

Probability, homework 6, solutions.

Exercise 1. Let $(X_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be i.i.d. Gaussian with mean 1 and variance 3. Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ a.s.}$$

Solution. Let $A = \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} = 1\}$ and $B = \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2}{n} = 4\}$. The X_i 's and X_i^2 's are in L^1 and $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = 1$, $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2) = 3 + \mathbb{E}(X_i)^2 = 4$, so by the law of large numbers $\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(B) = 1$, so that $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = 1$. As $A \cap B \subset \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2} = \frac{1}{4}\}$, the result follows.

Exercise 2. Let f be a continuous function on $[0, 1]$. Calculate the asymptotics, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, of

$$\int_{[0,1]^n} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Solution. Let $U_1, U_2, \dots$ be independent random variables, uniform on $[0, 1]$. By the law of large numbers, $(U_1 + \dots + U_n)/n \rightarrow 1/2$ almost surely, hence in distribution. This implies that for any continuous function on $[0, 1]$ (and therefore bounded),

$$\mathbb{E} f\left(\frac{U_1 + \dots + U_n}{n}\right) \rightarrow f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

which is equivalent to showing that the desired asymptotics is $f(\frac{1}{2})$.

Exercise 3. The goal of this exercise is to prove that any function, continuous on an interval of $\mathbb{R}$, can be approximated by polynomials, arbitrarily close for the L^∞ norm (this is the Bernstein-Weierstrass theorem). Let f be a continuous function on $[0, 1]$. The n -th Bernstein polynomial is

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

a) Let $S_n(x) = B^{(n,x)}/n$, where $B^{(n,x)}$ is a binomial random variable with parameters n and x : $B^{(n,x)} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ where the X_i 's are independent and $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = x$, $\mathbb{P}(X_i = 0) = 1 - x$. Prove that $B_n(x) = \mathbb{E}(f(S_n(x)))$.

b) Prove that $\|B_n - f\|_{L^\infty([0,1])} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution.

(a) We have $\mathbb{P}(B^{(n,x)} = k) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}(f(S_n(x))) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{P}(B^{(n,x)} = k) \cdot f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = B_n(x).$$

(b) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As f is continuous on the compact $[0, 1]$, it is uniformly continuous, i.e. there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(a) - f(b)| < \varepsilon$ for any $a, b \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $|a - b| < \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |B_n(x) - f(x)| &= |\mathbb{E}(f(S_n(x)) - f(x))| \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}[(f(S_n(x)) - f(x))\mathbb{1}_{|S_n(x)-x|<\delta}]| + |\mathbb{E}[(f(S_n(x)) - f(x))\mathbb{1}_{|S_n(x)-f(x)|\geq\delta}]| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2\|f\|_\infty \mathbb{P}(|S_n(x) - x| > \delta) \leq \varepsilon + 2\|f\|_\infty \delta^{-2} \mathbb{E}[(S_n(x) - x)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathbb{E}[(S_n(x) - x)^2] = n^{-2} \text{Var}(\sum X_i) = n^{-2} \sum \text{Var}(X_i) = n^{-1} \text{Var}(X_1)$, so that for $n > 2\|f\|_\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta^2} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \text{Var}(X_1)$ we obtain $|B_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon$, which concludes the proof.

Exercise 4. Calculate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n^k}{k!}.$$

Solution. Let X_n be a Poisson random variable with parameter n . Then the sum of interest can exactly be interpreted as

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 0\right).$$

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(0, 1) \leq 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ from the central limit theorem for X_n (which can be proved directly by characteristic functions).

Exercise 5. Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables, with uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$. Let $Y_n = (X_n)^n$.

- (1) Calculate the distribution of Y_n .
- (2) Show that $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to 0 in probability.
- (3) Show that $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges in L^1 .
- (4) Show that almost surely $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ does not converge.

Solution. (1) We just calculate the cumulative distribution function: For any $x \in [0, 1]$ we have $\mathbb{P}(Y_n \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x^{1/n}) = x^{1/n}$.

(2) From the previous calculation, $\mathbb{P}(|Y_n| > \varepsilon) = 1 - \varepsilon^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so Y_n converges in probability to 0.

(3) We have $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(Y_n > x) dx$, and from the previous question the integrand converges pointwise to 0. So by dominated convergence $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|] \rightarrow 0$ so $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 .

(4) As Y_n converges to 0 in probability, if it converges a.s. then it can only be to 0. But $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(|Y_n| > 1/2)$ diverges from the calculation in (2), and the Y_n 's independent, so that a.s. $Y_n > 1/2$ infinitely often. Hence it does not converge a.s.

Long problem. The goal is to prove the Erdős-Kac theorem: if $w(m)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of m and k is a random variable uniformly distributed on $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, then the following convergence in distribution holds:

$$\frac{w(k) - \log \log n}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

- (i) Prove that if $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}[X_n^{2k}] < \infty$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n^k] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(0, 1)^k]$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) Prove that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \geq 1$ we have

$$\left| e^{ix} - \sum_{\ell=0}^d \frac{(ix)^\ell}{\ell!} \right| \leq \frac{|x|^{d+1}}{(d+1)!}.$$

- (iii) Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_n^k] = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(0, 1)^k]$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove that X_n converges in distribution to X .
- (iv) Let $w_y(m)$ be the number of prime factors of m which are smaller than y . Let $(B_p)_{p \text{ prime}}$ be independent random variables such that $\mathbb{P}(B_p = 1) = \frac{1}{p}$, $\mathbb{P}(B_p = 0) = \frac{p-1}{p}$, $W_y = \sum_{p \leq y} B_p$, $\mu_y = \sum_{p \leq y} \frac{1}{p}$, $\sigma_y^2 = \sum_{p \leq y} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)$.

Prove that if $y = n^{o(1)}$, then for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{w_y(k) - \mu_y}{\sigma_y} \right)^d \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{W_y - \mu_y}{\sigma_y} \right)^d \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution.

(i) For $M > 0$, let $f_M(x) = x^k$ if $|x| < M$, M otherwise. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{E}[X_n^k - \mathcal{N}(0, 1)^k]| \\ & \leq |\mathbb{E}[f_M(X_n) - f_M(\mathcal{N}(0, 1))]| + |\mathbb{E}[X_n^k \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| > M}]| + |\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(0, 1)^k \mathbf{1}_{|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)| > M}]| \\ & \leq |\mathbb{E}[f_M(X_n) - f_M(\mathcal{N}(0, 1))]| + |\mathbb{E}[X_n^{2k}/M^k]| + |\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(0, 1)^{2k}/M^k]| \\ & \leq |\mathbb{E}[f_M(X_n) - f_M(\mathcal{N}(0, 1))]| + C_k/M^k. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $M > 0$ such that $C_k/M^k < \varepsilon$. For this M , by weak convergence the expectation above converges to 0. Hence for large enough n we have $|\mathbb{E}[X_n^k - \mathcal{N}(0, 1)^k]| \leq 2\varepsilon$.

(ii) This is an easy exercise from the Taylor formula with integral remainder.

(iii) Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. One easily proves that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(0, 1)^{2\ell} |u|^{2\ell}] \ll (2\ell)!$. Hence by the previous question for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $d > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[e^{iuX_n} - e^{iu\mathcal{N}(0, 1)}]| \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^d |\mathbb{E}[X_n^\ell - \mathcal{N}(0, 1)^\ell]| + \varepsilon.$$

Convergence of the moments then gives $|\mathbb{E}[e^{iuX_n} - e^{iu\mathcal{N}(0, 1)}]| \leq 2\varepsilon$ for large enough n , which concludes the proof.

(iv) Note that, by binomial expansion,

$$\mathbb{E}[(w_y(k) - \mu_y)^d] - \mathbb{E}[(W_y - \mu_y)^d] = \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{d}{j} (-\mu_y)^{d-j} (\mathbb{E}[(w_y(k))^j] - \mathbb{E}[(W_y)^j]),$$

thus we only need to prove that for any fixed j ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(w_y(k))^j] - \mathbb{E}[(W_y)^j] = o(\mu_y^{j-\frac{d}{2}}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(w_y(k))^j] &= \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_j \leq y} \mathbb{P}(p_1 \mid k, \dots, p_j \mid k) = \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_j \leq y} \frac{\lfloor n/\text{lcm}(p_1, \dots, p_j) \rfloor}{n} \\ &= \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_j \leq y} \frac{1}{\text{lcm}(p_1, \dots, p_j)} + O(\pi(y)^j/n) = \mathbb{E}[(W_y)^j] + O(y^j/n) = \mathbb{E}[(W_y)^j] + o(\mu_y^{j-\frac{d}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof.

(v) We now choose $y = n^{1/\log \log \log n}$. From the previous questions, $\frac{w_y(k) - \mu_y}{\sigma_y}$ converges to a standard Gaussian. Any $k \leq n$ has at most $\log n / \log y = \log \log \log n$ prime factors in $[y, n]$, we have $w_y(k) = w(k) + O(\log \log \log n)$. Moreover a calculation gives $\mu_y = \log \log y + O(1) = \log \log n + O(\log \log \log \log n)$ and $\sigma_y^2 \sim \log \log n$, so that $\frac{w(k) - \mu_y}{\sigma_y}$ also converges in distribution to a standard Gaussian. Injecting the estimates on μ_y and σ_y concludes the proof.