Numerical Methods I: Numerical linear algebra

Georg Stadler Courant Institute, NYU stadler@cims.nyu.edu

September 21, 2017

We study the solution of linear systems of the form

 $A \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We assume that this system has a unique solution, i.e., A is invertible.

Solving linear systems is needed in many applications. Often, we have to solve

- large systems (can be up to millions of unknowns, and more)
- as fast as possible, and
- accurately and reliably.

There exist explicit formulas for solving linear systems but they are extremely expensive (e.g., Kramer's rule requires computing determinants).

Triangular systems (forward substitution):

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & & & \vdots\\ l_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & l_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1\\ \vdots\\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1\\ \vdots\\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \quad Aexime \\ dut(L) \neq 0 \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ \text{overall} \\ i = i \\ i$$

Solving linear systems Triangular systems, implementation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ l_{n1} & \cdots & \cdots & l_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Algovik}_{n}, \text{ row-based}}_{x(1,1) = b(1)/L(1,1)}$$

$$for \quad i = 2:n \\ \times(i) = b(i) - L(i_1 \wedge i - i) + x(1:-i) \\ b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) i$$

$$b(q+1:n) = b(q) + (q_1 + i - i) + x(1:-i) \\ b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) i$$

$$b(q+1:n) = b(q) + (q_1 + i - i) + x(1:-i) \\ b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) i$$

$$b(q+1:n) = b(q) + (q_1 + i - i) + x(1:-i) \\ b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) i$$

$$b(q+1:n) = b(q) + (q_1 + i - i) + x(1:-i) \\ b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) i$$

$$b(q+1:n) = b(q) + (q_1 + i - i) + x(1:-i) \\ b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) i$$

$$b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) - b(q) + x(q_1) i$$

$$b(q) = b(q)/L(q_1) - b(q) + x(q_1) - y(q_1) + y$$



Triangular systems:

Forward and backward substitution, requires

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \text{ multiplications/divisions,}$$

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text{ additions.}$$

Overall: $\sim n^2$ floating point operations (flops).

We count flops to estimate the computational time/effort. Besides floating point operations, computer memory access has a significant influence on the efficiency of numerical methods (see experiments in homework #2).

Gaussian elimination—LU factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Gaussian elimination: "new row = row $i - l_{i1}$ row 1"

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a'_{22} & \cdots & \cdots & a'_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & a'_{n2} & \cdots & \cdots & a'_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_2 \\ b'_3 \\ \vdots \\ b'_n \end{bmatrix}$$

New system matrix/rhs is: $A^{(2)} = L_1 A$, $b^{(2)} = L_1 b$.

Gaussian elimination—LU factorization

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Gaussian elimination: "new row = row $i - l_{i1}$ row 1"

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a'_{22} & \cdots & \cdots & a'_{2n} \\ \vdots & 0 & a''_{33} & \cdots & a''_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & a''_{n3} & \cdots & a''_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b'_2 \\ b''_3 \\ \vdots \\ b''_n \end{bmatrix}$$

New system matrix/rhs is: $A^{(3)} = L_2 L_1 A$, $b^{(3)} = L_2 L_1 b$.

Gaussian elimination—LU factorization

We obtain:

$$A^{(n)} = L_{n-1} \cdots L_1 A, \quad \boldsymbol{b}^{(n)} = L_{n-1} \cdots L_1 \boldsymbol{b},$$

with the Frobenius matrices



Note that L_k^{-1} are also Frobenius matrices, but with different sign for the $l_{j,i}$'s.



Note that L_k^{-1} are also Frobenius matrices, but with different sign for the $l_{j,i}$'s.



Gaussian elimination—LU factorization

Algorithm for solving linear system Ax = b (assuming diagonal elements do not vanish):

- 1. Compute triangular factorization A = LU.
- 2. Solve Lz = b (forward substitution).
- 3. Solve $U\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{z}$ (backward substitution).

 $Ax=b \Rightarrow Ux=b: Solve Lz=b O(n^2)$ z $Ux=z O(n^2)$

Gaussian elimination—LU factorization

Algorithm for solving linear system Ax = b (assuming diagonal elements do not vanish):

- 1. Compute triangular factorization A = LU. flops : $\sim \frac{h^3}{2}$ flops
- 2. Solve Lz = b (forward substitution).
- 3. Solve Ux = z (backward substitution).

Notes:

- Main cost is LU factorization.
- Factorization can be reused for different right hand sides b.

Solving $Ax=5 \div x=A \setminus b_i$ How obout: $x = inv(A) \neq b_i$ Mallab:

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{LU}}$ with pivoting

If diagonal "pivoting" element is zero (or very small), one has to exchange rows and/or columns-otherwise the LU factorization fails. Basic idea:

Choose largest element (in absolute value) in the row that is eliminated as pivot.

Example with a 3 digit computer:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} 10^{-4} \\ 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} X_{1} \\ X_{2} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{log} \text{ of } \text{Solution}^{-1} \\ X_{1} = 1.000 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X_{1} = 1.000 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X_{1} = 1.000 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X_{1} = 1.000 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X_{2} = 0.999 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X_{2} = 0.999 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} X_{2} = 0.999 \\ X_{2} = 0.999 \\ 0 & 1 - 10^{4} \\ 0 & 1 - 10^{4} \\ 0 & 1 - 10^{4} \\ 2 - 10^{4} \\ 0 & 1 - 10^{4} \\ 2 - 10^{4} \\ 0 & 1 -$$

LU with pivoting

Pivoting can be expressed by permutation matrices P_{π} , resulting in $\begin{pmatrix} t & \circ & \circ \\ \circ & \circ & r \end{pmatrix}$ the LU decomposition (the permutation π also affects L and U). Theorem: For every invertible matrix A, there exists a permutation matrix P_{π} such that

matrix with wady one "1" in

, each row & column, zeros ebe

$$P_{\pi}A = LU$$

is possible. The permutation can be chosen such that all entries in L are < 1. der (A) = 0 = mit all entries in first column all zero Let's permuk rows such shah $A^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_{T_{i}} A \quad |a_{ii}^{(1)}| \ge |a_{ii}^{(1)}|$ $A^{(2)} = L, A^{(1)} = L, P_{7}, A = \bigcirc B^{(2)} | \mathcal{A}^{+} \cdots$ entries in Ly have abs. ratue $\leq [.]$

Solving linear systems LU with pivoting repeat $1 = A^{(n)}$ - Proven RAA PTT Lk PTT Ln-1 _h-1

Choleski factorization

A matrix is symmetric positive definite (spd), if $A = A^T$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \neq 0$, the inner product $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$. For spd matrices, we can compute the factorization:

$$A = LDL^T,$$

where L is a lower triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal, and D is a positive diagonal matrix.

The Choleski factorization is obtained by multiplying the square root of D (which exists!) with L:

$$A = \bar{L}\bar{L}^T.$$

Choleski factorization requires $\sim \frac{n^3}{6}$ multiplications and n square roots.

Kinds of linear systems

Solvers such as MATLAB's $\$ take advantage of matrix properties:

- Dense matrix storage: Only entries are stored as 1D array (column or row wise)
- ► Sparse matrix storage: Most a_{ij} = 0: only store nonzero entries; stores indices and value; occur in many applications

Kinds of linear systems

Solvers such as MATLAB's $\$ take advantage of matrix properties:

- ► Fast algorithms for special matrices: for computing Ax, FFT, FMM,
- Sparse: Most $a_{ij} = 0$: avoid fill-in in factorizations
- Structured/unstructured: is the sparsity pattern easy to describe without storing it explicitly?



Symmetry, positivity ...

- Special factorizations for (skew) symmetric matrices
- Special factorizations for positive definite matrices (Choleski)
- Diagonally dominant matrices don't need pivoting

MATLAB's \setminus (i.e., UMFPACK) chooses the optimal algorithms after studying properties of the matrix (details in the "backslash" book: Tim Davis: *Direct methods for sparse linear systems*, SIAM, 2006.)

UMFPACK's decision tree for dense matrices





UMFPACK's decision tree for sparse matrices





Factorization-based/direct solvers (dense/sparse LU, Choleski) require the matrix

- to fit into memory,
- to be explicitly available (sometimes only a function that applies the matrix to a vector is available) and to fit in memory,
- + but compute exact (besides rounding error) solution

Iterative solvers

- find an ε -approximation of the solution,
- + able to solve very large problems,
- + often only require a function that computes $Am{x}$ for given $m{x}$
- $\pm\,$ might be faster or slower than a factorization-based method

MATLAB demo

- What are the different storage formats (sparse/dense)? Is it always better to use one of them?
- How long does it take to solve sparse/dense systems?
- What is fill in and how to avoid it?

MATLAB demo

```
Sparse/sense storage:
A=rand(2,2);
B=sparse(A);
whos
Fill-in:
A=bucky + 4*speye(60);
r = symrcm(A);
spy(A); spy(A(r,r)); spy(chol(A)); spy(chol(A(r,r)));
Which sparse solver?
spparms('spumoni',1);
A=gallery('poisson',8);
b=randn(64,1);
A\b;
```