

# A MINI COURSE ON SCALAR CURVATURE

## LECTURE NOTES FOR SCGAS 2025

CHAO LI

ABSTRACT. These are my lecture notes for Southern California Geometric Analysis Seminar, Feb 2025. I focus on Riemannian manifolds with positive scalar curvature, especially its connection to geometric variational problems.

Throughout these notes, we adopt the following conventions.

- Unless otherwise indicated, manifolds and Riemannian metrics are smooth.
- Curvature tensors are defined as follows.

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_{X,Y}^2 Z - \nabla_{Y,X}^2 Z, \quad R(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle$$

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{tr}(Z \mapsto R(Z, X)Y) = \text{tr} R(\cdot, X, Y, \cdot), \quad R = \text{tr Ric}(\cdot, \cdot).$$

### CONTENTS

1. Motivations and basic examples	2
1.1. Einstein equations of general relativity	2
1.2. Variation of total scalar curvature	3
1.3. Conformal deformations	4
1.4. Basic constructions, the trichotomy theorem	6
2. The obstruction problem, Schoen-Yau descent	10
2.1. First and second variation of minimal hypersurfaces.	11
2.2. Proof of Geroch when $n = 3$ .	11
2.3. Schoen-Yau descent, minimal slicing	12
3. Geometric estimates, spectral extensions, $\mu$ -bubbles	17
3.1. Diameter estimates	17
3.2. $\mu$ -bubbles	19
4. Applications and open questions	21
4.1. Apherical manifolds	21
4.2. Urysohn width and macroscopic dimension	24
4.3. Extension to other curvature conditions	25
References	25

## 1. MOTIVATIONS AND BASIC EXAMPLES

We start our discussion with some scenarios where scalar curvature plays an important role. This section is partly motivated by Rick Schoen's Nachdiplom Lectures in ETH-FIM, 2017.

**1.1. Einstein equations of general relativity.** Suppose  $(S^{n+1}, g)$  is a Minkowski manifold. Einstein's theory of general relativity states that  $(S^{n+1}, g)$  represents a space time if it satisfies the Einstein equation:

$$\text{Ric}_S - \frac{1}{2}R_S g = T. \quad (\text{EE})$$

Here  $T$  is a symmetric  $(0, 2)$  tensor representing matter field in space time. A natural condition we assume for  $T$  is the *dominant energy condition* (DEC):

For any future time-like or null vector  $V$ ,  $-T(V, \cdot)^\#$  is also future time-like or null.

This is equivalent to state that mass-energy can never be observed to flow faster than light. In an orthonormal frame  $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^n$  near a point  $p \in S$  with  $e_0$  a unit time-like vector, (DEC) requires that

$$T_{00} \geq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n T_{0j}^2}.$$

Here  $T_{0j} = T(e_0, e_j)$ .

The Einstein equation (EE) is hyperbolic. To realize it as an initial value problem, let us consider  $M^n \subset S^{n+1}$  a space-like hypersurface (i.e.  $g|_M > 0$ ). Denote by  $\text{II}$  the second fundamental form of the embedding  $M \hookrightarrow S$ . The pair  $(M, g|_M, \text{II})$  is called an *initial data set*. One should think of  $g|_M$  the initial value of  $g$  on  $M$  and  $\text{II}$  the initial derivative of  $g$  in the time direction.

Let us now slightly diverge and fix the convention of  $\text{II}$ . For a choice of unit normal vector field  $\nu$  of the embedding of a hypersurface, define the (scalar) second fundamental form  $\text{II}$  by setting

$$-\text{II}(X, Y)\nu = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_X^T Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Note that with this convention, the unit sphere  $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , equipped with the outward unit normal  $\nu$ , satisfies that  $\text{II}(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ . In general,  $\text{II}$  is a symmetric  $(0, 2)$  tensor, and its eigenvalues (all real) are called principal curvatures. We then set  $H = \text{tr II}$  the (scalar) mean curvature of the embedding with respect to  $\nu$ , and  $\vec{H} = -H\nu$  the mean curvature vector (note that  $H$  depends on the choice of  $\nu$  and  $\vec{H}$  does not). The Gauss equation states that for an

embedding  $M^n \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ ,

$$R_S(X, Y, Z, W) = R_M(X, Y, Z, W) + g(\text{II}(X, Z)\nu, \text{II}(Y, W)\nu) - g(\text{II}(X, W)\nu, \text{II}(Y, Z)\nu).$$

Back to the discussion on (EE). In a local frame  $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^n$  with  $e_0$  a unit normal of  $M$ , restricting (EE) to  $(e_0, e_0)$  gives (recall that  $g(e_0, e_0) = -1$ ):

$$\text{Ric}_S(e_0, e_0) + \frac{1}{2}R_S = T_{00}.$$

Expanding the scalar curvature term, we have (again  $g(e_0, e_0) = -1$ ):

$$\frac{1}{2}R_S = -\sum_{j=1}^n R_{0jj0} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} R_{ijji} = -\text{Ric}_S(e_0, e_0) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} R_{ijji}.$$

By the Gauss equation, we have:

$$R_{ijji}^S = R_{ijji}^M + \text{II}_{ii}\text{II}_{jj} - \text{II}_{ij}^2.$$

And hence  $\frac{1}{2}R_S = -\text{Ric}_S(e_0, e_0) + \frac{1}{2}R_M + \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr II})^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\text{II}|^2$ . Plugging this into (EE), we obtain:

$$R_M + (\text{tr II})^2 - |\text{II}|^2 = R_S + 2\text{Ric}_S(e_0, e_0) = 2T_{00}. \quad (1.1)$$

A similar computation via evaluating (EE) in  $(e_0, e_j)$  and the Codazzi equation yields

$$\text{div}_g(\text{II} - (\text{tr}_g \text{II})g) = J := T(e_0, \cdot). \quad (1.2)$$

Together, (1.1) and (1.2) are called the Einstein constraint equations for  $(M^n, g|_M, \text{II})$ .

A particularly important case is when  $\text{II} = 0$  - in this case,  $(M^n, g|_M)$  is called time symmetric. Note that (1.2) is automatic, and the dominant energy condition implies that  $T_{00} \geq 0$ . Thus, the Einstein constraint equations implies that

$$R_M \geq 0.$$

Thus, manifolds with positive (or nonnegative) scalar curvature naturally arises in mathematical general relativity.

**1.2. Variation of total scalar curvature.** Fix  $n \geq 3$ . For a closed manifold  $M^n$ , define the following Einstein-Hilbert functional on a Riemannian metric  $g$ :

$$\mathcal{R}(g) = \int_M R_g dV_g.$$

Let us find when  $g$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{R}$  among volume-preserving deformations. Let  $h$  be a compactly supported symmetric  $(0, 2)$  tensor. For small  $t$ , define  $g_t = g + th$ , and  $\bar{g}_t = \text{vol}(g_t)^{-\frac{2}{n}}g_t$ . In local coordinates, recall that

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_l g^{kl}(g_{il,j} + g_{jl,i} - g_{ij,l}),$$

$$\text{Ric}_{ij} = \sum_k (\Gamma_{ij,k}^k - \Gamma_{ki,j}^k + \sum_l (\Gamma_{kl}^k \Gamma_{ij}^l - \Gamma_{jl}^k \Gamma_{ki}^l)).$$

Without loss of generality, assume  $\{x^i\}$  is normal at a point  $p$ . We compute the derivatives of geometric quantities defined with  $g_t$  (a dot means taking derivative with respect to  $t$ ) at  $p$ :

$$\dot{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_l g^{kl} (h_{il,j} + h_{jl,i} - h_{ij,l}),$$

$$\dot{\text{Ric}}_{ij} = \sum_k (\dot{\Gamma}_{ij,k}^k - \dot{\Gamma}_{ki,j}^k).$$

Use  $R = \sum g^{ij} \text{Ric}_{ij}$  and  $(g^{-1})' = -g^{-1} \dot{g} g^{-1}$  (particularly  $(g^{-1})'_{ij} = -h_{ij}$  at  $p$ ), we find

$$\dot{R} = \sum_{i,j} \left( -h_{ij} \text{Ric}_{ij} + \sum_k g^{ij} (\dot{\Gamma}_{ij,k}^k - \dot{\Gamma}_{ki,j}^k) \right) = -\langle h, \text{Ric} \rangle + \sum_{i,k} \dot{\Gamma}_{ii,k}^k - \sum_{i,k} \Gamma_{ki,i}^k.$$

Observe that the second and third summand are both divergence terms<sup>1</sup>, which integrates to zero by the divergence theorem. Also, we have that  $\frac{d}{dt} dV_{g_t} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{g_t}(h) dV_{g_t}$ . Hence we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(g_t) = - \int_M \left\langle h, \text{Ric}_{g_t} - \frac{1}{2} R_t g_t \right\rangle dV_{g_t}.$$

Thus, we normalize with volume and obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \mathcal{R}(\bar{g}_t) = - \text{vol}(g)^{\frac{2-n}{n}} \int_M \left\langle h, \text{Ric}_g - \frac{1}{2} R_g g + \frac{n-2}{n} \mathcal{R}(g) g \right\rangle dV_g.$$

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $g$  is a critical point for  $\mathcal{R}$  among volume preserving deformations, then  $\text{Ric}_g = cg$  for some constant  $c$ . In other words, such critical points are Einstein metrics.*

However, as we shall see very soon, the functional  $\mathcal{R}$  is unbounded among all metrics with unit volume.

**1.3. Conformal deformations.** Instead, we consider  $\mathcal{R}(g)$  for  $g$  among a smaller class of metrics. Take  $g_0$  a metric on  $M^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Define the conformal class of  $g_0$  as

$$[g_0] = \{g = e^{2u} g_0 : u \in C^\infty(M)\},$$

and the Yamabe invariant of this conformal class as

$$Y([g_0]) = \inf\{\mathcal{R}(g) : g \in [g_0], \text{vol}(g) = 1\}.$$

<sup>1</sup>In fact, a careful computation gives

$$\dot{R} = -\langle h, \text{Ric} \rangle + \text{div}_g \text{div}_g h - \Delta(\text{tr}_g h).$$

**Lemma 1.3.** *The scalar curvature of  $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$  is given by*

$$R_g = -c(n)^{-1}u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}Lu,$$

where  $c(n) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}$ ,  $L = \Delta_{g_0} - c(n)R_{g_0}$  is called the conformal Laplacian.

*Proof.* Set  $f = \frac{2}{n-2} \log u$  so  $g = e^{2f}g_0$ . Take normal coordinates around a point  $p$ . Use the expression of Christoffel symbols, we may relate  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_0$ :

$$\Gamma_{ii}^k = (\Gamma_0)_{ij}^k + \delta_i^k \partial_j f + \delta_j^k \partial_i f - \delta_i^j \partial_k f.$$

Putting these into the formula for Ricci curvature and obtain:

$$\text{Ric}_{ij} = (\text{Ric}_0)_{ij} - (n-2)[\partial_i \partial_j f - (\partial_i f)(\partial_j f)] - (\Delta_{g_0} f + (n-2)|\nabla_{g_0} f|^2)(g_0)_{ij}.$$

Take trace and obtain that

$$R_g = e^{-2f}(R_{g_0} - 2(n-1)\Delta_{g_0} f - 2(n-1)(n-2)|\nabla_{g_0} f|^2).$$

Replace  $f = \frac{2}{n-2} \log u$  and obtain the desired formula.  $\square$

We make two remarks here. First, since  $-L$  is self-adjoint, it has real eigenvalues. Second, note that  $dV_g = u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dV_{g_0}$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}(g) = c(n)^{-1} \int_M (|\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 + c(n)R_{g_0} u^2) dV_{g_0}.$$

Therefore, by the Sobolev inequality (note  $2^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ), we conclude that

$$Y([g_0]) = \inf \left\{ c(n)^{-1} \int_M |\nabla_{g_0} u|^2 + c(n)R_{g_0} u^2 : \int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} = 1 \right\}.$$

exists.

It is natural to ask whether  $Y([g_0])$  is achieved by  $u \in C^\infty(M)$ . This is called the Yamabe problem. By a simple computation, if  $u \in C^\infty(M)$  achieves  $Y([g_0])$ , then  $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g_0$  has constant scalar curvature. It is completely resolved by the combined work of Yamabe, Trudinger, Aubin and Schoen.

Let us instead focus on a simpler yet important conformal invariant: the sign of  $Y([g_0])$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $(M^n, g_0)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold. Then the conformal class  $[g_0]$  belongs to exactly one of the following three cases:*

- (1)  $Y([g_0]) > 0 \Leftrightarrow$  there exists  $g \in [g_0]$ ,  $R_g > 0$  everywhere  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1(-L) > 0$ .
- (2)  $Y([g_0]) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$  there exists  $g \in [g_0]$ ,  $R_g = 0$  everywhere  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1(-L) = 0$ .
- (3)  $Y([g_0]) < 0 \Leftrightarrow$  there exists  $g \in [g_0]$ ,  $R_g < 0$  everywhere  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1(-L) < 0$ .

Here  $\lambda_1(-L)$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-L$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$ :

$$\lambda_1(-L) = \inf_{u \in C^1(M)} \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 + c(n)R_{g_0} u^2}{\int_M u^2},$$

and the fact that the first eigenfunction is positive everywhere.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $(M^n, g_0)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold with  $n \geq 3$ . If  $\mathcal{R}(g_0) < 0$  then  $Y([g_0]) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Taking  $u = 1$  into the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1(-L)$ , we find that  $\lambda_1(-L) < 0$ .  $\square$

**1.4. Basic constructions, the trichotomy theorem.** We review some basic constructions of Riemannian manifolds related to scalar curvature.

1.4.1. *Warped product.* Let us examine two basic warped products of manifolds.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Given  $(M^n, g)$  and  $u \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $u > 0$ . The warped product  $(M^n \times [-1, 1], \tilde{g} = g + u^2 dt^2)$  has scalar curvature*

$$R_{\tilde{g}} = R_g - \frac{2\Delta_g u}{u}. \quad (1.3)$$

*Proof.* At a point on  $M \times [-1, 1]$ , take coordinates  $\{x^j\}_{j=1}^n$  normal for  $M$ . We have that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_i} \partial_t = \frac{u_i}{u} \partial_t, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_t} \partial_t = - \sum_i u_i u \partial_i.$$

Since  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, \frac{\partial_t}{u}$  is orthonormal, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tilde{g}} &= R_g + 2 \sum_i \left( \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_i, \partial_t/u}^2 (\partial_t/u), \partial_i - \tilde{\nabla}_{(\partial_t/u), \partial_i}^2 \partial_t/u, \partial_i \rangle \right) \\ &= R_g - \frac{2\Delta_g u}{u}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

(1.3) will be used later in comparison with the stability inequality for minimal hypersurfaces.

**Lemma 1.7.** *Given  $(M^n, g)$  and  $u \in C^\infty([-1, 1])$ ,  $u > 0$ . The warped product  $(M^n \times [-1, 1], \tilde{g} = u^2 g + dt^2)$  has scalar curvature*

$$R_{\tilde{g}} = u^{-2} R_g - 2n \frac{u''}{u} - n(n-1) \frac{(u')^2}{u^2}. \quad (1.4)$$

*Proof.* We provide here a proof which originates from the variation of surface area. Denote by  $\Sigma = M \times \{t_0\}$ . Along  $\Sigma$ ,  $\partial_t$  is a unit normal vector field. Let  $\text{II}$  be the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M \times [-1, 1]$ , and take  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  an orthonormal frame locally on  $\Sigma$ .

At a point on  $\Sigma$ , on one hand, by the Gauss equation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tilde{g}} - 2 \operatorname{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(\partial_t, \partial_t) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n R_{ijji}^M \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (R_{ijji}^\Sigma - \Pi_{ii} \Pi_{jj} + \Pi_{ij}^2) \\ &= R_\Sigma - H^2 + |\Pi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $R_\Sigma$  to denote the scalar curvature of  $\Sigma$  with the induced metric. On the other hand, the vector field  $\partial_t$  is pushing  $\Sigma$  with unit speed in its normal direction. Using the second variation formula of area (we will see a more general version of this later), we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(\partial_t, \partial_t) - |\Pi|^2.$$

Putting these to cancel the  $\operatorname{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}$  term, we have:

$$R_{\tilde{g}} = R_\Sigma - (H^2 + |\Pi|^2) - 2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}. \quad (1.5)$$

Now we compute  $\Pi$  and  $H$  with the tube formula [Gra04] (see also [Gro91, p. 39]). Indeed, we have that  $\Pi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} g|_{\Sigma_t}$ , where  $\Sigma_t$  is equi-distant hypersurfaces moving in the  $\partial_t$  direction. Therefore, we have that

$$\Pi = uu_t g, \quad H = \operatorname{tr}_{u^2 g} \Pi = nu_t u^{-1}, \quad |\Pi|^2 = n \frac{(u')^2}{u^2}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = n \left( \frac{u'}{u} \right)'$$

(1.4) is obtained by plugging these into 1.5. □

1.4.2. *Surgery.* We recall the notion of surgery from topology. Given a manifold  $M^n$  and an embedded  $S^p \times D^q \subset M$  with  $p + q = n$ , since

$$\partial(S^p \times D^q) = S^p \times S^{q-1} = \partial(D^{q+1} \times S^{q-1}),$$

we may remove  $S^p \times D^q$  and glue in  $D^{p+1} \times S^{q-1}$  along their common boundary  $S^p \times S^{q-1}$ , obtaining a new manifold  $M'$ . We call  $p$  the dimension and  $q$  the codimension of the surgery.

**Theorem 1.8** (Schoen-Yau [SY79b], Gromov-Lawson [GL80]). *If  $(M, g)$  satisfies that  $R_g > 0$  and  $M'$  is obtained from  $M$  by a codimension at least 3 surgery, then  $M'$  admits a positive scalar curvature metric  $g'$ .*

In fact, one may perform a surgery in a purely local fashion: the metric  $g'$  can be chosen to equal  $g$  away from the surgery region, and its scalar curvature decreases by an arbitrarily small amount within it.

---

<sup>2</sup>One should be careful that different gluing diffeomorphisms along  $S^p \times S^{q-1}$  may result in manifolds that are not diffeomorphic.

A particularly important case is when  $n \geq 3$  and  $p = 0$ . Performing a 0-surgery on  $p_j \in M_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  gives the connected sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , denoted by  $M_1 \# M_2$ . Taking connected sums is a simple way to construct new manifolds with scalar curvature lower bounds from existing ones.

1.4.3. *The trichotomy theorem.* We will use the above constructions to prove the following.

**Theorem 1.9.** *Every closed manifold  $M^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , admits a Riemannian metric with negative scalar curvature.*

By Corollary 1.5, it suffices to construct a metric with total negative scalar curvature. We divide the construction into several steps.

We first observe that it suffices to construct a metric  $g$  on  $S^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , such that  $\mathcal{R}(g) < -1$ . Indeed, for any Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g_0)$ , choose  $\lambda > 0$  sufficiently small such that  $\mathcal{R}(\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{n-2} \mathcal{R}(g) < -|\mathcal{R}(g_0)|$ . By Theorem 1.8, for every  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a metric  $\tilde{g}$  on  $M^n \# S^n$ , with sufficiently small surgery region, such that  $|\mathcal{R}(\tilde{g}) - \mathcal{R}(g_0) - \mathcal{R}(\lambda^2 g)| < \delta$ . Therefore the metric  $\tilde{g}$  has negative total scalar curvature.

We now focus on the construction of  $g$ , which builds upon (1.5).

**Proposition 1.10.** *Suppose  $(M_i^n, g_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are compact manifolds with isometric boundary  $\Sigma$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a smooth metric  $g_\varepsilon$  on  $M = M_1 \sqcup_\Sigma M_2$ ,  $g = g_j$  away from the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $M_j$ , and*

$$\left| \int_M R_{g_\varepsilon} dV - \int_{M_1} R_{g_1} dV - \int_{M_2} R_{g_2} dV - 2 \int_\Sigma (H_1 + H_2) dA \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (1.6)$$

Here  $H_1, H_2$  are the mean curvature of  $\Sigma$  embedded in  $M_1, M_2$ , respectively, taken with respect to the outward unit normal vector field.

*Sketch of proof.* Set  $M = M_1 \sqcup_\Sigma M_2$ , where we identify  $\partial M_1$  and  $\partial M_2$  by the isometry.  $M$  is a smooth manifold with  $\Sigma$  embeds into it. On  $M$ , define a metric  $g$  such that  $g = g_j$  in  $M_j \subset M$ . Then  $g$  is smooth up to  $\Sigma$  from both sides, and is only Lipschitz along  $\Sigma$ .

Take Fermi coordinates on both sides of  $\Sigma$ , and let  $t$  be the signed distance function from  $\Sigma$  such that  $\partial_t$  points into  $M_2$ . The mean curvature of  $t$ -level sets are well defined and may be discontinuous along  $\Sigma$ . Still, (1.5) implies that:

$$R_M = R_\Sigma - (H^2 + |\text{II}|^2) - 2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}.$$

Note that the RHS of this expression is bounded even along  $\Sigma$ , except possibly for the last term. On the other hand, the last term has a distribution along  $\Sigma$ , which equals to  $-H_2 - H_1$  (note that  $H_2$  is taken with respect to  $-\partial_t$ ). (1.6) is formally obtained by integrating this expression. □



**Remark 1.11.** In [Mia02], Miao carried out this smoothing rigorously. Precisely, he computed the scalar curvature, using (1.5), for a fiber wise mollification of the metrics  $g_t$  of equi-distant hypersurfaces from  $\Sigma$ .

**Remark 1.12.** Gluing/smoothing construction of scalar curvature has been extensively investigated, see, for instance, [BMN11, BH23]. In particular, if  $H_1 + H_2 > 0$  holds along  $\Sigma$ , then there exists a smooth of  $g$  which preserves pointwise scalar curvature lower bounds.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.9, we write  $S^n = S_+^n \sqcup S_-^n$ . Pick  $p \in S^{n-1} = \partial S_+^n = \partial S_-^n$ . Locally near  $p$ ,  $S^{n-1}$  is  $C^1$  close to  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ .

Since  $n \geq 3$ , one may attach a sequence of spheres ( $S^{n-1}$ ) with small radius inside  $S_+^n$  near  $p$ , such that  $\int_{S^{n-1}} HdA < -100$ . Denote the outcome by  $(S_+^n, g_1)$ . Apply the same construction and obtain  $(S_-^n, g_2)$  such that  $g_1|_{S^{n-1}} = g_2|_{S^{n-1}}$ . Apply Proposition 1.10, since  $\int_{S^{n-1}} (H_1 + H_2) < -200$ , we may smooth the metric and obtain a smooth metric on  $S^n$  with negative total scalar curvature.

Using a similar idea, one may prove that  $\mathcal{R}(g)$  is unbounded among metrics with unit volume. We leave this as an exercise.

In [KW75], Kazdan-Warner proved the following trichotomy for Riemannian manifolds.

**Theorem 1.13.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ . For a closed manifold  $M^n$ , exactly one of the following three statements hold on  $M$ :*

- (1) *Every  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  can be realized as the scalar curvature function of a Riemannian metric  $g$ .*
- (2)  *$f \in C^\infty(M)$  can be realized as the scalar curvature function of a Riemannian metric if and only if  $f < 0$  somewhere or  $f = 0$  everywhere.*
- (3)  *$f \in C^\infty(M)$  can be realized as the scalar curvature function of a Riemannian metric if and only if  $f < 0$  somewhere.*

In fact, it was proved by Lohkamp [Loh95] that scalar curvature (even Ricci curvature!) satisfies a  $h$ -principle: one may locally arbitrarily decrease scalar curvature of any metric with a small  $C^0$  perturbation. It is thus concluded that having (somewhere) negative scalar curvature does not put any topological condition on a manifold. However, from Theorem 1.13, only manifolds in class (1) admits a metric with positive scalar curvature (PSC); manifolds in class (2) admits a metric  $g$  with  $R_g = 0$ , but no PSC metric.

**Example 1.14.** *K3 surface.  $M = \{\sum_{j=1}^4 x_j^4 = 0 : [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4] \in \mathbf{C}P^3\}$  admits a Ricci flat (hence scalar flat) metric by the Calabi-Yau theorem. However, it does not admit any PSC metric.*

Futaki [Fut93] proved that if a simply connected manifold of dimensions at least 5 is in class (2), then it is the product of manifolds with special holonomy. On the other hand, closed simply connected manifolds with holonomy in  $G_2$  or  $SU(4k + 3)$  do admit PSC metrics, see [DWW05].

## 2. THE OBSTRUCTION PROBLEM, SCHOEN-YAU DESCENT

**Problem 2.1** (The obstruction problem for PSC). Determine which smooth closed manifold  $M^n$  admits a Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature.

Problem 2.1 has been a central topic in geometric analysis. Numerous tools have been developed for its investigation:

- (1) Spinors. Lichnerowicz formula: for a section of the spinor bundle, one has

$$D^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \frac{1}{4} R_g.$$

Here  $D$  is the Dirac operator. Thus, if  $R_g > 0$  on a closed manifold,  $\ker D = \{0\}$ . This implies that  $\hat{A}(M) = 0$  via the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. This approach has far-reaching consequences on the topology of PSC manifolds.

**Theorem 2.2** ([GL80],[Sto92]). *Let  $n \geq 5$  and  $M^n$  is a closed manifold with  $\pi_1(M) = 0$ . Then  $M$  admits a PSC metric if and only if:*

- (a)  $M$  is not spin,  
 (b) or  $M$  is spin and  $\alpha(M) = 0$ .

Here  $\alpha(M)$  is the  $\alpha$  invariant of  $M$ .

- (2) Minimal hypersurfaces. This is the focus of the remaining lectures.  
 (3) Ricci flow and the inverse mean curvature flow (especially in 3 dimensions).  
 (4) Level sets of harmonic functions (currently for 3-manifolds).  
 (5) Seiberg-Witten invariants for 4-manifolds.

**Example 2.3.** *K3 surface. For a closed 4-manifold  $M$ ,  $\hat{A}(M) = -\frac{1}{8}\sigma(M)$ , here  $\sigma(M)$  denotes the signature. Thus,  $\hat{A}(K3) = -2$ .*

A crucial example for the obstruction problem was the following:

**Conjecture 2.4** (Geroch conjecture). For all  $n \geq 2$ , the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n$  admits no PSC Riemannian metric.

Conjecture 2.4 was proved in the affirmative by Schoen-Yau (at least when  $n \leq 7$ ) and independently by Gromov-Lawson. The two proofs are entirely different, and have both motivated exciting developments. We will focus on the Schoen-Yau proof using minimal hypersurfaces. We will use the following result from geometric measure theory.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For  $n \leq 7$ , suppose  $(M^n, g)$  is a closed oriented Riemannian manifold. For any  $\alpha \in H_{n-1}(M, \mathbf{Z})$ , there exists an area minimizing representative*

$$\alpha = [\Sigma_1] + \cdots + [\Sigma_k].$$

*In particular,  $\{\Sigma_j\}_{j=1}^k$  is a disjoint union of embedded two-sided area minimizing hypersurface.*

**2.1. First and second variation of minimal hypersurfaces.** Given a two-sided immersion  $\Sigma^{n-1} \rightarrow M^n$ , suppose  $F : \Sigma^{n-1} \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M^n$  is a variation in the sense that:

- (1)  $F(\cdot, t) : \Sigma \rightarrow M$  is an immersion;
- (2)  $F(\cdot, 0) = \text{id}$ ;
- (3)  $F(\cdot, t) = \text{id}$  outside a compact subset of  $\Sigma$ .

Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $F$  is a normal variation, that is,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_t = f_t \nu_t$ , where  $\nu_t$  is a choice of unit normal vector field on  $\Sigma_t := \text{im } F(\cdot, t)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *For a variation  $F$  with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0} F_t = f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ , we have that:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \text{vol}(\Sigma_t) &= \int_{\Sigma} H f, \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} \text{vol}(\Sigma_t) &= \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 - (|\text{II}|^2 + \text{Ric}(\nu, \nu)) f^2 + H^2 f^2 + H \dot{f}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, both formulas have pointwise version as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} dV_{\Sigma_t} &= H_t f_t dV_{\Sigma_t}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} H &= -\Delta f - (\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}|^2) f. \end{aligned}$$

On  $\Sigma$ , we call  $J = -\Delta - (\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}|^2)$  the Jacobi operator.  $J$  is elliptic and self-adjoint.

**Definition 2.7.** Call a two-sided immersion  $\Sigma^{n-1} \rightarrow (M^n, g)$  minimal, if  $H = 0$  along  $\Sigma$ . Thus,  $\Sigma$  is minimal if and only if  $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \text{vol}(\Sigma_t) = 0$  for all variations.

Call a minimal immersion  $\Sigma \rightarrow (M^n, g)$  stable, if  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}|_{t=0} \text{vol}(\Sigma_t) \geq 0$  for all variations. When  $\Sigma$  is two-sided, stability is equivalent to

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 - (\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}|^2) f^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma).$$

By the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue, a two-sided minimal immersion  $\Sigma^{n-1} \rightarrow (M^n, g)$  is stable if and only if  $\lambda_1(J) \geq 0$ .

**2.2. Proof of Geroch when  $n = 3$ .** Assume  $(T^3, g)$  has  $R_g > 0$ . Since take generators  $\{dx^1, dx^2, dx^3\}$  of  $H^2(T^3, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^3$ . Consider the minimization problem

$$\inf \left\{ \text{area}(\Sigma) : \Sigma^2 \subset T^3, \int_{\Sigma} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Since the integration defines an integral homology class in  $H_2(M)$ , we find an embedded two-sided stable minimal surface  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 + \cdots + \Sigma_k$ . Therefore, on

each  $\Sigma_j$ , we have that

$$\int_{\Sigma_j} |\nabla f|^2 - (\text{Ric}_g(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}|^2) f^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(\Sigma_j).$$

We derive a contradiction as follows. On one hand, we claim that  $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{R}) \neq 0$ . To see this, set  $\omega_j = [dx^j|_\Sigma] \in H_{dR}^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then we have that  $\omega_j \neq 0$ . Otherwise, if  $\omega_1 = df$ , then we have that

$$1 = \int_\Sigma \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 = \int_\Sigma df \wedge \omega_2 = \int_\Sigma d(f \wedge \omega_2) - f \wedge d\omega_2 = 0.$$

On the other hand, fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . We use the Gauss equation to rewrite the curvature terms in the stability inequality as follows. Take a local orthonormal frame on  $\Sigma$  with  $e_n = \nu$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_M - 2 \text{Ric}_g(\nu, \nu) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} R_{ijji}^M \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} (R_{ijji}^\Sigma - \text{II}_{ii} \text{II}_{jj} + |\text{II}_{ij}|^2) \\ &= R_\Sigma - H^2 + |\text{II}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$\text{Ric}_g(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(R_M - R_\Sigma + |\text{II}|^2 + H^2). \quad (2.1)$$

Therefore, the stability inequality implies that

$$\int_{\Sigma_j} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2} R_{\Sigma_j} f^2 \geq \int_{\Sigma_j} \frac{1}{2} (R_M + |\text{II}|^2) f^2 > 0, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(\Sigma_j).$$

Take  $f = 1$  above. Note that  $\Sigma_j$  is a 2-dimensional surface, so  $\frac{1}{2} R_{\Sigma_j} = K_{\Sigma_j}$ . Thus, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem implies that

$$2\pi\chi(\Sigma_j) = \int_{\Sigma_j} K_{\Sigma_j} > 0,$$

and hence  $\Sigma_j$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^2$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma$  is the disjoint union of two-spheres, and thus does not support any nontrivial class in  $H^1$ , contradiction.

**2.3. Schoen-Yau descent, minimal slicing.** Inductive descent argument: construct a nested family of oriented submanifolds

$$\Sigma_k \subset \Sigma_{k+1} \subset \dots \subset \Sigma_n = (M^n, g),$$

such that  $\dim \Sigma_k = k$ . Assuming  $R_g > 0$  on  $M$ , we would also like to construct a PSC metric on each  $\Sigma_k$ . Such a nested family is called a  $k$ -slicing. The existence of a  $k$ -slicing is usually guaranteed by topological assumptions, particularly that the homology of  $M^n$  is sufficiently large.

**Example 2.8.** *A trivial example of a  $k$ -slicing of minimal submanifolds can be constructed in  $X^k \times T^{n-k}$ , equipped with a product metric  $g + g_0$ , where  $g_0$  is the flat product metric on  $T^{n-k}$ . In this case, we may take the nested family of totally geodesic embeddings*

$$X \subset X \times S^1 \subset \cdots \subset X \times T^{n-k}.$$

We now describe two approaches to carry out the inductive descent argument.

2.3.1. *Conformal descent.* The first approach, called the conformal descent argument, utilizes the connection between Jacobi operator of minimal hypersurfaces and the conformal Laplacian.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Suppose  $\Sigma^{n-1} \subset (M^n, g)$  is a two-sided stable minimal hypersurface, and  $R_g > 0$ . Then the induced metric on  $\Sigma$  is Yamabe positive - that is, it has pointwise positive scalar curvature after a conformal change.*

*Proof.* We write the stability inequality on a minimal hypersurface using the Schoen-Yau rearrangement (2.1): for all  $f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ , we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(R_M - R_{\Sigma} + |\mathbb{I}|^2)f^2 \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_{\Sigma}f^2 > 0.$$

Recall that the conformal Laplacian on  $\Sigma$  is given by  $L = -\Delta + c(n)R_{\Sigma}$  with  $c(n) = \frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}$ . Using the fact that  $\frac{1}{2} > \frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}$ , we have that for all  $f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ ,

$$\int_{\Sigma} 2|\nabla f|^2 + R_{\Sigma}f^2 > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{\Sigma} \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}|\nabla f|^2 + R_{\Sigma}f^2 > 0.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_1(L) > 0$ . By Theorem 1.4, we conclude that  $[g|_{\Sigma}]$  is Yamabe positive.  $\square$

Therefore, if we may inductively construct  $\Sigma_k \subset \Sigma_{k+1}$  as a stable minimal embedding, then

$$\Sigma_{k+1} \text{ is PSC} \Rightarrow \Sigma_k \text{ is PSC.}$$

**Proposition 2.10.** *For  $2 \leq n \leq 7$ , if  $M^n$  is a closed manifold with  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1} \in H_{dR}^1(M, \mathbf{R})$  such that  $\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \neq 0 \in H_{dR}^{n-1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ , then  $M$  does not admit a PSC metric.*

*Proof.* Induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 2$ , the only PSC 2-dimensional manifold is diffeomorphic to  $S^2$ , which does not have any nontrivial element in  $H_{dR}^1$ . For  $3 \leq n \leq 7$ , we use the de Rham theorem to find an integral homology class  $\alpha \in H_{n-1}(M, \mathbf{Z})$  and an area minimizing hypersurface  $\Sigma_{n-1}$  representing  $\alpha$  such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-1} \neq 0.$$

With the same proof as before, we see that

$$\omega_1|_{\Sigma_{n-1}}, \dots, \omega_{n-1}|_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \neq 0 \in H_{dR}^1(\Sigma_{n-1}, \mathbf{R}).$$

Also the above gives that  $\omega_1|_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{n-2}|_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \neq 0 \in H_{dR}^{n-2}(\Sigma_{n-1}, \mathbf{R})$ . This finishes the proof, since if  $M$  carries a PSC metric, then so does  $\Sigma_{n-1}$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**2.3.2. Warped product descent.** The second approach, called the warped product descent (also called  $S^1$ -symmetrization technique by Gromov), uses a connection between (1.3) and the stability inequality. This approach is more quantitative, as it preserves the scalar curvature lower bound in the descent.

Again, suppose that  $\Sigma^{n-1} \subset (M^n, g)$  is a compact two-sided stable minimal hypersurface. The stability inequality and the Schoen-Yau rearrangement (2.1) implies that  $\lambda_1(-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}(R_M - R_\Sigma + |\text{II}|^2)) \geq 0$ . Therefore, the first eigenfunction  $u$  of  $J$  satisfies that  $u > 0$  and

$$-\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}R_\Sigma u = \frac{1}{2}(R_M + |\text{II}|^2 + \lambda_1)u \quad (2.2)$$

on  $\Sigma$ .

Consider the warped product  $(\Sigma \times S^1, \tilde{g} = g_\Sigma + u^2 dt^2)$ . By (1.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tilde{g}) &= R_\Sigma - 2u^{-1}\Delta u \\ &\geq R_\Sigma + 2u^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2}(R_M - R_\Sigma + |\text{II}|^2) + \lambda_1 \right) u \\ &= R_M + \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the scalar curvature lower bound is preserved.

Next, we seek to minimize volume of  $\Sigma_{n-2} \times S^1 \subset (\Sigma_{n-1} \times S^1, \tilde{g})$ . That is:

$$\inf \left\{ \text{vol}_{\tilde{g}}(\Sigma_{n-2} \times S^1) : \Sigma_{n-2} \subset \Sigma_{n-1} \text{ represents a homology class } \alpha \in H_{n-2}(\Sigma_{n-1}, \mathbf{Z}) \right\}.$$

Equivalently, we consider

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_{n-2}} u_{n-1} : \Sigma_{n-2} \subset \Sigma_{n-1} \text{ representing } \alpha \right\},$$

here  $u_{n-1}$  is the first eigenfunction of the Jacobi operator on  $\Sigma_{n-1}$ .

Inductively, suppose we have constructed  $\Sigma_{n-k+1}$ . We then minimize

$$\Sigma_{n-k} \times T^{k-1} \subset (\Sigma_{n-k+1} \times T^{k-1}, g_{n-k+1} + u_{n-k+1}^2 dt_{n-k+1}^2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}^2 dt_{n-1}^2)$$

among hypersurfaces  $\Sigma_{n-k}$  in a suitable homology class of  $\Sigma_{n-k+1}$ ,  $g_{n-k+1}$  is the induced metric of  $\Sigma_{n-k+1} \subset M$ . Equivalently, we may minimize the weighted volume

$$\int_{\Sigma_{n-k}} u_{n-k+1} \cdots u_{n-1}.$$

Finally, we choose  $u_{n-k}$  be the first eigenfunction of the Jacobi operator (with respect to the weighted volume functional) on  $\Sigma_{n-k}$ .

Let us carry this out in details when  $n = 4$ .

**Setup:** Let  $\Gamma^3 \subset (M^4, g)$  be stable minimal,  $u > 0$  be the first Jacobi eigenfunction on  $\Gamma$ , satisfying  $-\Delta_\Gamma u - \frac{1}{2}(R_M - R_\Gamma + |\text{II}|^2)u \geq 0$ . Let  $\Sigma^2 \subset \Gamma$  be stable for

$$\mathcal{A}(\Sigma) = \int_\Sigma u dA.$$

Our goal is to deduce geometric consequences on  $\Sigma$ .

For this, let's compute the first and second variation of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the speed of a normal variation such that  $\dot{\varphi} = 0$  (this can be arranged, for instance, by taking normal exponential maps with speed  $\varphi$ ). Differentiating each term, we get:

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}(\Sigma_t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \langle \nabla_\Gamma u, \nu \rangle \varphi + u H \varphi dA.$$

Hence on  $\Sigma$  we have that

$$H = -u^{-1} \langle \nabla_\Gamma u, \nu \rangle.$$

To differentiate this again to find the second variation, we note that  $\dot{\nu} = -\nabla_\Sigma \varphi$ . Indeed, denote by  $F : \Sigma \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$  the variation. Take local coordinates on  $x^i$  such that  $dF(\partial_i)$  is normal at a point.  $\partial_t \nu = \nabla_\Sigma \varphi$  follows from  $\langle \partial_t \nu, \nu \rangle = 0$  and that

$$\langle \partial_t \nu, \partial_i F \rangle = -\langle \partial_t \partial_i F \rangle = -\langle \partial_i \partial_t F, \nu \rangle = -\langle \partial_i(\varphi \nu), \nu \rangle = -\partial_i \varphi.$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}(\Sigma_t) &= \int_\Sigma \nabla_\Gamma^2 u(\nu, \nu) \varphi^2 - \langle \nabla_\Gamma u, \nabla_\Sigma \varphi \rangle \varphi + \langle \nabla_\Gamma u, \nu \rangle H \varphi^2 \\ &\quad - u(-\Delta_\Sigma u + (\text{Ric}_\Gamma(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}_\Sigma|^2) \varphi) \varphi + u H^2 \varphi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use the basic relation that (check this yourself)

$$\nabla_\Gamma^2 u(\nu, \nu) = \Delta_\Gamma u - \Delta_\Sigma u + \langle \nabla_\Gamma u, \nu \rangle H.$$

Use the Schoen-Yau rearrangement trick to write  $\text{Ric}_\Gamma(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}_\Sigma|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(R_\Gamma - R_\Sigma + |\text{II}_\Sigma|^2 + H^2)$ . Rearranging terms and plugging in the expression for  $H$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \int_\Sigma (\Delta_\Gamma u - \frac{1}{2} R_\Gamma u) \varphi^2 - \Delta_\Sigma u \varphi^2 - \frac{3}{2} u^{-1} \langle \nabla_\Gamma u, \nu \rangle^2 \varphi^2 \\ - \langle \nabla_\Sigma u, \nabla_\Sigma \varphi \rangle \varphi - u \varphi \Delta_\Sigma \varphi + \frac{1}{2} u R_\Sigma \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{2} u |\text{II}_\Sigma|^2 \varphi^2. \end{aligned}$$

We throw away the last terms on each line above and. Use the assumption that  $\Delta_\Gamma u - \frac{1}{2} R_\Gamma u \leq -\frac{1}{2} R_M u$  and integrate by parts (here all geometric operations are

with respect to the induced metric on  $\Sigma$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} R_M u \varphi^2 &\leq \int_{\Sigma} -\Delta u \varphi^2 - \langle \nabla u, \nabla \varphi \rangle \varphi - u \varphi \Delta \varphi + \frac{1}{2} u R_{\Sigma} \varphi^2 \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} -\Delta u \varphi^2 + u |\nabla \varphi|^2 + u K_{\Sigma} \varphi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have no further information on  $u$ , and hence we would like to cancel the terms involving  $u$  altogether. Set  $\varphi = u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \psi$  and expand  $\nabla \varphi = u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla \psi - \frac{1}{2} u^{-\frac{3}{2}} \psi \nabla u$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} R_M \psi^2 &\leq \int_{\Sigma} -\Delta u u^{-1} \psi^2 - u^{-1} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \psi \rangle \psi + \frac{1}{4} u^{-2} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 + K_{\Sigma} \psi^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} -\frac{3}{4} u^{-2} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 + u^{-1} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \psi \rangle \psi + |\nabla \psi|^2 + K_{\Sigma} \psi^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, use AM-GM:

$$-\frac{3}{4} u^{-2} |\nabla u|^2 \psi^2 + u^{-1} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \psi \rangle \psi - \frac{1}{3} |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq 0.$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} R_M \psi^2 \leq \int_{\Sigma} \frac{4}{3} |\nabla \psi|^2 + K \psi^2, \quad \forall \psi \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma).$$

Plugging in  $\psi = 1$  everywhere and using  $R_M > 0$ , we conclude that  $\Sigma$  is the disjoint union of two-spheres by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. In general, we have that:

**Theorem 2.11** (Schoen-Yau). *Let  $n \leq 7$ . Suppose  $(M^n, g)$  satisfies  $R_g > 0$ , and a weighted minimal  $k$ -slicing defined above exists. Then for each  $k \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  $\Sigma_j$  is Yamabe positive. In particular, if  $k = 2$ , then  $\Sigma_2$  is a union of two-spheres.*

By an argument completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.10, if  $M^n$  is a closed manifold with  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k+1} \in H_{dR}^1(M^n, \mathbf{R})$  such that  $\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{n-k+1} \neq 0$ , then a minimal  $k$ -slicing exists.

**Corollary 2.12.** *Suppose  $3 \leq n \leq 7$ . if  $M^n$  is a closed manifold admitting a map of nonzero degree to  $T^n$ , then  $M^n$  does not carry a PSC Riemannian metric.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : M \rightarrow T^n$  be a map with nonzero degree, and let  $\omega_j = f^*(dx^j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\int_M \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n = (\deg f) \int_{T^n} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \neq 0.$$

□



3. GEOMETRIC ESTIMATES, SPECTRAL EXTENSIONS,  $\mu$ -BUBBLES

Previously, we proved the following:

- A stable minimal  $\Sigma^2 \subset (M^3, g)$  with  $R_g \geq 1$  satisfies

$$\lambda_1(-\Delta_\Sigma + \frac{1}{2}R_\Sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

- Suppose  $(M^3, g)$  satisfies  $\lambda_1(-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}R_M) \geq \lambda > 0$  and let  $u > 0$  be the first eigenfunction of  $-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}R_M$ . Then a weighted stable minimal surface  $\Sigma^2$  (with weight  $u$ ) satisfies

$$\lambda_1(-\frac{4}{3}\Delta_\Sigma + \frac{1}{2}R_\Sigma) \geq \lambda.$$

For more applications of (weighted) stable minimal surfaces, we would need to derive more precise geometric estimates on its size. We start with the following simple observation.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $(M^3, g)$  satisfies  $R_g \geq 2$ . Then any connected two-sided stable minimal surface  $\Sigma^2 \subset M^3$  satisfies that  $\text{area}(\Sigma) \leq 4\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Plug  $f = 1$  in

$$\int_\Sigma |\nabla f|^2 + K_\Sigma f^2 \geq \int_\Sigma f^2$$

(note that this follows from stability and that  $R_g \geq 2$ ). We have:

$$\text{area}(\Sigma) \leq 2\pi\chi(\Sigma).$$

Thus  $\Sigma \simeq S^2$  and the above becomes  $\text{area}(\Sigma) \leq 4\pi$ . □

**Remark 3.2.** There is an interesting related rigidity result by Brendle-Bray-Neves: if  $\pi_2(M) \neq 0$ , then the least area homotopically nontrivial surface  $\Sigma$  must have area at most  $4\pi$ . Moreover, if  $\text{area}(\Sigma) = 4\pi$ , then  $M$  is covered by  $S^2(1) \times \mathbf{R}$ .

**3.1. Diameter estimates.** Recall the classical Bonnet's theorem.

**Theorem 3.3** (Bonnet). *Suppose that  $(\Sigma^2, g)$  has either empty or compact boundary, and satisfies  $K_g \geq 1$ . Then the length of any stable geodesic segment is  $\leq \pi$ . Consequently,*

- (1) *If  $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{diam}(\Sigma, g) \leq \pi$ .*
- (2) *If  $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{dist}(p, \partial\Sigma) \leq \pi$  for all  $p \in \Sigma$ .*

An important observation due to Schoen-Yau states that one may replace the pointwise curvature condition by a spectral one and still obtain diameter estimates.

**Theorem 3.4** (Schoen-Yau [SY83]). *Suppose that  $(\Sigma^2, g)$  has either empty or compact boundary, and satisfies that  $\lambda_1(-\Delta + K) \geq 1$ . Then:*

- (1) *If  $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$  then  $\text{diam}(\Sigma, g) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ .*

(2) If  $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$  then  $\text{dist}(p, \partial\Sigma) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$  for all  $p \in \Sigma$ .

**Remark 3.5.** In fact, for all  $a \in (0, 4)$ , one may replace the condition to  $\lambda_1(-a\Delta + K) \geq 1$  and obtain analogous conclusions with upper bound  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{4-a}}\pi$ . The range  $a < 4$  is sharp: the hyperbolic space satisfies that  $\lambda_1(-\Delta) = \frac{1}{4}$  and hence  $\lambda_1(-4\Delta + K) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We give a proof that is closely related to the minimal slicing idea. Take  $u > 0$  the first eigenfunction of  $-\Delta + K$ . Then  $-\Delta u + Ku \geq u$ . Consider a warped product  $\tilde{g} = g + u^2 dt^2$ . Then we have that  $\tilde{R} \geq 1$ . Fix points  $p, q \in \Sigma$ . We minimize, among all unit-speed curves  $\gamma : [0, l] \rightarrow \Sigma$  connecting  $p, q$ , the functional

$$\int_{\gamma} u ds = \int_0^l u(\gamma(s)) ds.$$

Equivalently, we minimize the area of  $\gamma \times S^1 \subset (\Sigma \times S^1, \tilde{g})$ . The stability inequality for the area functional implies that

$$\int_{\gamma \times S^1} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{R} + |\tilde{\Pi}|^2) - \tilde{K} \right] \varphi^2 u dt ds \leq \int_{\gamma \times S^1} |\tilde{\nabla} \varphi|^2 u dt ds,$$

for all  $S^1$  invariant compactly supported functions  $\varphi$ . Plug in  $\tilde{R} \geq 1$  and  $\tilde{K} = -\frac{u''}{u}$  and get (throw away the  $|\tilde{\Pi}|^2$  term):

$$\int_0^l \varphi^2 u ds + \int_0^l \frac{u''}{u} \varphi^2 u ds \leq \int_0^l (\varphi')^2 u ds.$$

As before, set  $\varphi = u^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi$ , integrate by parts and use the AM-GM inequality to bound all terms involving  $u$ , we find:

$$\int_0^l \psi^2 \leq \frac{3}{4} \int_0^l (\psi')^2.$$

But we know  $\lambda_1(-\frac{d^2}{dt^2}) = \frac{\pi^2}{l^2}$ . This gives  $l \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ . □

This gives a more quantitative control of minimal slicings: given

$$\Sigma_k \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma_{n-1} \subset (M^n, g)$$

a weighted minimal slicing with  $R_g \geq 1$ , we have:

- (1) If  $k = 2$ , then  $\Sigma_2$  is a disjoint union of  $S^2$  with area  $\leq 4\pi$  and diameter  $\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ .
- (2) If  $k = 1$ , then  $\Sigma_1$  is a disjoint union of  $S^1$  with length  $\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>For the more general version, one considers  $\tilde{g} = g + u^{2a} dt^2$ .

**3.2.  $\mu$ -bubbles.** We have seen that a crucial property on a submanifold we seek is the eigenvalue condition  $\lambda_1(-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}R) \geq \lambda > 0$ . So far, we rely on stable minimal hypersurfaces to guarantee this condition. However, minimal surfaces (let along stable minimal surfaces) do not always exist under the PSC condition. To illustrate this, consider a simple situation where  $M \simeq \Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ . One may not find any minimal surface at all without appropriate assumptions on the boundary  $\Sigma \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

In a seminal work, Gromov [Gro20] proved the following band width estimate for manifolds with scalar curvature.

**Theorem 3.6** (Gromov [Gro20]). *Let  $2 \leq n \leq 6$ . Suppose  $g$  is a metric on  $T^n \times [-1, 1]$  satisfying  $R_g \geq n(n+1)$ . Then*

$$d_g(T^n \times \{-1\}, T^n \times \{1\}) \leq \frac{2\pi}{n+1}.$$

**Remark 3.7.** In Theorem 3.6, no boundary conditions are assumed along  $T^n \times \{\pm 1\}$ .

**Remark 3.8.** The constant  $\frac{2\pi}{n+1}$  is sharp, as illustrated by the following example. Let  $g$  be a flat metric on  $T^n$ ,  $u \in C^\infty([-1, 1])$ . Recall from (1.4) that the metric  $\tilde{g} = u^2g + dt^2$  has scalar curvature

$$R_{\tilde{g}} = -2n \frac{u''}{u} - n(n-1) \frac{(u')^2}{u^2}.$$

Setting  $h = n \frac{u'}{u}$  (note that  $h(t)$  is the mean curvature of  $T^n \times \{t\}$ ), we have that

$$R_{\tilde{g}} + 2h' + \frac{n+1}{n} h^2 = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

If we ask that  $R_{\tilde{g}} = n(n+1)$ , then a solution to (3.1) is given by

$$h(t) = -n \tan\left(\frac{n+1}{2}t\right) \Rightarrow u(t) = \left(\cos\left(\frac{n+1}{2}t\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}.$$

Note that  $u > 0$  on the interval  $(-\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{n+1})$ , having length  $\frac{2\pi}{n+1}$ .

Gromov's idea is to find a hypersurface that minimizes a prescribed mean curvature functional, trading minimality for existence in more general situations.

Given  $M = T^n \times [-1, 1]$ , denote by  $M_- = T^n \times \{-1\}$ ,  $M_+ = T^n \times \{1\}$ . For an open set  $\Omega$  containing  $\partial_- M$ , let  $\Sigma$  be the hypersurface defined as  $\partial\Omega = \Sigma - \partial_- M$  (here we are treating each term as oriented objects). Among all such  $\Omega$ , we minimize

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) = |\Sigma| - \int_{\Omega} h,$$

for some  $h \in C^\infty(M)$ .

**Theorem 3.9** (Existence of minimizer). *Suppose  $2 \leq n \leq 6$ . Equip  $\partial_{\pm}M$  with unit normal vector fields pointing the same way as  $\partial_t$ . Suppose that*

$$h|_{\partial_-M} > H_{\partial_-M}, \quad h|_{\partial_+M} < H_{\partial_+M}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  is minimized by a set  $\Omega \subset M$  with smooth boundary, and  $\Sigma$  is disjoint from  $\partial_{\pm}M$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* The key is to show that the minimizer  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  separates  $\partial_-M$  and  $\partial_+M$ . To do this, one checks that by adding a neighborhood of  $\partial_-M$  or removing a neighborhood of  $\partial_+M$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is decreased.  $\square$

The separating hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is called a  $\mu$ -bubble after Gromov. We compute the first and second variation of  $\mu$ -bubbles. Deform  $\Sigma$  in the normal direction by vector fields  $f_t\nu_t$ . This also generates a variation  $\Omega_t$  of  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *We have:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}(\Omega_t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} (H - h)fdA. \quad (3.2)$$

*If  $\Omega$  is stationary for  $\mathcal{A}$ , then*

$$\left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{A}(\Omega_t) = \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 - (\text{Ric}_M(\nu, \nu) + |\text{II}|^2 + \langle \nabla_M h, \nu \rangle) f^2. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.2), any  $\mathcal{A}$ -stationary  $\Omega$  satisfies that  $H_{\Sigma} = h|_{\Sigma}$ . Thus, the  $\mathcal{A}$  functional is usually called the prescribed mean curvature functional. The key point is to combine the second variation, (3.3) with the Schoen-Yau rearrangement trick (2.1) as follows. On  $\Sigma$ , we have that

$$|\text{II}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n}(\text{tr}_g \text{II})^2 = \frac{1}{n}H^2 = \frac{1}{n}h^2.$$

Thus, (3.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 &\geq \int_{\Sigma} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(R_M - R_{\Sigma} + \frac{n+1}{n}h^2) + \langle \nabla_M h, \nu \rangle \right] f^2, \\ \Rightarrow \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_{\Sigma}f^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \left( n(n+1) + \frac{n+1}{n}h^2 + 2 \langle \nabla_M h, \nu \rangle \right) f^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, suppose that we may choose a prescribing function  $h \in C^{\infty}(\overset{\circ}{M})$  such that:

- (1)  $h(p) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \partial_-M$ ,  $h(p) \rightarrow -\infty$  on  $p \rightarrow \partial_+M$ ;
- (2)  $n(n+1) + \frac{n+1}{n}h^2 - 2|\nabla_M h| > 0$  everywhere.

Then Theorem 3.9 guarantees the hypersurface  $\Sigma$  satisfying (3.4), and hence

$$\lambda_1(-\Delta + \frac{1}{2}R_{\Sigma}) > 0.$$

In particular we know that  $\Sigma$  is Yamabe positive. On the other hand, the projection map  $M = T^n \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow T^n$ , restricted on  $\Sigma$ , has degree one, contradicting Corollary 2.12.

Comparing with (3.1), we construct such a function  $h$ . Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\text{dist}(\partial_- M, \partial_+ M) > L > \frac{2\pi}{n+1}$ . Choose  $\rho$  a smoothing of  $\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial_- M)$  such that

$$\partial_- M = \rho^{-1}(0), \quad \partial_+ M = \rho^{-1}(L), \quad |\text{Lip } \rho| \leq 1.$$

Set

$$h(p) = -n \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\rho(p) - \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

By a direct computation,

$$|\nabla h| < \frac{n(n+1)|\text{Lip } \rho|}{2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\rho - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)},$$

and thus  $n(n+1) + \frac{n+1}{n}h^2 - 2|\nabla h| > 0$ . This finishes the proof.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In this section we discuss some applications of these quantitative estimates.

**4.1. Apherical manifolds.** Since the solution of the Geroch conjecture, there have been extensive investigations on various generalizations. A well-known conjecture in this direction is the following.

**Conjecture 4.1.** A closed aspherical manifold  $M^n$  does not admit any Riemannian metric with positive scalar curvature.

Recall that a manifold  $M^n$  is called aspherical, if for all  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\pi_k(M) = 0$ . Equivalently, the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  is contractible. Since the only nontrivial homotopy group of  $M$  is the fundamental group, such  $M$  is the Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(\pi, 1)$  of its fundamental group  $\pi$ .

**Example 4.2.** (1)  $T^n$  is aspherical, since its universal cover,  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , is contractible.

(2) All hyperbolic manifolds (i.e. manifolds admitting a metric with constant sectional curvature  $-1$ ) are aspherical, since they are all covered by  $\mathbf{H}^n$ .

(3) More generally, if a manifold  $M^n$  admits a metric  $g$  with nonpositive sectional curvature, then it is aspherical. Indeed, the universal cover is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^n$  by the Cartan-Hadamard theorem.

Aspherical manifolds exist in abundance, and they are important objects in algebraic topology. Rosenberg [Ros83, Theorem 3.5] proved that a certain version of the (still open) strong Novikov conjecture implies Conjecture 4.1.

Let us try to get a feeling of the statement in low dimensions. When  $n = 3$ , we have the following decomposition theorem:

**Theorem 4.3** (Kneser, Milnor ([Mil62])). *Any closed 3-manifold  $M$  can be uniquely decomposed into prime factors:*

$$M = X_1 \# \cdots \# X_a \# (\#_1^b S^2 \times S^1) \# K_1 \# \cdots \# K_c,$$

where each  $X_i$  has finite fundamental group, and each  $K_j$  has universal cover diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

**Remark 4.4.** The resolution of Poincaré conjecture implies that each  $X_i$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^3/\Gamma_j$ . More generally, Thurston's geometrization gives a full classification of the  $K_j$  factors, but we won't need to use it here.

The relevance of the aspherical 3-manifolds and scalar curvature can be highlighted in the following result.

**Theorem 4.5** (Schoen-Yau [SY79a], Gromov-Lawson [GL80]). *If a closed oriented 3-manifold admits a PSC metric, then there is no aspherical factors in its prime decomposition.*

In our first application, let us prove this Conjecture 4.1 for 3-manifolds.

**Theorem 4.6.** *An aspherical 3-manifold does not admit a metric with positive scalar curvature.*

We begin with the following topological facts. Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a closed aspherical manifold. The following facts hold.

- (1) The universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  is noncompact. This is because any connected compact  $n$ -manifold  $X$  satisfies that  $H_n(X, \mathbf{Z}_2) = \mathbf{Z}_2$ .
- (2)  $(\tilde{M}, g)$  has a length-minimizing geodesic  $\sigma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ . The existence of such a geodesic line holds for all noncompact universal covers of closed manifolds.
- (3) For each  $L > 0$ , there exists an  $(n-2)$ -dimensional cycle  $\Gamma$  whose linking number with  $\sigma$  equals 1, and  $\text{dist}(\Gamma, \sigma) > L$ . This can be constructed by taking suitable intersections of  $\sigma(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\sigma((-\infty, 0])$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.6.* Without loss of generality assume  $R_g \geq 2$ . Take the geodesic line  $\sigma$  and the linking 1-cycle  $\gamma$  in  $(\tilde{M}, g)$  (with slight abuse of notation, we use  $g$  to denote the pull back metric in  $\tilde{M}$  by the covering map) as above, with  $L > \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ . Since  $\tilde{M}$  is simply connected,  $\gamma$  is null-homologous. We take  $\Sigma$  to be the area-minimizing surface with boundary  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\Sigma \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ . By the stability inequality, we have that

$$\lambda_1(-\Delta_\Sigma + K_\Sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2}R_{\tilde{M}} \geq 1.$$

By Theorem 3.4, for every  $p \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\text{dist}_\Sigma(p, \gamma = \partial\Sigma) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi.$$

However, this means that  $\sigma \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ , contradiction.  $\square$

Conjecture 4.1, when  $n \in \{4, 5\}$ , was proved by Chodosh-Li [CL24] and independently by Gromov [Gro20]. We will sketch a proof here for the case  $n = 4$ .

*Proof of Conjecture 4.1 when  $n = 4$ .* Without loss of generality assume that  $R_g \geq 4$ . Take the same construction of a linking geodesic line  $\sigma$  and a 2-cycle  $\Sigma_0$  in the universal cover  $(\tilde{M}, g)$ , such that  $d_{\tilde{M}}(\sigma, \Sigma_0) > L$  for some  $L$  to be chosen later.

As before, we take a homologically minimizing hypersurface  $N^3$  such that  $\partial N = \Sigma_0$ . The stability inequality implies that  $\lambda_1(-\Delta_N + \frac{1}{2}R_N) \geq 2$ . Now we run into an issue: a three-manifold with  $R_N \geq 4$  may have arbitrarily large diameter, so a direct analogy of the previous proof does not work.

The idea is to use  $\mu$ -bubbles. For simplicity we first prove the following.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Suppose  $(N^3, g)$  has  $R_g \geq 4$ ,  $\partial N = \Sigma_0$  is compact. Then there exists  $\Sigma^2 \subset N^3$  homologous to  $\Sigma_0$  in  $N$  such that:*

- (1)  $\text{dist}_N(\Sigma, \Sigma_0) \leq 4\pi$ , and
- (2) each connected component of  $\Sigma$  has diameter  $\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ .

The proof of Proposition 4.7 is an application of  $\mu$ -bubbles.

*Proof of Proposition 4.7.* Consider a smooth domain  $N_0 \subset N$  containing  $\partial N = \Sigma_0$ , such that writing  $\partial N_0 = \Sigma_0 \sqcup \Sigma_1$ , we have that  $4\pi - \varepsilon \leq \text{dist}_N(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1) \leq 4\pi$  (the extra room with  $\varepsilon$  is to guarantee that  $\partial N_0$  is smooth).

Let's construct a function  $h \in C^\infty(\overset{\circ}{N}_0)$  such that:

- (1)  $h(p) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ ,  $h(p) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $p \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ ;
- (2)  $1 + \frac{3}{2}h^2 - 2|\nabla h| \geq 0$ .

The construction of such  $h$  is as follows. Take  $\rho$  a smoothing of  $\text{dist}_N(\Sigma_0, \cdot)$  with  $|\text{Lip } \rho| < 2$ , such that  $\Sigma_0 = \rho^{-1}(0)$ ,  $\Sigma_1 = \rho^{-1}(2\pi)$ . This can be arranged by the distance assumption between  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$ . Then define

$$h = -\tan\left(\frac{\rho - \pi}{2}\right).$$

It is simple to check that both conditions (1) and (2) above are satisfied. Therefore, the functional

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega) := |\partial\Omega| - \int_{\Omega} h$$

has a smooth minimizer  $\Omega$ , such that  $\Omega = \Sigma - \Sigma_0$  with

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{2}R_{\Sigma}f^2 \geq \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2}R_N + \frac{3}{2}h^2 + 2\langle \nabla h, \nu \rangle f^2 \geq 0$$

for all  $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Using that  $R_N \geq 4$  and (2), we conclude that

$$\lambda_1(-\Delta + K_{\Sigma}) \geq 1,$$

and hence Theorem 3.4 concludes that each connected component has diameter  $\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ .  $\square$

To finish the proof, need to utilize another quantitative topological property of the universal cover  $(\tilde{M}, g)$ .

**Lemma 4.8** (Uniform filling). *Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold, and  $H_k(\tilde{M}) = 0$ . Then there exists an increasing function  $\Lambda : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  such that any  $k$ -cycle  $X$  in  $\tilde{M}$  with  $\text{diam}_{\tilde{M}}(X) \leq r$  can be written as  $X = \partial Y$  for some  $(k+1)$ -chain with  $\text{diam}_{\tilde{M}}(Y) \leq \Lambda(r)$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 4.8.* Fix a point  $p \in \tilde{M}$ . Translate  $X$  with some deck transformation so  $d_{\tilde{M}}(p, X) \leq D$ , where  $D = \text{diam}(M)$ . Then  $X \subset B_{\tilde{M}}(p, D+r)$ . Note that  $H_k(B_{\tilde{M}}(p, D+r))$  is finitely generated. Pick a set of generators  $[X_1], \dots, [X_N]$ . Write each  $X_j = \partial Y_j$  and set  $\Lambda(r) = 2 \max_j \text{dist}_{\tilde{M}}(p, Y_j)$ . Then we may write  $X$  as the boundary of a  $(k+1)$ -chain of diameter  $\leq 2\Lambda(r)$ .  $\square$

Now let's choose  $L > 4\pi + \Lambda(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi)$ . Note that  $\partial\Omega = \Sigma - \Sigma_0$ . Since each connected component of  $\Sigma$  has diameter  $\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi$ , we use Lemma 4.8 can write  $\Sigma = \partial\Omega_1$  for  $\Omega \subset N_{\Lambda(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi)}$ . Then  $\Sigma_0 = \partial(\Omega + \Omega_1)$ , and we have that

$$\text{dist}_{\tilde{M}}(\Omega + \Omega_1, \Sigma_0) < 4\pi + \Lambda\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\pi\right) < L.$$

This implies that  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\sigma$  does not link, contradiction.  $\square$

**4.2. Urysohn width and macroscopic dimension.** Gromov (see, e.g. [Gro86, Gro17, Gro19]) proposed to study PSC manifolds via notions of macroscopic geometry. These notions measure the size of a Riemannian manifold. For example:

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . We say that the  $k$ -th Urysohn width of  $(M, d)$  is bounded by  $\Lambda < \infty$ , if there exists a  $k$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $P$  and a continuous map  $f : (M, d) \rightarrow P$ , such that

$$\text{diam}(f^{-1}(p)) \leq \Lambda, \quad \forall p \in P.$$

Intuitively, a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  has Urysohn  $k$ -width bounded means that  $(M^n, g)$  is close to a  $k$ -dimensional space. We easily deduce from the definition that if a metric space has Urysohn  $k$ -width  $\leq \Lambda$ , then for every  $k' > k$ , its Urysohn  $k'$ -width is  $\leq \Lambda$ .

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. Its macroscopic dimension, denoted by  $\text{dim}_{mc}(M)$ , is the smallest integer  $k$  such that the Urysohn  $k$ -width of  $M$  is finite.

Gromov has made the following deep conjecture relating the Urysohn width and positive scalar curvature.



**Conjecture 4.11** (Gromov [Gro17]). For each  $n \geq 2$ , there exists a constant  $c(n)$  such that any closed Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  with  $R_g \geq 1$  has Urysohn  $(n - 2)$ -width  $\leq c(n)$ .

Recently, this conjecture was answered affirmatively by Liokumovich-Maximo [LM23]. Slicing with  $\mu$ -bubbles, we can also prove this for simply connected PSC 3-manifolds.

**4.3. Extension to other curvature conditions.** Recently, Brendle-Hirsch-Johne [BHJ24] defined and investigated a series of curvature notions interpolating between Ricci and scalar curvature. For a Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  and an integer  $m \leq n - 1$ , the  $m$ -intermediate curvature is defined for an unordered pair of  $m$  orthonormal tangent vectors at a point  $p$   $e_1, \dots, e_m \in T_p M$ :

$$C_m(e_1, \dots, e_m) = \sum_{p=1}^m \sum_{q=p+1}^n R^M(e_p, e_q, e_q, e_p),$$

where  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  is an extension of  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  to an orthonormal basis. We note that  $C_1$  is the Ricci curvature,  $C_2$  is called the BiRicci curvature, and  $C_{n-1}$  is equivalent to scalar curvature. In [BHJ24], an interesting dimension descent property was discovered for the  $C_m$  curvature.

**Theorem 4.12** (Brendle-Hirsch-Johne [BHJ24]). *Assume  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ ,  $n(m - 2) \leq m^2 - 2$ . Suppose  $(N^n, g)$  is closed and the  $m$ -intermediate curvature of  $g$  is positive. Then  $N$  admits no weighted minimal slicing*

$$\Sigma_{n-m} \subset \dots \subset \Sigma_{n-1} \subset N^n.$$

In particular, this shows that for any  $X$ ,  $X^{n-m} \times T^m$  admits no metric with positive  $m$ -intermediate curvature.

One may speculate more quantitative versions of Theorem 4.12. In particular, there have been interesting developments in understanding the BiRicci curvature.

**Theorem 4.13** ([CLMS24],[AX24]). *Let  $n \leq 5$ . There exists a constant  $c(n)$  such that for all  $(M^n, g)$  a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with BiRicci curvature  $\geq 1$ , its Urysohn 1-width bounded by  $c(n)$ .*

Such bounds have surprising applications to other geometric problems, including the recent advance in the stable Bernstein problem for minimal hypersurfaces (see, e.g. [CLMS24]).

## REFERENCES

- [AX24] Gioacchino Antonelli and Kai Xu, *New spectral bishop-gromov and bonnet-myers theorems and applications to isoperimetry*, 2024.
- [BH23] Christian Bär and Bernhard Hanke, *Boundary conditions for scalar curvature*, Perspectives in scalar curvature. Vol. 2, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, [2023] ©2023, pp. 325–377. MR 4577919

- [BHJ24] Simon Brendle, Sven Hirsch, and Florian Johne, *A generalization of Geroch's conjecture*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **77** (2024), no. 1, 441–456. MR 4666629
- [BMN11] Simon Brendle, Fernando C. Marques, and Andre Neves, *Deformations of the hemisphere that increase scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **185** (2011), no. 1, 175–197. MR 2810799
- [CL24] Otis Chodosh and Chao Li, *Generalized soap bubbles and the topology of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. **199** (2024), no. 2, 707 – 740.
- [CLMS24] Otis Chodosh, Chao Li, Paul Minter, and Douglas Stryker, *Stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^5$* , 2024.
- [DWW05] Xianzhe Dai, Xiaodong Wang, and Guofang Wei, *On the stability of Riemannian manifold with parallel spinors*, Invent. Math. **161** (2005), no. 1, 151–176. MR 2178660
- [Fut93] Akito Futaki, *Scalar-flat closed manifolds not admitting positive scalar curvature metrics*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), no. 1, 23–29. MR 1207476
- [GL80] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr., *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 2, 209–230. MR 569070
- [Gra04] Alfred Gray, *Tubes*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 221, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004, With a preface by Vicente Miquel. MR 2024928
- [Gro86] Misha Gromov, *Large Riemannian manifolds*, Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1201, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 108–121. MR 859578
- [Gro91] M. Gromov, *Sign and geometric meaning of curvature*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **61** (1991), 9–123. MR 1297501
- [Gro17] Misha Gromov, *101 questions, problems and conjectures around scalar curvature*, 2017.
- [Gro19] ———, *Four lectures on scalar curvature*, 2019.
- [Gro20] Misha Gromov, *No metrics with positive scalar curvatures on aspherical 5-manifolds*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05332> (2020).
- [KW75] Jerry L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner, *Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed Gaussian and scalar curvatures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **101** (1975), 317–331. MR 375153
- [LM23] Yevgeny Liokumovich and Davi Maximo, *Waist inequality for 3-manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Perspectives in scalar curvature. Vol. 2, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, [2023] ©2023, pp. 799–831. MR 4577931
- [Loh95] Joachim Lohkamp, *Curvature  $h$ -principles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **142** (1995), no. 3, 457–498. MR 1356779
- [Mia02] Pengzi Miao, *Positive mass theorem on manifolds admitting corners along a hypersurface*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6** (2002), no. 6, 1163–1182. MR 1982695
- [Mil62] J. Milnor, *A unique decomposition theorem for 3-manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 1–7. MR 142125
- [Ros83] Jonathan Rosenberg,  *$C^*$ -algebras, positive scalar curvature, and the Novikov conjecture*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1983), no. 58, 197–212. MR 720934
- [Sto92] Stephan Stolz, *Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **136** (1992), no. 3, 511–540. MR 1189863
- [SY79a] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau, *Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three-dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 1, 127–142. MR 541332

- [SY79b] ———, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, *Manuscripta Math.* **28** (1979), no. 1-3, 159–183. MR 535700
- [SY83] Richard Schoen and S. T. Yau, *The existence of a black hole due to condensation of matter*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **90** (1983), no. 4, 575–579. MR 719436

COURANT INSTITUTE, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, 251 MERCER ST, NEW YORK, NY  
10012, USA

*Email address:* chaoli@nyu.edu