# 1 Chains and cycles

### 1.1 Chains

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . A chain in  $\Omega$  is a finite collection  $\gamma_j : [a_j, b_j] \to \Omega \ j = 1, ..., N$  of piecewise continuously differentiable curves in  $\Omega$ .

Writing  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \ldots + \gamma_N$  for a given chain, we can integrate a continuous function f in  $\Omega$  along  $\Gamma$  as follows:

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\gamma_j} f(z)dz$$

### 1.2 Cycles

A cycle in  $\Omega$  is a chain  $\Gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j$  where each point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is an initial point of just as many of the  $\gamma_j$  as it is a terminal point. In other words, a cycle is a finite sum of closed curves.

As an illustration, the index of a point z with respect to the cycle  $\Gamma$  is

$$n(\Gamma, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\gamma_n} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z}$$

Observe that the integrals in the sum on the right-hand side of the last equality above may not be over closed curves.

# 2 Simple connectivity and homology

#### 2.1 Simple connected sets in $\mathbb{C}$

Below, we start this section with an unusual definition for simple connectedness. Its weakness is that it is not general, in the sense that it cannot be used in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \ge 3$ . However, we will show later in this course that for  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is equivalent to the more common definition, which says that any simple closed curve can be shrunk to a point continuously in the set. And the advantage of our unusual definition is that it is more convenient for the proof of the general form of Cauchy's theorem.

<u>Definition</u>: An open connected set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is said to be simply connected if its complement with respect to  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is connected.

**Theorem:** An open connected set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is simply connected if and only if  $n(\gamma, z) = 0$  for all cycles  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  and all points  $z \notin \Omega$ 

*Proof*: • Let us start with the necessary condition: for any cycle  $\gamma \in \Omega$ , the complement of  $\Omega$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  must be in one of the regions determined by  $\gamma$  (interior or exterior), since this complement is connected. Since  $\{\infty\}$  belongs to this complement, this must be the unbounded region defined by  $\gamma$ . From Lecture 6, we thus know that  $n(\gamma, z) = 0 \ \forall z \notin \Omega$ .

• We prove the sufficient condition by direct construction. Specifically, we will show that if a region  $\Omega$  is not simply connected, then one can construct a cycle  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  and find a point  $z_0$  which does not belong to  $\Omega$  such that  $n(\gamma, z_0) \neq 0$ .

Let us assume that the complement of  $\Omega$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is  $A \cup B$ , with A and B disjoint closed sets, with a shortest distance  $\delta > 0$  between the two sets. Let us say that B is the unbounded set, so A is bounded. We conver A with a net of squares S whose sides have length  $l < \delta/\sqrt{2}$ , constructed in such a way that  $z_0 \in A$  lies at the center of a square, as shown in Figure 1.

Consider the cycle  $\gamma = \sum_j \partial S_j$ , where  $\partial S_j$  is the boundary curve of each square  $S_j$ , and where the sum is taken over the net covering A.

Observe first that  $n(\gamma, z_0) = 1$  since  $z_0$  belongs to only one of the squares in the net.



Figure 1: Net of squares covering the set A without intersecting the set B

Furthermore, it is clear that  $\gamma$  does not belong to B. Now, the key is to realize that  $\gamma$  does not belong to A either, in the sense that there exists a cycle  $\tilde{\gamma}$  contained in  $\Omega$  such that  $n(\tilde{\gamma}, z_0) = n(\gamma, z_0) = 1$ . Indeed,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is directly obtained from  $\gamma$  by observing that in the integral corresponding to  $n(\gamma, z_0)$ , all the sides of the squares contained in A are traversed exactly twice, in opposite directions, and therefore cancel. This concludes our proof  $\Box$ 

#### 2.2 Homology

**Definition**: A cycle  $\gamma$  in an open set  $\Omega$  is said to be homologous to zero with respect to  $\Omega$  if  $n(\gamma, z) = 0$  for all z in the complement of  $\Omega$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

One write  $\gamma \sim 0 \pmod{\Omega}$ , or often  $\gamma \sim 0$  when it is clear that one is talking about  $\Omega$ .  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$  means  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \sim 0$ 

Note that with this notation, the previous theorem can be written as **Theorem:** An open connected set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  is simply connected if and only if  $\gamma \sim 0$  for all  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$ .

## 3 The general form of Cauchy's theorem

We now have all the tools required to give Cauchy's theorem in its most general form.

**Theorem:** If f is analytic in the open set  $\Omega$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$  for every cycle  $\gamma$  which is homologous to zero in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof*: Consider  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \sim 0 \pmod{\Omega}$ , and the set

$$E = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma : n(\gamma, z) = 0 \}$$

which is open. We define the function

$$g:\;(z,\zeta)\in\Omega^2\mapsto\begin{cases}\frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z}\;\;,\;z\neq\zeta\\f'(z)\;\;,\;z=\zeta\end{cases}$$

g is continuous in both its variables. Furthermore,  $\forall \zeta_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\tilde{g} : z \mapsto g(z, \zeta_0)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  since  $\lim_{z \to \zeta_0} (z - \zeta_0)g(z, \zeta_0) = 0$ , so  $\zeta_0$  is a removable singularity.

We now introduce the function h on  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} g(z, \zeta) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in \Omega \\ h(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \in E \end{cases}$$

*h* is indeed defined on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  since  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega \subset E$ , so that  $\Omega \cup E = \mathbb{C}$ , and also because the two definitions of *h* agree on  $\Omega \cap E$  since  $n(\gamma, z) = 0 \ \forall z \in \Omega \cap E$ .

We claim that h is entire. h is analytic in E by the same argument that was made in Lecture 6. To prove that h is analytic on  $\Omega$ , we consider the following lemma:

Suppose  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\varphi$  be a continuous complex-valued function on  $\Omega \times [a, b]$  such that  $\forall t \in [a, b]$ ,  $z \mapsto \varphi(z, t)$  is analytic on  $\Omega$ . If we define F by

$$F(z) = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(z,t) dt \; , \; \forall z \in \Omega$$

F is analytic on  $\Omega$ .

The proof of that lemma is as follows. Let  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and R > 0 such that  $\overline{D}_R(z_0) \subset \Omega$ .  $\forall z \in D_R(z_0)$ ,

$$F(z) = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(z,t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a}^{b} \left( \int_{|\zeta-z_{0}|=R} \frac{\varphi(\zeta,t)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta \right) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-z_{0}|=R} \left( \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(\zeta,t) dt \right) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta-z}$$

where the interchange in the order of integration can be justified by parametrizing the integral over  $|\zeta - z_0| = R$ and applying the result for interchanging the order of integration for continuous functions on rectangles. Now,  $\int_a^b \varphi(\zeta, t) dt$  is a continuous function of  $\zeta$ , so again by the same reasoning as in Lecture 6, F is analytic on  $D_R(z_0)$ .

We conclude that h is entire. Now, for |z| sufficiently large,  $n(\gamma, z) = 0$  so  $z \in E$ , and since f is bounded on  $\gamma, h(z) \to 0$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ . We conclude that h is bounded, and  $h \equiv 0$  by Liouville's theorem.

Hence  $\forall z \in \Omega \setminus \gamma$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}g(z,\zeta)d\zeta=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad n(\gamma,z)f(z)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma}\frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z}d\zeta$$

This is the generalized version of Cauchy's integral formula, which we can now use to prove Cauchy's theorem.

Let  $z_0 \in \Omega \setminus \gamma$ , and consider  $F(z) = (z - z_0)f(z)$ ,  $(z \in \Omega)$ 

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} \frac{F(z)}{z - z_0} dz = 2\pi i n(\gamma, z_0) F(z_0) = 0$$

This completes this very elegant proof, first proposed by John Dixon in the *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Volume 29, Number 3, August 1971.

**Corollary 1**: If f is analytic in a simply connected open set  $\Omega$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for all cycles in  $\Omega$ .

This follows directly from Cauchy's theorem, and the theorem in page 1 of these notes.

**Corollary 2**: If f is analytic and nonzero in a simply connected open region  $\Omega$ , then it is possible to define single-valued analytic branches of  $\ln[f(z)]$  and  $\sqrt[n]{f(z)}$  in  $\Omega$ .

Indeed, by Cauchy's theorem we know that

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = 0$$

for all cycles in  $\Omega$ . We then know that there exists an analytic function F such that F'(z) = f'(z)/f(z) $\forall z \in \Omega$ . In other words,

$$\frac{d}{dz} \left[ f(z) e^{-F(z)} \right] = 0 \iff f(z) = A e^{F(z)} , \ A \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

Now, choose  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and one of the infinitely many values of  $\ln[f(z_0)]$ .

$$\exp\left[F(z) - F(z_0) + \ln[f(z_0)]\right] = \frac{f(z)}{A}e^{-F(z_0)}f(z_0) = f(z)$$

We can therefore define a single-valued, analytic branch of the logarithm of f as

$$\ln f(z) = F(z) - F(z_0) + \ln f(z_0)$$

The definition of  $\sqrt[n]{f}$  follows from this result, as  $\forall z \in \Omega$  we write  $\sqrt[n]{f} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{n}\ln(f(z))\right]$