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## Solving linear systems

We study the solution of linear systems of the form

$$
A \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}
$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We assume that this system has a unique solution, i.e., $A$ is invertible.

Solving linear systems is needed in many applications. Often, we have to solve

- large systems (can be up to millions of unknowns, and more)
- as fast as possible, and
- accurately and reliably.

There exist explicit formulas for solving linear systems but they are extremely expensive (e.g., Kramer's rule requires computing determinants).

Solving linear systems
Triangular systems (forward substitution):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
l_{11} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
l_{n 1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & l_{n n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
\text { Assume } \\
\prod_{i=1}^{n} l_{i i} \\
\operatorname{det}(L) \neq O
\end{array}} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=b_{1} / l_{11} & 1 \text { division } \\
x_{2}=\left(b_{2}-\ell_{21} x_{1}\right) / \ell_{22} & \mid \text { division, } \mid \text { malt, } \mid \text { addition }
\end{array} \\
& \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{2}=\theta\left(n^{2}\right) \\
& \text { addodions/multhphes } \\
& \text { "flops" } \\
& x_{n}=\left(b_{n}-l_{n 1} x_{1}-\ln _{n 2} x_{2} \ldots l_{n, n-1} x_{n-1}\right) / \ln \frac{1 \text { div, } n-1 \text { mull, } n-1 \text { additions }}{} \\
& n \text { divisions, } \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text { mullipl. } \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text { adolutions }
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving linear systems
Triangular systems, implementation:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
l_{11} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
l_{n 1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & l_{n n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Algovithen, row-based

$$
x(1,1)=b(1) / L(1,1) i
$$

for $i=2: n$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2: \mu \\
& x(i)=b(i)-L(i, 1=(i-)) * x(i-1))
\end{align*}
$$

end

Algorithm, column -bard
for $j=1: n-1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
b(1)=b(1) / L(\gamma(\gamma) i \\
b(\gamma+1: n)=b(1+1: n)-b(\gamma) *
\end{gathered}
$$

end

$$
b(n)=b(r) / L(n, n) \rightarrow \underset{\text { Solution } x}{ } \rightarrow \text { stine }
$$

Triangular systems:


Forward and backward substitution, requires

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \text { multiplications/divisions, } \\
& \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \text { additions. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Overall: $\sim n^{2}$ floating point operations (flops).
We count flops to estimate the computational time/effort. Besides floating point operations, computer memory access has a significant influence on the efficiency of numerical methods (see experiments in homework \#2).

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Gaussian elimination: "new row $=$ row $i-l_{i 1}$ row 1 "

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
0 & a_{22}^{\prime} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{2 n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
0 & a_{n 2}^{\prime} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2}^{\prime} \\
b_{3}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

New system matrix/rhs is: $A^{(2)}=\quad L_{1} A, \boldsymbol{b}^{(2)}=\quad L_{1} \boldsymbol{b}$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Gaussian elimination: "new row $=$ row $i-l_{i 1}$ row 1 "

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
0 & a_{22}^{\prime} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{2 n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & 0 & a_{33}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & a_{3 n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & a_{n 3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & a_{n n}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1} \\
b_{2}^{\prime} \\
b_{3}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots \\
b_{n}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

New system matrix/rhs is: $A^{(3)}=L_{2} L_{1} A, \boldsymbol{b}^{(3)}=L_{2} L_{1} \boldsymbol{b}$.

We obtain:

$$
A^{(n)}=L_{n-1} \cdots L_{1} A, \quad \boldsymbol{b}^{(n)}=L_{n-1} \cdots L_{1} \boldsymbol{b}
$$

with the Frobenius matrices

$$
L_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & -l_{k+1, k} & 1 & & \\
& & \vdots & & \ddots & \\
& & -l_{n, k} & & & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that $L_{k}^{-1}$ are also Frobenius matrices, but with different sign for the $l_{j, i}$ 's.

We obtain:

$$
A^{(n)}=L_{n-1} \cdots L_{1} A, \quad \boldsymbol{b}^{(n)}=L_{n-1} \cdots L_{1} \boldsymbol{b}
$$

with the Frobenius matrices

$$
L_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & -l_{k+1, k} & 1 & & \\
& & \vdots & & \ddots & \\
& & -l_{n, k} & & & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



Note that $L_{k}^{-1}$ are also Frobenius matrices, but with different sign for the $l_{j, i}$ 's.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right]} \\
=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
l_{21} & 1 & & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
l_{n 1} & l_{n 2} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{11} & u_{12} & \cdots & u_{1 n} \\
0 & u_{22} & & u_{2 n} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & u_{n n}
\end{array}\right] \\
\quad \begin{array}{rl}
u_{11} & =a_{11} \\
u_{12} & =a_{12} \\
l_{21} u_{11} & =a_{21}
\end{array} \\
\\
l_{21} u_{12}+u_{22}
\end{array}\right]=a_{22} .
$$

Solving linear systems
Gaussian elimination-LU factorization

Algorithm for solving linear system $A \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ (assuming diagonal elements do not vanish):

1. Compute triangular factorization $A=L U$.
2. Solve $L \boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{b}$ (forward substitution).
3. Solve $U \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{z}$ (backward substitution).

$$
A x=b \leadsto \underbrace{U x}_{z}=b: \text { Solve } \begin{aligned}
L z & =b \quad \theta\left(n^{2}\right) \\
U x & =z \quad \theta\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm for solving linear system $A \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$ (assuming diagonal elements do not vanish):

1. Compute triangular factorization $A=L U$. ऑhogro: $\sim \frac{n^{3}}{3}$ flops
2. Solve $L \boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{b}$ (forward substitution).
3. Solve $U \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{z}$ (backward substitution).

Notes:

- Main cost is $L U$ factorization.
- Factorization can be reused for different right hand sides $\boldsymbol{b}$.

Matlab: Solving $A x=5: x=A \backslash b_{i}$
How about: $\quad x=\operatorname{inv}(A) * b$ :

Solving linear systems
LU with pivoting
If diagonal "pivoting" element is zero (or very small), one has to exchange rows and/or columns-otherwise the LU factorization fails.

Basic idea:
Choose largest element (in absolute value) in the row that is eliminated as pivot.

Example:
$A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \rightarrow$ Gam elimination foil exchange $\int^{\text {sf }} \& 2^{\text {nd }}$ now:

$$
\tilde{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=L U, \quad L=U=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Solving linear systems
LU with pivoting
Example with a 3 digit computer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Example with a } 3 \text { digit computer: } \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
10^{-4} & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{e}}=\binom{1}{2} \text { exact solution: } \begin{array}{l}
x_{1}=1.000 \\
x_{2}=0.999
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Gain eliminatia on machine with 3 accurak digits:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
10^{-4} & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc|c}
10^{-4} & 1 & 1 \\
0 & \underbrace{1-10^{4}}_{2-1.000} & \underbrace{2-10^{4}}_{10^{4}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Longrightarrow \frac{x_{2}=1.000 \times 10^{4}}{10^{-4} x_{1}+1.000}=1.000 \Longrightarrow x_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving linear systems mater with exactly one "I" in LU with pivoting each row \& column, zeros els Pivoting can be expressed by permutation matrices $P_{\pi}$, resulting in $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$
the LU decomposition (the permutation $\pi$ also affects $L$ and $U$ ). Theorem: For every invertible matrix A, there exists a permutation matrix $P_{\pi}$ such that

$$
P_{\pi} A=L U
$$

is possible. The permutation can be chosen such that all entries in $L$ are $\leq 1$.
Proof(Sufch): $\operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0 \rightarrow$ not all entries in find column ale zew Let's permuk rows such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{(1)}=P_{\tau_{1}} A, \quad\left|a_{11}^{(1)}\right| \geqslant\left|a_{11}^{(1)}\right| \\
A^{(2)}=L_{1} A^{(1)}=L_{1} P_{\tau_{1}} A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11}^{(1)} & \not 4 \cdots & \cdots \\
\hline 0 & * \\
\vdots & B^{(2)} \\
0 &
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving linear systems
LU with pivoting
repeat

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U=A^{(n)}=L_{\mu-1} P_{\tau_{n-1}} \cdots \cdots \cdot L_{2} P_{\tau_{2}} L_{1} P_{c_{1}} A \\
& \hat{L}_{k}=P_{\pi} L_{k} P_{\pi}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & & & \\
\ddots & & \\
-l_{(m), k} & & \\
-\lambda_{\pi(h), k} & & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

A matrix is symmetric positive definite (spd), if $A=A^{T}$ and for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \boldsymbol{x} \neq 0$, the inner product $\langle A \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}\rangle>0$.
For spd matrices, we can compute the factorization:

$$
A=L D L^{T}
$$

where $L$ is a lower triangular matrix with 1 's on the diagonal, and $D$ is a positive diagonal matrix.
The Choleski factorization is obtained by multiplying the square root of $D$ (which exists!) with $L$ :

$$
A=\bar{L} \bar{L}^{T}
$$

Choleski factorization requires $\sim \frac{n^{3}}{6}$ multiplications and $n$ square roots.

Kinds of linear systems
Solvers such as MATLAB's $\backslash$ take advantage of matrix properties:

- Dense matrix storage: Only entries are stored as 1D array (column or row wise)
- Sparse matrix storage: Most $a_{i j}=0$ : only store nonzero entries; stores indices and value; occur in many applications

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & -
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\longrightarrow$ dense just stows first colin, and column ... etc.
Spare format: only stour mon-zuos', need to store the value $a_{i j}$ and $i, f$.

Kinds of linear systems
Solvers such as MATLAB's $\backslash$ take advantage of matrix properties:

- Fast algorithms for special matrices: for computing $A x$, EFT, EM, ...
hon -zees elemab in factorization.
- Sparse: Most $a_{i j}=0$ : avoid fill-in in factorizations
- Structured/unstructured: is the sparsity pattern easy to describe without storing it explicitly?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sara matux }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Kinds of linear systems and solvers

Symmetry, positivity ...

- Special factorizations for (skew) symmetric matrices
- Special factorizations for positive definite matrices (Choleski)
- Diagonally dominant matrices don't need pivoting

MATLAB's $\backslash$ (i.e., UMFPACK) chooses the optimal algorithms after studying properties of the matrix (details in the "backslash" book: Tim Davis: Direct methods for sparse linear systems, SIAM, 2006.)

Kinds of linear systems and solvers
UMFPACK's decision tree for dense matrices


## Kinds of linear systems and solvers

UMFPACK's decision tree for dense matrices


UMFPACK's decision tree for sparse matrices


UMFPACK's decision tree for sparse matrices


## Kinds of linear systems and solvers

Factorization-based/direct solvers (dense/sparse LU, Choleski) require the matrix

- to fit into memory,
- to be explicitly available (sometimes only a function that applies the matrix to a vector is available) and to fit in memory,
+ but compute exact (besides rounding error) solution
Iterative solvers
- find an $\varepsilon$-approximation of the solution,
+ able to solve very large problems,
+ often only require a function that computes $A \boldsymbol{x}$ for given $\boldsymbol{x}$
$\pm$ might be faster or slower than a factorization-based method
- What are the different storage formats (sparse/dense)? Is it always better to use one of them?
- How long does it take to solve sparse/dense systems?
- What is fill in and how to avoid it?


## Kinds of linear systems and solvers

## MATLAB demo

Sparse/sense storage:
A=rand (2,2);
B=sparse(A) ;
whos
Fill-in:
A=bucky + 4*speye (60);
r = symrcm(A);
$\operatorname{spy}(A) ; \operatorname{spy}(A(r, r)) ; \operatorname{spy}(\operatorname{chol}(A)) ; \operatorname{spy}(\operatorname{chol}(A(r, r))) ;$
Which sparse solver?
spparms('spumoni',1);
A=gallery('poisson', 8) ;
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{randn}(64,1)$;
$\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{b}$;

