improved longwave radiation model, RRTM, on the energy budget and thermodynamic properties of the NCAR community climate model, CCM3. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105** (D11), 14 873, doi:10.1029/2000JD900091.
- Jucker, M., 2016: Are sudden stratospheric warmings generic? insights from an idealized gcm. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **73**, 5061–5080, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0353.1.
- Jucker, M., 2017: mjucker/mima: Mima v1.0. doi:10.5281/zenodo.321708.
- Jucker, M., S. Fueglistaler, and G. K. Vallis, 2013: Maintenance of the Stratospheric Structure in an Idealized General Circulation Model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70** (11), 3341–3358, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0305.1.
- Jucker, M., and E. P. Gerber, 2017: *Mendeley Data*, URL <https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/jvfdrsyhmk/draft?a=4d2e4057-4712-473c-ba44-0e8187c7112a>.
- Kerr-Munslow, A. M., and W. A. Norton, 2006: Tropical Wave Driving of the Annual Cycle in Tropical Tropopause Temperatures. Part I: ECMWF Analyses. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63** (5), 1410–1419, doi:10.1175/JAS3697.1.
- Kidston, J., G. K. Vallis, S. M. Dean, and J. A. Renwick, 2011: Can the increase in the eddy length scale under global warming cause the poleward shift of the jet streams? *J. Climate*, **24**, 3764–3779.
- Kim, J., W. J. Randel, T. Birner, and M. Abalos, 2016: Spectrum of wave forcing associated with the annual cycle of upwelling at the tropical tropopause. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **73** (2), 855–868, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-15-0096.1.
- Kim, J., S.-W. Son, J. Kim, and S.-W. Son, 2015: Formation and Maintenance of the Tropical Cold-Point Tropopause in a Dry Dynamic-Core GCM. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **72** (8), 3097–3115, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-14-0338.1.
- Merlis, T. M., T. Schneider, S. Bordoni, and I. Eisenman, 2013a: Hadley Circulation Response to Orbital Precession. Part I: Aquaplanets. *J. Clim.*, **26** (3), 740–753, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00716.1.
- Merlis, T. M., T. Schneider, S. Bordoni, and I. Eisenman, 2013b: Hadley Circulation Response to Orbital Precession. Part II: Subtropical Continent. *J. Clim.*, **26** (3), 754–771, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00149.1.
- Ming, A., A. C. Maycock, P. Hitchcock, and P. Haynes, 2017: The radiative role of ozone and water vapour in the temperature annual cycle in the tropical tropopause layer. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, submitted.
- Mlawer, E. J., S. J. Taubman, P. D. Brown, M. J. Iacono, and S. A. Clough, 1997: Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102** (D14), 16 663, doi:10.1029/97JD00237.
- Mote, P. W., and Coauthors, 1996: An atmospheric tape recorder: The imprint of tropical tropopause temperatures on stratospheric water vapor. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 3989–4006.
- Neu, J. L., and R. A. Plumb, 1999: Age of air in a “leaky pipe” model of stratospheric transport. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, 19 243–19 255.

- Newman, P. A., and J. E. Rosenfield, 1997: Stratospheric thermal damping times. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 433–436.
- Norton, W. A., 2006: Tropical Wave Driving of the Annual Cycle in Tropical Tropopause Temperatures. Part II: Model Results. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63** (5), 1420–1431, doi:10.1175/JAS3698.1.
- Ortland, D. a., and M. J. Alexander, 2014: The Residual-Mean Circulation in the Tropical Tropopause Layer Driven by Tropical Waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **71** (4), 1305–1322, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0100.1.
- Plumb, R. A., 2007: Tracer interrelationships in the stratosphere. *Rev. Geophys.*, **45** (4), 1–33, doi:10.1029/2005RG000179.
- Polvani, L. M., and P. J. Kushner, 2002: Tropospheric response to stratospheric perturbations in a relatively simple general circulation model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **29** (7), 40–43, doi:10.1029/2001GL014284.
- Randel, W. J., R. Garcia, and F. Wu, 2008: Dynamical balances and tropical stratospheric upwelling. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **65**, 3584–3594, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2756.1.
- Randel, W. J., and E. J. Jensen, 2013: Physical processes in the tropical tropopause layer and their roles in a changing climate. *Nat. Geosci.*, **6** (3), 169–176, doi:10.1038/ngeo1733.
- Ryu, J.-H., and S. Lee, 2010: Effect of Tropical Waves on the Tropical Tropopause Transition Layer Upwelling. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **67** (10), 3130–3148, doi:10.1175/2010JAS3434.1.
- Ryu, J.-H., S. Lee, and S.-W. Son, 2008: Vertically Propagating Kelvin Waves and Tropical Tropopause Variability. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **65** (6), 1817–1837, doi:10.1175/2007JAS2466.1.
- Schneider, T., and C. C. Walker, 2006: Self-organization of atmospheric macroturbulence into critical states of weak nonlinear eddyeddy interactions. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **63** (6), 1569–1586, doi:10.1175/JAS3699.1.
- Schofield, R., S. Fueglistaler, I. Wohltmann, and M. Rex, 2011: Sensitivity of stratospheric Br_y to uncertainties in very short lived substance emissions and atmospheric transport. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11** (4), 1379–1392, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1379-2011.
- Simpson, I. R., M. Blackburn, and J. D. Haigh, 2009: The role of eddies in driving the tropospheric response to stratospheric heating perturbations. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **66**, 1347–1365, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2758.1.
- Solomon, S., K. H. Rosenlof, R. W. Portmann, J. S. Daniel, S. M. Davis, T. J. Sanford, and G.-K. Plattner, 2010: Contributions of stratospheric water vapor to decadal changes in the rate of global warming. *Science*, **327**, 1219–1223.
- Ueyama, R., E. P. Gerber, J. M. Wallace, and D. M. W. Frierson, 2013: The Role of High-Latitude Waves in the Intraseasonal to Seasonal Variability of Tropical Upwelling in the BrewerDobson Circulation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70** (6), 1631–1648, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0174.1.
- Ueyama, R., and J. M. Wallace, 2010: To What Extent Does High-Latitude Wave Forcing Drive Tropical Upwelling in the BrewerDobson Circulation? 1232–1246 pp., doi:10.1175/2009JAS3216.1.
- Voigt, A., and T. A. Shaw, 2015: Circulation response to warming shaped by radiative changes of clouds and water vapour. *Nature Geosci.*, **8**, 102–106, doi:10.1038/ngeo2345.
- Yulaeva, E., J. R. Holton, and J. M. Wallace, 1994: On the cause of the annual cycle in tropical lower-stratospheric temperatures. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **51** (2), 169–174, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<0169:OTCOTA>2.0.CO;2.