Electrostatics in doubly periodic geometries

Ondrej Maxian, Raul P. Pelaez, Leslie Greengard, and Aleks Donev

October 22, 2020



Ewald splitting for point-like charges

Dielectric boundaries (walls)

Doubly periodic geometry

Poisson's equation for electrostatic potential

$$\epsilon \Delta \phi = -f$$

doubly periodic in $(x, y) \in [-L, L]$. Unbounded in z. Assuming electroneutral domain

$$abla \phi(x, y, z o \pm \infty) o 0$$

For now, assume f is a smooth function



Fourier approach

$$\epsilon \Delta \phi = -f$$

In our applications, f is compactly supported in $[-L, L]^2 \times [0, H]$.

$$ightarrow \epsilon \Delta \phi = 0 \quad z < 0 ext{ or } z > H$$

Harmonic solve in xy Fourier space $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$

$$\epsilon \left(\widehat{\phi}_{zz} - k^2 \widehat{\phi} \right) = 0$$

 $\rightarrow \widehat{\phi}(k, z) = \begin{cases} Ae^{-kz} & z > F \\ Be^{kz} & z < 0 \end{cases}$

This implies the boundary conditions

$$\widehat{\phi}_{z}(k,H) + k\widehat{\phi}(k,H) = 0$$

$$\underbrace{\widehat{\phi}_{z}(k,0) - k\widehat{\phi}(k,0) = 0}_{z}$$

Dirichlet to Neumann map!

Boundaries arbitrary ightarrow same BCs hold for *interior* $\widehat{\phi}$

Finite problem to solve

$$\epsilon \Delta \phi = -f$$

Periodic BCs \rightarrow FFT \rightarrow 2 point BVP for each $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$

$$\epsilon \left(\widehat{\phi}_{zz} - k^2 \widehat{\phi} \right) = -\widehat{f}(k, z)$$
$$\widehat{\phi}_z(x, y, H) + k\phi(k, H) = 0$$
$$\widehat{\phi}_z(x, y, 0) - k\widehat{\phi}(x, y, 0) = 0$$

Solve this BVP using spectral integration matrix (Greengard 1991)

- Lay down Chebyshev grid
- Solve for $\hat{\phi}_{zz}$ on the Cheb grid: $\epsilon \left(\boldsymbol{I} k^2 \boldsymbol{S} \right) \hat{\phi}_{zz} = -\hat{f}(k, z)$
- ▶ Obtain $\hat{\phi}$ by integration

Smoothness of f

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{q_i}{(2\pi g_w^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_i\|^2}{2g_w^2}\right)$$

- *f* is the charge density due to collection of Gaussian charges
 How large can g_w be?
- Can a grid-based method work? Only if $h \sim g_w$.





Need alternative strategy for small charges



Ewald splitting for point-like charges

Dielectric boundaries (walls)

Ewald splitting

Introduce Gaussian splitting function

$$\gamma(r;\xi) \propto e^{-r^2\xi^2}$$

• Splitting parameter ξ has units 1/length optimized for speed

Split charge = smeared charge + "dipole"



Why does Ewald help?

• Near field $\epsilon \Delta \phi^{(n)} = (1 - \gamma) * f$ has net zero charge

- Exponentially-decaying near field Green's function
- Free space $BC \rightarrow analytical solution$
- Can be made nonzero at $\mathcal{O}(1)$ neighbors per point

Cost of near field = O(N)

• Far field $\epsilon \Delta \phi^{(f)} = \gamma * f$ is wider and smoother

- Grid-based solver works
- Spread charge density to grid by convolving $f * \gamma^{1/2}$
- Solve $\epsilon \Delta \psi = (f * \gamma^{1/2})$ on grid
- Interpolate grid γ^{1/2} ∗ ψ to get φ^(f) = ε⁻¹Δ⁻¹ (f ∗ γ) at charges.



Ewald splitting for point-like charges

Dielectric boundaries (walls)

Permittivity jump - single wall

BCs for the potential ϕ at a dielectric interface: continuity of potential and displacement

$$\phi(x, y, 0^+) = \phi(x, y, 0^-)$$

$$\epsilon \phi_z(x, y, 0^+) = \epsilon_b \phi_z(x, y, 0^-)$$



Image construction - single wall

Solution on z > 0 same as with uniform permittivity and set of *image charges*



Use DP solver + Ewald splitting on the problem with images

Complications for slab geometry

- Three different permittivities
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ New BCs at } z = H$

$$\phi(x, y, H^+) = \phi(x, y, H^-)$$

$$\epsilon \phi_z(x, y, H^-) = \epsilon_t \phi_z(x, y, H^+)$$



Infinitely many images! Back to an infinite problem

Ewald splitting for slab geometries

Near field is easy

- Choose ξ s.t. only one set of images interact with slab
- Image construction satisfies BCs
- ► Still $\mathcal{O}(N)$



Ewald splitting: far field

Far field more involved

$$\epsilon \Delta \phi^{(f)} = -\gamma * \left(f^{(\text{charges})} + f^{(\text{img})} \right) = -\gamma * \left(f^{(\text{charges})} + f^{(\text{img}, 1)} + f^{(\text{img}, 2)} \right)$$

- Spread to grid = smear charges
- Identify interpolation domain
- Find images that overlap domain
- Do initial solve with *only* these images (BCs *not* satisfied)

$$\epsilon \Delta \tilde{\phi}^{(f)} = -\gamma * \left(f^{(\text{charges})} + f^{(\text{img, 1})} \right)$$

But remaining images have f^(img) = 0 in interp domain

$$\epsilon \Delta \left(\phi^{(f)} - \tilde{\phi}^{(f)} \right) = -\gamma * f^{(\text{img, 2})} = 0$$



Charged walls

- Assume no charges (superposition)
- Harmonic solve with continuity BCs and

$$\epsilon \phi_z(x, y, 0^+) - \epsilon_b \phi_z(x, y, 0^-) = -\sigma_b(x, y)$$

$$\epsilon \phi_z(x, y, H^-) - \epsilon_t \phi_z(x, y, H^+) = \sigma_t(x, y)$$

$$\epsilon\phi_z(x, y, H^-) - \epsilon_t\phi_z(x, y, H^+) = \sigma_t(x, y, H^+)$$

- ▶ 2D FFT to get $\hat{\sigma}_{b}(k)$, $\hat{\sigma}_{t}(k)$
- Solve 2 pt BVP

$$\widehat{\phi}_{zz} - k^2 \widehat{\phi} = 0$$

with BCs above $((x, y) \rightarrow k)$

Can be combined with prior harmonic solve if desired



Ewald splitting for point-like charges

Dielectric boundaries (walls)

Salt water electrolyte

Na⁺ and Cl^- ions (soft spheres of radius *a*) in water ($\epsilon \approx 78$) confined by glass wall ($\epsilon_{out} \approx 1$, really 2 – 5)

▶
$$q^* = -q rac{\epsilon_{ ext{out}} - \epsilon}{\epsilon_{ ext{out}} + \epsilon} pprox 0.9 q$$
, images repelled by each other



$\underline{UAMMD} = Brownian dynamics GPU code by Raul P.$

Croxton et. al. Can. J. Chem 59 (13), 1981.

Charged walls

Positively-charged wall with negatively charged ions

- $\epsilon_{out} = \epsilon \rightarrow$ no images, matches analytical solution of PNP equations (macroscopic theory, no ϵ_{out})
- ▶ $\epsilon_{out} = 5/78\epsilon \approx 0.06\epsilon \rightarrow \text{Images repelled by each other}$
- $\epsilon_{out} = 0 \rightarrow \text{field outside irrelevant, close to glass}$
- Density of charges drops near wall



Speed on the GPU

Splitting parameter ξ chosen to optimize speed

- Smaller ξ : slow near $e^{-r^2\xi^2}$ decay, fast Fourier e^{-k^2/ξ^2} decay
 - Near field eats up entire cost
- Larger ξ : faster near field decay, slower Fourier decay
 - Finer grid, far field (spread & interpolate, FFT) cost more



20K charges = 6 ms per time step!