

we can conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi_n, |A+B| \varphi_n) \leq \operatorname{tr} |A| + \operatorname{tr} |B| < \infty$$

and thus  $A+B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . But to prove (161.1), we

need only to prove that

$$\operatorname{tr} U^* V |A| V^* U \leq \operatorname{tr} |A|.$$

Picking an orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_n\}$  with each  $\varphi_n$  in

$\ker U$  or in  $(\ker U)^\perp$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} U^* V |A| V^* U &= \sum (\varphi_n, U^* V |A| V^* U \varphi_n) \\ &= \sum (U \varphi_n, V |A| V^* U \varphi_n) \\ &\leq \operatorname{tr} (V |A| V^*) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly picking an orthonormal basis  $\{\psi_m\}$  with

each  $\psi_m$  in  $\ker V^*$  or  $(\ker V^*)^\perp$  we find  $\operatorname{tr} V |A| V^*$

$$\leq \operatorname{tr} |A|.$$

### Lecture 13

(b) By the lemma proven below, each  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  can

be written as a linear combination of 4 unitary operators and

so by (a) we only need to show that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,

$\Rightarrow UA \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $AU \in \mathcal{B}_1$  if  $U$  is unitary.

$$\text{But } |UA| = \sqrt{(UA)^* UA} = \sqrt{A^* U^* U A} = \sqrt{A^* A} = |A|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } (U^* |A| U) (U^{-1} |A| U) &= U^* |A|^2 U \\ &= U^* A^* A U \\ &= (AU)^* AU = |AU|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $|AU| = U^{-1} |A| U$  and so by part (c) of

Th<sup>m</sup> 158.2,  $AU$  and  $UA \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .

(c) Let  $A = U|A|$  and  $A^* = V|A^*|$  be the polar

decompositions of  $A$  &  $A^*$ . Then  $A^* = |A| U^*$

$$\text{and } |A^*| = V^* V |A^*| = V^* A^* = V^* |A| U^*$$

If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , then  $|A| \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and so  $|A^*| \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , by (b),

and so  $A^* = V |A^*| \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , again by (b).  $\square$

Lemma 163.1 Every  $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  can be written as a linear combination of 4 unitary operators

Proof: Since  $B = \frac{1}{2}(B+B^*) - \frac{i}{2}(B-B^*)$ ,  $B$  can be

written as a linear combination of 2 self-adjoint operators. So, suppose  $A$  is self-adjoint and without loss we can assume that  $\|A\| \leq 1$ . Then

$$A \pm i\sqrt{1-A^2}$$

are unitary and  $A = \frac{1}{2}(A + i\sqrt{1-A^2}) + \frac{1}{2}(A - i\sqrt{1-A^2})$ .  $\square$

Ex 164.1

Let  $\|\cdot\|_1$  be defined in  $B_1$  by  $\|A\|_1 = \text{tr}|A|$

Then  $B_1$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_1.$$

Proof: Exercise.

Exercise 164.2

Show that if  $A \in B_1(\mathbb{K})$  and  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K})$  then

$$\|BA\|_1 \leq \|B\| \|A\|_1,$$

$$\|AB\|_1 \leq \|B\| \|A\|_1,$$

(Hint: Use min-max principle).



Th<sup>m</sup> 165.1

Every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  is compact. A compact operator  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_1$  if and only if  $\sum_1^\infty \lambda_n < \infty$  where  $\lambda_n$  are the singular values of  $A$ .

Proof: Since  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $|A|^2 = A^*A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and so

$$\text{tr } |A|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Ae_n\|^2 < \infty$$

for any orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Suppose  $\psi \in \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle^\perp$

and  $\|\psi\| = 1$ , then we have

$$\|A\psi\|^2 \leq \text{tr } |A|^2 - \sum_{n=1}^n \|Ae_n\|^2$$

since  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, \psi\}$  can always be completed to an orthonormal basis. Thus as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sup \{ \|A\psi\| : \psi \in \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle^\perp, \|\psi\| = 1 \} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left( A - \sum_{n=1}^n (e_n, \cdot) A e_n \right) \psi &= 0 & \text{if } \psi \in \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle \\ &= A\psi & \text{if } \psi \in \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^n (e_n, \cdot) A e_n \rightarrow A \text{ in norm and so } A \text{ is compact.}$$

Finally as

$$(166.1) \quad |A| = \sum \lambda_n (e_n, \cdot) e_n.$$

where  $\lambda_n$  are the singular values of  $|A|$ , and

$$|A|^2 e_n = A^* A e_n = \lambda_n^2 e_n, \quad \lambda_n \neq 0, \text{ we have}$$

$$\text{tr } |A| = \sum (e_n, |A| e_n) = \sum \lambda_n$$

as we can always include the eigenvectors of  $|A|$

corresponding to  $\lambda_n = 0$  in (166.1).

Corollary 166.2

The finite rank operators are  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .

The second class of operators which we will discuss on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  are the Hilbert-Schmidt operators. This is a class of operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  if itself a Hilbert space.

Definition 166.3 An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called Hilbert-Schmidt

if and only if  $\text{tr } T^* T = \text{tr } |T|^2 < \infty$ .

The family of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .

Th<sup>m</sup> 167.1

(a)  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is a  $*$ -ideal

(b) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_2$ , then for any orthonormal basis

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (e_n, A^* B e_n)$$

is absolutely summable, and its limit, denoted by  $(A, B)_2$

is independent of the orthonormal basis chosen

(c)  $\mathcal{B}_2$  with inner product  $(A, B)_2$  is a Hilbert space

(d) If  $\|A\|_2 = \sqrt{(A, A)_2} = (\text{tr } A^* A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  then

$$\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|,$$

and

$$\|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$$

(e) Every  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$  is compact and a compact operator,  $A$ ,

is in  $\mathcal{B}_2$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 < \infty$  where  $\lambda_n$

are the singular values of  $A$ .

(f) The finite rank operators are  $\|\cdot\|_2$ -dense in  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .



(g)  $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$  if and only if  $\{ \|A\varphi_n\|^2 \in \ell_2$  for some orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_n\}$ .

(\*\*\*) (h)  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  if and only if  $A = BC$  with  $B, C$  in  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .

Proof: Exercise. Use arguments analogous to the case  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .  $\square$

Note that at the technical level it is much easier to detect when an operator  $T$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_2$  rather than  $\mathcal{B}_1$ . All we have to do is show that

$$(168.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T\varphi_n\|^2 < \infty$$

for some orthonormal basis  $\varphi_n$ . The key point is that (168.1) requires a calculation involving only the operator  $T$ , which is given to us. However, to see if  $T \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , we must show that

$$(168.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi_n, |T|\varphi_n) < \infty$$

for some orthonormal basis  $\varphi_n$ . Here we are making a

with  $|T|$  which is a transcendental function of  $T$ :

$$|T| = \sqrt{T^*T}, \text{ and not at all explicit.}$$

This is why (h) above is so useful: to show that

$T \in \mathcal{B}_1$  we just to show that  $T$  is a product

of 2 ops in  $\mathcal{B}_2$ , which is a non-transcendental task.

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, d\mu)$  for some measure

space  $(M, \mathcal{A}, d\mu)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_2$  has a concrete realization:

169.1 Let  $(M, \mathcal{A}, d\mu)$  be a measure space  
be separable

and let  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, d\mu)$ . Then  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is Hilbert-Schmidt

if and only if there is a function

$$(169.2) \quad K \in L^2(M \times M, d\mu \otimes d\mu)$$

with

$$(169.3) \quad Af(x) = \int_M K(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y), \quad f \in L^2,$$

Moreover,

$$(169.4) \quad \|A\|_2^2 = \int |K(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$



Proof: Let  $K \in L^2(M \times M, \mu \otimes \mu)$  and let  $A_K$  be the associated integral operator. It is easy to see

(exercise!) that  $A_K$  is a well-defined operator on  $\mathcal{H}$

and that

(170.1) 
$$\|A\|_K \leq \|K\|_{L^2}$$

Let  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(M, \mu)$ . Then

$\{\varphi_n(x) \overline{\varphi_m(y)}\}_{n,m=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(M \times M, \mu \otimes \mu)$

(check this!) so

(170.2) 
$$K = \sum d_{nm} \varphi_n(x) \overline{\varphi_m(y)}$$

Let

$$K_N = \sum_{n,m=1}^N d_{nm} \varphi_n(x) \overline{\varphi_m(y)}$$

Then each  $K_N$  is the integral kernel of a finite rank operator. In fact

$$A_{K_N} = \sum_{n,m=1}^N d_{nm} (\varphi_m, \cdot) \varphi_n$$

Since  $\|K_N - K\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|A_K - A_{K_N}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

by (170.1). Thus  $A_K$  is compact and in fact

$$\|A_k^* A_k\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A_k \varphi_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{nm}|^2 = \|K\|_{L^2}$$

Thus  $A_k \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\|A_k\|_2 = \|K\|_{L^2}$ .

We have shown that the map  $K$  is an isometry of  $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \otimes \mu)$  into  $\mathcal{B}_2$ , so its range is closed. But the finite rank operators clearly come from kernels and since they are dense in  $\mathcal{B}_2$  the range of  $K \mapsto A_k$  is all of  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .  $\square$

Note that if an operator  $A$  has a kernel  $K$ , then  $\|K\|_{L^2} < \infty$  is a very useful condition to verify that  $A$  is compact.

We now, finally, define the trace of an operator.

Th<sup>m</sup> 171.1 If  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is any orthonormal

basis, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\varphi_n, A \varphi_n)$  converges absolutely and the

limit is independent of the choice of basis.

Proof: We write  $A = U |A|^{1/2} |A|^{1/2}$ . Then

$$|(e_n, A e_n)| \leq \| |A|^{1/2} U^* e_n \| \| |A|^{1/2} e_n \|$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(e_n, A e_n)| \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| |A|^{1/2} U^* e_n \|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| |A|^{1/2} e_n \|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

so since  $|A|^{1/2} U^*$  and  $|A|^{1/2}$  are in  $B_2$ , the sum

converges.

We have

(172.1)  $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f_n, \cdot) g_n$  when  $\sum \lambda_n < \infty, \lambda_n > 0$

$\{f_n\}, \{g_m\}$  orthonormal sets, by (153.1).

Thus for any orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n (e_n, A e_n) &= \sum_n \sum_m \lambda_m (f_m, e_n) (e_n, g_m) \\ &= \sum_m \lambda_m \sum_n (f_m, e_n) (e_n, g_m) \\ &= \sum_m \lambda_m (f_m, g_m) \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of  $\{e_n\}$ .  $\square$



Definition 173.1 The map

$$\text{tr} : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by  $\text{tr} A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\langle e_n, A e_n \rangle)$  where  $\{e_n\}$  is any orthonormal basis is called the trace.

It is not true (exercise!) that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_n, A e_n \rangle| < \infty$

for some orthonormal basis implies that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . For  $A$  to be in  $\mathcal{B}_1$ , it is necessary that the sum is finite for all orthonormal bases.

Here are properties of the trace.

Th<sup>m</sup> 173.1

(a)  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  is linear

(b)  $\text{tr} A^* = \overline{\text{tr} A}$

(c)  $\text{tr} AB = \text{tr} BA$  if  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}_2$

(d)  $|\text{tr} A| \leq \|A\|_1$

Proof: (a) and (b) are obvious. To prove (c), use

$$(172.1), \quad A = \sum \lambda_n (f_n, \cdot) g_n$$

$$\text{tr } AB = \text{tr} \left( \sum \lambda_n (B^* f_n, \cdot) g_n \right)$$

$$= \sum_m (g_m, \left( \sum_n \lambda_n (B^* f_n, \cdot) g_n \right) g_m)$$

as the  $g_m$ 's are orthonormal and if  $g \perp \{g_m\}$  then

$$\langle g, ABg \rangle = 0. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$\text{tr } AB = \sum_n \lambda_n (B^* f_n, g_n) = \sum \lambda_m (f_m, Bg_m)$$

and

$$\text{tr } BA = \text{tr} \left( \sum_n \lambda_n (f_n, \cdot) Bg_n \right)$$

$$= \sum_m (f_m, \left( \sum_n \lambda_n (f_n, \cdot) Bg_n \right) f_m)$$

$$= \sum_m \lambda_m (f_m, Bg_m)$$

and so  $\text{tr } AB = \text{tr } BA$ . Finally

$$(d) \quad |\text{tr } A| = \left| \sum (e_n, A e_n) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_n (e_n, \left( \sum \lambda_m (f_m, \cdot) g_m \right) e_n) \right|$$

Let  $\{e_n\}$  be the completion of  $\{g_m\}$  to an orthonormal basis

$$\text{Then } |\text{tr } A| = \left| \sum \lambda_m (f_m, g_m) \right| \leq \sum |\lambda_m| = \text{tr } |A|$$

as  $|(f_m, g_m)| \leq \|f_m\| \|g_m\| \leq 1$ .  $\square$

The following result shows that  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is the dual space of the compact operators  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  and the dual space of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is just  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ .

Th<sup>m</sup> 175.1

(a)  $\mathcal{B}_1 = (\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))^\vee$ , i.e., the map  $A \mapsto \tau(A \cdot)$

is an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  onto  $(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}))^\vee$ .

(b)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) = (\mathcal{B}_1)^\vee$ , i.e., the map  $B \mapsto \tau(B \cdot)$  is

an isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_1^\vee$ .

In particular  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  is a non-reflexive Banach space.

Proof: See Reed-Simon Vol I, Problem 30, Chapter VI.  $\square$

~~We are now in a position to define the determinant of an appropriate class of operators, viz,~~  
 ~~$\det(1+A)$  where  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .~~



Comment: We have introduced  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  and saw that for  $A \in B_1$ ,  $\|A\|_1 = \sum d_n$  and

for  $A \in B_2$ ,  $\|A\|_2 = (\sum d_n^2)^{1/2}$ , where the  $d_n$ 's are the singular values of  $A$ . For general  $p \geq 1$ , we

define the  $p$ -th Schatten-class  $B_p$  as the class of

compact operators  $A$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^p < \infty$

$B_p$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|A\|_p = (\sum d_n^p)^{1/p}$ .

For more details, e.g., B. Simon, Trace ideals and their applications.

Just as

$$\| |A| \| = \sum d_n, \text{ where the } d_n \text{'s are}$$

the singular values of  $A$  and hence the eigenvalues of  $|A|$ ,

we expect that if  $A \in B_1$ , then

(176.1)  $\text{tr } A = \sum \sigma_n$

where the  $\sigma_n$ 's are the eigenvalues of  $A$ . This is true, but, as we will see, rather difficult to prove.

We are now in a position to define the determinant of an appropriate class of operators, viz.,

$$\det(I + A)$$

where  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .

We need some alternating algebra i.e. the theory of antisymmetric tensor spaces.

Given a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\otimes^n \mathcal{H}$  is defined as the vector space of multilinear functionals on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Explicitly, given  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}$ , we define  $\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n \in \otimes^n \mathcal{H}$  by

$$(\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n)(m_1, \dots, m_n) \equiv (\varphi_1, m_1) \dots (\varphi_n, m_n)$$

for  $m_i \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . It is an exercise (cf. Reed-Simon

Vol I, p. 49 et seq) that the finite span of the  $\{\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n\}$

possesses a well defined inner product with

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n, \eta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \eta_n) \\
 &= (\varphi_1, \eta_1) \cdots (\varphi_n, \eta_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\otimes^n \mathcal{H}$  is the completion of this finite span in the topology generated by this inner product. Given any  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , there is a natural operator  $T_n(A)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\otimes^n \mathcal{H})$  with

$$T_n(A)(\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n) = A\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A\varphi_n$$

$T_n$  satisfies

$$(178.1) \quad T_n(AB) = T_n(A)T_n(B)$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  denote the group of all permutations on  $n$  letters. Let  $\varepsilon(\cdot)$  be the function on  $\mathcal{P}_n$  that is  $+1$  (resp.  $-1$ ) on even (resp. odd) permutations. Define

$\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n \in \otimes^n \mathcal{H}$  by

$$(178.2) \quad \varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_n = \frac{1}{(n!)^\varepsilon} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \varepsilon(\pi) [\varphi_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{\pi(n)}]$$

and define  $\Lambda^n(\mathcal{H})$  to be the subspace of  $\otimes^n \mathcal{H}$



spanned if  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ . The  $(n!)^{-1/2}$  normalization factor is chosen so that if  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  are orthonormal, then  $\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n$  has norm one. More generally,

(exercise) one has

$$(179.1) \quad (\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n, \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_n) = \det (\varphi_i, \eta_j)_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n}}$$

Given  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $\Gamma_n(A)$  leaves  $\Lambda^n(\mathbb{H})$  invariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(A) \varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \varepsilon(\pi) A_{\varphi_{\pi(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes A_{\varphi_{\pi(n)}} \\ &= A \varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n \end{aligned}$$

and we denote its restriction to  $\Lambda^n(\mathbb{H})$  by  $\Lambda^n(A)$ .

We have from (178.1)

$$(179.2) \quad \Lambda^n(AB) = \Lambda^n(A) \Lambda^n(B)$$

When  $n=0$ , we define  $\Lambda^0 \mathbb{H}$  to be  $\mathbb{C}$  and

$$\Lambda^0(A) \text{ as } \mathbb{I} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

The connection between determinants of finite dimensional operators and  $\Lambda^n(\cdot)$  is given by: