

$= \overline{\text{ran } T}$, Let y' be an extension of l to Y .

Then for all $x \in X$, $\langle T'y', x \rangle = \langle y', Tx \rangle$

$= l(Tx) = \langle x', x \rangle$, and so $T'y' = x'$ if $x' \in \text{ran } T'$.

This completes the proof of Banach's theorem (45.3).

Lecture 5 Remark 57.1 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, and hence $T' \in \mathcal{L}(Y', X')$, has closed range. It is interesting to apply (46.1) to T' . We have

$$(57.2) \quad \text{ran } T' = \{x' \in X' : \langle x'', x' \rangle = 0 \ \forall x'' \in \ker T''\}$$

But (46.2) also applies, so we also have

$$(57.3) \quad \text{ran } T' = \{x' \in X' : \langle x', x \rangle = 0 \ \forall x \in \ker T\}$$

Using the injection $\varphi: X \rightarrow X''$ sending $x \mapsto x'' = \varphi(x)$

$x''(x') = x'(x)$ (see (26.3)), we see that

$$\langle x'', x' \rangle = 0$$

for all $x'' \in \ker T''$, if and only if

$$\langle x'', x' \rangle (= x'(x)) = 0$$

for all $x'' \in M \equiv \ker T'' \cap \text{ran } \varphi$. But in general $M \not\subseteq \ker T''$,

and so the conclusion is somewhat surprising.

Let Y be a closed linear subspace of a Banach space X . We say that closed linear subspace Y' of X is a complement of Y in X if X is a direct sum of Y and Y' , $X = Y \oplus Y'$, i.e., any $x \in X$ can be expressed as a unique sum

(58.1) $x = u + v$ where $u \in Y$ and $v \in Y'$

Note that uniqueness is equivalent to $Y \cap Y' = \{0\}$.

Complements Y' of Y , if they exist, are not unique

For example if $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $Y = \{ \langle x, 0 \rangle : x \in \mathbb{R} \}$,

then $Y' = \{ \langle 0, y \rangle : y \in \mathbb{R} \}$ and $Y' = \{ \langle y, y \rangle : y \in \mathbb{R} \}$

are both complements of Y in X . (see also Prob. Set #4).

By Theorem 13.2, every closed subspace Y of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} has a complement, viz $Y' = Y^\perp$. But in

general a Banach space may have subspaces that

cannot be complemented. For example in [R.J. Phillips, On linear transformations, Transactions of Amer. Math. Society, 48 (1950), 516-554], Phillips showed that $c_0 = \{x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} :$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0\}$ is a subspace in l^∞ that cannot be

complemented (see Problem set #4).

A linear operator $P: X \rightarrow X$ is a projection if $P^2 = P$.

If Y and Y' are complementary closed subspaces in X ,

$X = Y \oplus Y'$, then the maps taking $x = u + v$ to u and

v give rise to two bounded complementary projections

$$(59.1) \quad x \mapsto u \equiv Px, \quad x \mapsto v \equiv Qx$$

$$(59.2) \quad P^2 = P, \quad Q^2 = Q, \quad PQ = QP = 0, \quad P + Q = I$$

The fact that P and Q are bounded follows from

the open mapping theorem as the map

$$\langle y, y' \rangle \mapsto y + y'$$

is a continuous bijection from the Banach space

$$Y \times Y' = \{ \langle y, y' \rangle : y \in Y, y' \in Y' \}$$

$$\| \langle y, y' \rangle \| = \|y\| + \|y'\|$$

onto X . Conversely, if P and Q are bounded complementary projectors in X as in (59.2), then

$$(60.1) \quad Y = \text{ran } P \quad \text{and} \quad Y' = \text{ran } Q$$

are complementary subspaces in X (Exercise).

If Y is a linear subspace of X then the

codimension of Y is defined as

$$(60.2) \quad \text{codim } (Y) = \dim (X/Y)$$

Proposition 60.3

Suppose Y is a closed subspace of a Banach space X .

Then if $\dim Y < \infty$ or $\text{codim } Y < \infty$, then Y can be complemented

Proof: Suppose $\dim Y < \infty$ and let x_1, \dots, x_n be a

(61)

basis for Y , $n = \dim Y$. For $i=1, \dots, n$, set

$$l_i \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i \right) = d_i$$

Each l_i is bounded on Y (why?), and hence can be extended to a bounded linear functional L_i on X ,

$i=1, \dots, n$. Let $Y' = \{x \in X : L_i(x) = 0 \text{ for each } i=1, \dots, n\}$.

Now Y' complements Y . Indeed Y' is clearly closed

and if $x \in X$, set $\tilde{x} = x - \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(x) x_i$. Then

$$L_i(\tilde{x}) = L_i(x) - L_i(x) = 0$$

so $\tilde{x} \in Y'$: thus $x = \tilde{x} + \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(x) x_i \in Y \oplus Y'$.

Finally if $x \in Y \cap Y'$ then $x = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i$ and

$L_i(x) = d_i = 0 \quad \therefore x = 0$, so that the sum is direct.

Now suppose $\text{codim } Y = \dim X/Y = n < \infty$. Let

$[x_i] = x_i + Y$, $i=1, \dots, n$, be a basis for X/Y . Then

if $x \in X$, there $\exists d_1, \dots, d_n$ such that $[x] = d_1[x_1] + \dots + d_n[x_n]$.

and so $x = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \in Y$. It follows that

any $x \in X$ can be written as $u+v$ where $u \in Y$

and $v \in Y' = \text{span} \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. If

$x \in Y \cap Y'$, then $x = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$ for some $\{\alpha_i\}$ in

\mathbb{C} and so $\alpha_1 [x_1] + \dots + \alpha_n [x_n] = 0$ which $\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \dots =$

$\alpha_n = 0$ and hence $x = 0$. As Y' is automatically closed,

it follows that Y' complements Y . \square

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. We say that a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

lies in the spectrum of T , denoted $\sigma(T)$, if $T - \lambda =$

$T - \lambda I$ is not a bijection from X onto X . The complement

$\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ of $\sigma(T)$ is called the resolvent set of T and is

denoted by $\rho(T)$. Thus for each $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, $T - \lambda$ is a

bijection with inverse $\frac{1}{T - \lambda} = (T - \lambda)^{-1}$ which is necessarily bounded,

by the open mapping theorem. For $\lambda, \lambda' \in \rho(T)$ one has

The resolvent identity

$$(63.1) \quad \frac{1}{T-\lambda} - \frac{1}{T-\lambda'} = (\lambda - \lambda') \frac{1}{T-\lambda} \frac{1}{T-\lambda'} = (\lambda - \lambda') \frac{1}{T-\lambda'} \frac{1}{T-\lambda}$$

In particular $\frac{1}{T-\lambda}$ and $\frac{1}{T-\lambda'}$ commute. If $\ker(T-\lambda) \neq \{0\}$

then λ is an eigenvalue of T and any vector $u \neq 0$ in

$\ker(T-\lambda)$, $Tu = \lambda u$, is called an eigenvector of T .

The dimension of $\ker(T-\lambda)$ is called the geometric

multiplicity of λ . Recall that for a matrix M ,

if $\det(M-z) = (\lambda-z)^p q(z)$, $q(\lambda) \neq 0$, $p \geq 1$, then λ is an

eigenvalue of M and p is its algebraic multiplicity:

in general the algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue is

greater or equal to its geometric multiplicity. The

algebraic multiplicity of eigenvalues of certain operators will

be considered later.

Theorem 64.1 Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then

- (1) $\rho(T)$ is an open set and $\sigma(T)$ is a closed set
- (2) The map $\lambda \mapsto (T - \lambda)^{-1}$ is analytic in $\rho(T)$
- (3) $\sigma(T)$ is non-empty
- (4) $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T')$ and $\rho(T) = \rho(T')$

Remark 64.5 Property (4) generalizes the fact that if A is a square matrix, $\det(A - z) = \det(A' - z)$.

Proof of Th^m 64.1 ~~If $\sigma(T) = \emptyset$ then $T - \lambda$ is invertible for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, for any $x' \in X'$, $x \in X$, the function~~

~~If $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, then for $|\lambda' - \lambda|$ sufficiently small~~

$$(\mathbb{I} - (\lambda' - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1}$$

~~exists, by the Neuman series, $(\mathbb{I} - V)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V^k$ for $\|V\| < 1$.~~

~~Set $R_{\lambda, \lambda'} = (\mathbb{I} - (\lambda' - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1}(T - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$~~

$$\text{Then } (T - \lambda') R_{\lambda, \lambda'} = (T - \lambda')(T - \lambda)^{-1} (\mathbb{I} - (\lambda' - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$= (\mathbb{I} - (\lambda' - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^{-1}) (\mathbb{I} - (\lambda' - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$$

(65)

Similarly $R_{\lambda, \lambda'}(T - \lambda') = I$. Hence $\lambda' \in \rho(T)$. Thus

$\rho(T)$ is open, and hence $\sigma(T)$ is closed. If $\lambda \in \rho(T)$

and $|\lambda' - \lambda|$ is small then again by the Neumann series,

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \lambda')^{-1} &= R_{\lambda, \lambda'} = (I - (\lambda' - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1}(T - \lambda)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (T - \lambda)^{-k-1} (\lambda' - \lambda)^k. \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that $\lambda \mapsto (T - \lambda)^{-1}$ is analytic.

If $\sigma(T) = \emptyset$, then $T - \lambda$ is invertible, and hence

analytic, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence for any $x' \in X'$, $x \in X$,

the function

$$f_{x, x'}(\lambda) = \langle x', \frac{1}{T - \lambda} x \rangle$$

is entire. However for $|\lambda| > \|T\|$, one can expand

$(T - \lambda)^{-1}$ in a Neumann series

$$(T - \lambda)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda^n}$$

and so $\|(T - \lambda)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|T\|}{|\lambda|}} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

(66)

Hence $f_{x',x}(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, and by Liouville's theorem, $f_{x',x}(\lambda) = \langle x', (T-\lambda)^{-1}x \rangle = 0$. Thus, ~~again~~ by (24.1), we must have $(T-\lambda)^{-1}x = 0 \quad \forall x$ and so $(T-\lambda)^{-1} = 0$. But $(T-\lambda)(T-\lambda)^{-1} = 1$, and so this is a contradiction. Thus $\sigma(T) \neq \emptyset$, which proves (3).

Finally (4) follows from the theorem 4.2, $(T-\lambda)$ is a bijection $\Leftrightarrow T-\lambda = (T-\lambda)'$ is a bijection. \square .

We now begin the study of compact operators. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ for a pair of Banach spaces X and Y .

Then T is compact if it takes bounded sets to pre-compact (or, relatively compact) sets. Thus if $\{x_n\}$ is bounded in X , $\|x_n\| \leq c$,

then $\{Tx_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. The basic theory of compact operators is due to F. Riesz and T. Schauder and is known as Riesz-Schauder theory. We denote the

(67)

space of compact operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ by $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{K}(X)$ if $X = Y$.

The following result is immediate.

Theorem 67.1 Let W, X, Y, Z be Banach spaces. Then

$$(1) \quad S, T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mu S + \lambda T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y) \quad \forall \mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$(2) \quad C \in \mathcal{L}(W, X), B \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y), A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$$

$$\Rightarrow BC \in \mathcal{K}(W, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad AB \in \mathcal{K}(X, Z)$$

(3) $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Thus if

$$T_n \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y), T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$

Proof: Exercise \square

Theorem 67.1 implies, in particular, that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a closed ideal in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(W, X)$ is finite rank if

$\dim \operatorname{ran} T < \infty$. Such operators can be represented
 in the form (exercise)

$$(68.1) \quad Tx = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'(x) y_i, \quad x \in X$$

for some independent set of vectors $y_i \in Y$, $i=1, \dots, n$,
 $n = \dim(\operatorname{ran} T)$, and some independent set of bounded
 linear functionals $x_i' \in X'$, $i=1, \dots, n$. Finite rank

operators are clearly compact (why?). In Hilbert space,
 every compact operator $T \in K(H)$ is the norm limit of
 finite rank operators, $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, T_n finite rank.
 (see Reed-Simon, e.g.): This is not true in general in Banach space.

Lecture 6

Theorem 68.2 (Schauder)

An operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ is compact if and only
 if $T' \in L(Y', X')$ is compact.

Proof: Recall the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem: (see [Yosida]) Let S be a
 compact metric space and let $C(S)$ denote the B -space
 of continuous functions on S with norm $\|x\| = \sup_{s \in S} |x(s)|$.