

1. Let  $A$  be a real matrix with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , which are real and positive. How many real matrices  $B$  satisfy the matrix equation  $A = B^2$ .

*Answer:* Any square matrix is similar to an upper triangular matrix  $J$  (for example its Jordan form). Then

$$A = B^2 = PJP^{-1}PJP^{-1} = PJ^2P^{-1},$$

so  $A$  is similar to  $J^2$ .

By question 4 of homework 4, we know that  $\det(\lambda I - J) = \prod_{k=1}^n (\lambda - J_{k,k})$ , so the eigenvalues of  $B$  are  $\mu_k = J_{k,k}$ . The eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\lambda_k = J_{k,k}^2$ , because the square of an upper triangular matrix is an upper triangular matrix with the diagonal entries squared. Thus each eigenvalue of  $B$  must be the square root of a distinct eigenvalue of  $A$ .

We thus have that  $B$  has distinct eigenvalues, and hence a basis of genuine eigenvectors  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Also, since

$$Av_k = B(Bv_k) = B(\mu_k v_k) = \mu_k^2 v_k = \lambda_k v_k,$$

these eigenvectors must also be eigenvectors of  $A$ . Thus the only freedom in the choice of  $B$  is the freedom to choose the sign of the eigenvalue for each  $v_k$ . Since there are  $n$   $v_k$ 's we see that there are  $2^n$  possible  $B$ 's.

**Note:** An alternative proof of this fact is to note that since  $A$  has distinct eigenvalues, and so  $\mathbb{R}^n$  may be decomposed into a sum of one-dimensional eigenspaces of  $A$ . You can then prove that  $B$  maps the eigenspaces into themselves, and use this to determine  $B$  up to signs, and then count the number of signs. This proof has the advantage of giving more intuition about the behaviour of these matrices, but I find proof presented above to be cleaner.

2. A matrix  $A$  is said to be monotone if for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ ,  $Ax \geq 0$  (i.e. each element of  $Ax$  is  $\geq 0$ ) implies  $x \geq 0$ .

- (a) Show that  $A$  is invertible.

*Answer:* Suppose  $Ax = 0 \geq 0$ , then  $x \geq 0$ . By linearity, we also have that  $A(-x) = 0 \geq 0$ , so  $-x \geq 0$ . Thus  $x = 0$ . We thus have that  $A$  has a only a trivial nullspace. Since  $A$  is square, this implies that it is invertible.

- (b) Show that  $A$  is monotone if and only if  $A^{-1}$  exists and all its entries are non-negative.

*Answer:* First suppose  $A$  is monotone. Then  $A^{-1}$  exists by part a). Also note that if  $\tilde{a}_k$  is the  $k$ th column of  $A^{-1}$ , then

$$AA^{-1} = I \Rightarrow A\tilde{a}_k = e_k \geq 0,$$

where  $e_k$  is the  $k$ th standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which has non-negative entries. The monotonicity of  $A$  then gives that  $\tilde{a}_k \geq 0$ . Thus each column of  $A^{-1}$  has non-negative entries, and so we are done.

We now suppose that  $A^{-1}$  exists and all its entries are non-negative. Since  $A^{-1}$  is invertible, we have that the  $\tilde{a}_k$ 's form a basis.

$$Ax = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow x = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow x = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \tilde{a}_k.$$

Since each element of  $\tilde{a}_k$  is non-negative, we have that  $b_k \geq 0$  for all  $k$  implies that  $x \geq 0$ . This is the definition of  $A$  being monotone.

3. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be rectangular matrices of size  $n \times m$  and  $m \times n$  respectively. Prove that the non-zero eigenvalues of  $AB$  and  $BA$  are the same. Express the corresponding eigenvectors of  $AB$  in terms of those of  $BA$ . Show that if  $\lambda + AB$  is invertible for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + AB} + A \frac{1}{\lambda + BA} B = I.$$

*Answer:* Let  $v$  be an eigenvector of  $BA$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then

$$(AB)Av = A(BAv) = \lambda Av.$$

Since  $\lambda \neq 0$ , this tells us that  $Av$  is an eigenvector of  $AB$  with eigenvalue of  $\lambda$ . Thus all non-zero eigenvalues of  $BA$  are also eigenvalues of  $AB$ .

By switching the roles of  $A$  and  $B$  above, we may also see that non-zero eigenvalues of  $AB$  are also eigenvalues of  $BA$ .

Now suppose that  $\lambda + AB$  is invertible for  $\lambda \neq 0$  (and so  $\lambda + BA$  is invertible, by the above)

Note that  $(\lambda + AB)A = \lambda A + ABA = A(\lambda + BA)$ , so  $(\lambda + AB)A(\lambda + BA)^{-1} = A$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda + (\lambda + AB)A(\lambda + BA)^{-1}B &= \lambda + AB \\ \Rightarrow (\lambda + AB)^{-1}\lambda + (\lambda + AB)^{-1}(\lambda + AB)A(\lambda + BA)^{-1}B &= I \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + AB} + A \frac{1}{\lambda + BA} B &= I. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let  $C$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

- (a) Show that  $\text{tr}C = 0$  if and only if  $C = SDS^{-1}$  for some invertible  $S$  and some matrix  $D$  with all diagonal entries equal to 0.

*Answer:* First note that if  $C = SDS^{-1}$ , for such a  $D$ , then

$$\text{tr}C = \text{tr}[SDS^{-1}] = \text{tr}[S^{-1}SD] = \text{tr}D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{i,i} = 0.$$

We now use induction to prove the other direction. Clearly if  $n = 1$  and  $\text{tr}C = 0$ , then  $C = 0$ , so the result holds. Now suppose that the result holds for any  $k < n$ .

We claim that  $C$  is similar to a matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{b}^T \\ a & C_1 \end{pmatrix}$ . If we accept this claim, then the induction hypothesis says that  $C_1$  is similar to a matrix  $D_1$  with zeros on the diagonal, since  $\text{tr}C_1 = \text{tr}C = 0$ . We may then the last  $n - 1$  vectors in the basis that  $C$  is written in to write

$$P^{-1}CP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{b}^T \\ \tilde{a} & D_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a matrix with only zeros on the diagonal.

*Proof of claim:* Since  $\text{tr}C = 0$ , we either have that  $C = 0$  (and so we are done) or  $C \neq \lambda I$  for any  $\lambda$  (since then  $\text{tr}C = n\lambda \neq 0$ ). If  $C \neq 0$ , then there exists a  $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $v_2 = Cv_1 \neq \lambda v_1$  for any  $\lambda$ . We may then choose  $v_3, \dots, v_n$  to complete a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If we write  $C$  in this basis then  $C$  will have a 0 in the top left corner because  $Cv_1$  has no component in the  $v_1$  direction.

(b) Show that  $\text{tr}C = 0$  if and only if  $C = AB - BA$  for some  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .

*Answer:* If  $C = AB - BA$ , the  $\text{tr}C = \text{tr}AB - \text{tr}BA = 0$ .

To prove the other direction, let  $A$  be some diagonal matrix with the  $A_{j,j}$ 's all distinct. Then asking  $C = AB - BA$  is the same as asking that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,j} &= A_{i,i}B_{i,j} - B_{i,j}A_{j,j}, \quad \forall i, j \\ \Rightarrow B_{i,j} &= \frac{C_{i,j}}{A_{i,i} - A_{j,j}}, \quad \forall i, j \end{aligned}$$

which is well defined, so we have found our  $A$  and  $B$ .

**Note:** It is also possible to prove this induction. This uses the claim from part a) and the fact that if  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b^T \\ a & C_1 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{A}\tilde{B} - \tilde{B}\tilde{A}$ , then

$$C = P\tilde{A}P^{-1}P\tilde{B}P^{-1} - P\tilde{B}P^{-1}P\tilde{A}P^{-1} = AB - BA,$$

so  $C$  is also commutator of two square matrices.

5. Show that if  $A$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix with complex entries and  $A^n = I$  for some  $n > 0$ , then  $A$  has a basis of eigenvectors.

*Answer:* There are two ways to prove this, by proving that  $A$  has no eigenvectors that are generalized and not genuine, or by using the minimal polynomial of  $A$ .

**Eigenvector approach:** Suppose that  $A$  has at least one eigenvector that is generalized and not genuine, then  $A$  has a Jordan chain of size at least 2, i.e. there exists a  $v$  such that  $v_1 = (A - \lambda I)v \neq 0$ , but  $(A - \lambda I)^2v = 0$ . Note that  $v$  and  $v_1$  are linearly independent. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Av &= \lambda v + v_1 \\ \Rightarrow A^2v &= \lambda(\lambda v + v_1) + \lambda v_1 \\ &\vdots \\ A^n v &= \lambda^n v + n\lambda^{n-1}v_1. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Since  $A^n = I$ , we have that  $(1 - \lambda^n)v = n\lambda^{n-1}v_1$ . Since  $v$  and  $v_1$  are linearly independent, both sides are zero. Thus  $\lambda^n = 1$  and  $\lambda^{n-1} = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

**Note:** It is not true that any eigenvector that is generalized and not genuine satisfies

(1). As an example take the matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $Ae_3 = \lambda e_3 + e_2$ , and

$A^2e_3 = \lambda^2e_3 + 2\lambda e_2 + e_1$ , which is not the same behaviour as we used in the proof.

**Minimal polynomial approach:** If we define  $p(x) = x^n - 1$ , then we have that  $p(A) = 0$ . **Note that this does not imply that  $p$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , even if it had the right degree.** ,  $p(x) = x^n - 1 = \prod_{k=1}^n (x - \omega_k)$ , where  $\omega_k$  are the  $n$ th roots of 1. We see that each term only appears once, so all roots of  $p$  have multiplicity 1.

It is a fact that  $p(A) = 0$  implies that the minimal polynomial of  $A$  must divide  $p$ . Since the roots of the minimal polynomial are eigenvalues of  $A$ , we have that all of the eigenvalues of  $A$  must be  $n$ th roots of 1. More importantly, we have that the multiplicity of every root of the minimal polynomial has multiplicity 1, which tells us that the Jordan normal form of  $A$  has only Jordan blocks of size 1. Thus  $A$  is diagonalizable and has a basis of eigenvectors.

6. A square matrix  $S$  is stochastic if all its elements are non-negative and the sum of the elements in each column is 1.

$$\text{i.e. } \sum_{i=1}^n S_{i,j} = 1, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Show that

- (a)  $\lambda = 1$  is an eigenvalue of  $S$ .

*Answer:* Note that

$$S^T \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{i,1} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^n S_{i,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so 1 is an eigenvalue of  $S^T$ . Since  $\det(S - I) = \det(S^T - I) = 0$ , we also have that 1 is an eigenvalue of  $S$ .

- (b) All eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $S$  lie in the closed unit disk. i.e.  $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$  for all  $i$ .

*Answer:* We again note that all of the eigenvalues of  $S$  are also eigenvalues of  $S^T$ . Now suppose that  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $S^T$ . Then

$$|\lambda||v_i| = |\lambda v_i| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n S_{i,j} v_j \right| \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^n S_{i,j} \right| \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j|.$$

Choosing  $i$  such that  $|v_i| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |v_j|$  gives that  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ .