

Lecture 11

In our discussion of the Wiener-Hopf technique (lectures 6 & 7; see also Remark on 101-102) the following

issue arose: if we know that the normalized

RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$  has a solution  $m_{\pm} - I \in \partial C(L^p)$ , how

much do we know about  $(I - C_w)^{-1}$ ? If we

write  $m_{\pm} = I + h_{\pm}$ ,  $h_{\pm} \in \partial C(L^p)$  then

$$h_{\pm} = h - v + F$$

where  $F = v - I \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . So if we know

that the normalized RHP has a solution we know

that the INHP  $_{L^p}$  has a solution (only) for the

special RHPs  $F = v - I$ . How much more do

we need to know about  $m_{\pm}$  to conclude that

$I - C_w$  is a bijection? The following result

addresses this question.

(157)

Th<sup>m</sup> 157-1 Let  $v, \Sigma$  be as above, with  $v - I \in L^p(\Sigma)$ .  
 Let  $C_v = C^*(v - I)$  be the operator

corresponding to the (trivial) pointwise factorization

$$v = (I)^{-1}v, \quad \text{if } v_+ = v, \quad v_- = \pm, \quad \text{Then}$$

$I - C_v$  is a bijection

$\Leftrightarrow$

The normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$  has a solution  $m_{\pm} \in I + \partial C(L^p)$

such that  $m_{\pm}^{-1} \in I + \partial C(L^q)$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$   
 $(p, q < \infty)$

and the map

$$T h = (C^* h(m_+)^{-1}) m_+$$

is bounded in  $L^p(\Sigma)$ .

is bdd.

Remark 157.2: Suppose  $\Sigma$  satisfies condition (3) solves the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$ , in the classical sense, and  $\det m(z) \neq 0$ , then  $I - C_v$  is invertible in  $L^p(\Sigma)$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Proof: Exercise.

Proof of Th<sup>m</sup> 157.1

Suppose  $I - C_v$  is a bijection. Then

The equation  $(I - C_v)\mu = I$  has a unique

solutions  $\mu + I + L^p(\Sigma)$ . More precisely, if

$$\begin{aligned} \mu = I + h, \quad \text{then} \quad (I - (\nu - I))h &= F, \quad F = C_\nu I \\ &= C(\nu - I) \\ &\in L^p(\Sigma) \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution with  $h \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Standard computations

then show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\pm &= I + C^\pm(\nu(I - I)) \\ &= \Sigma + C^\pm(\nu - I) + C^\pm h(\nu - I) \\ &\in \Sigma + \partial C(L^p) \end{aligned}$$

solves the normalization RHP  $(\Sigma, \nu)$  in  $L^p$ .

Now for row vectors  $h \in L^p$ , the dual space

consists of row vectors  $g \in L^q$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and

the pairing of  $L^p$  and  $L^q = (L^p)'$  may be realized by

the inner product

$$(158.1) \quad \langle h, g \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} h(s) g(s)^T ds$$

But as

$$C^\pm = \pm \frac{i}{2} + \frac{i}{2} H$$

where  $H$  is the Hilbert transform

$$Hh(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\substack{|z-s| > \varepsilon \\ s \in \Sigma}} \frac{h(s)}{z-s} ds, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+$$

we see that in the pairing (158.1)

$$\begin{aligned} (C^+)' &= +\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} H' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} H \\ &= -(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} H) \\ &= -C^- \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$(C^-)' = -C^+$$

Of course  $(C^\pm)' \in \mathcal{L}(L^q)$

Now by general theory

$I - C_v$  is a bijection in  $L^p$

$\Rightarrow$

$(I - C_v)'$  is a bijection in  $L^q$ .

We have  $C_v = C^* R_{v-1}$  when  $R_{v-1}$

denotes right multiplication by  $v-1$ , i.e.

$$R_{v-1} h = h(v-1)$$

(160)

Ans

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R_{v-I} h, g \rangle &= \int h(v-I) g^+ \\ &= \int h (R_{v^T-I} g)^+ \\ &= \langle h, R_{v^T-I} g \rangle\end{aligned}$$

so  $R_{v-I}' = R_{v^T-I}$ , Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(I - C_v)' &= (I - C^* R_{v-I})' = I + R_{v-I}' C^* \\ &= I + R_{v^T-I} C^*\end{aligned}$$

But as noted before, by general theory

$I + R_{v^T-I} C^*$  is a bijection

↗

$I + C^* R_{v^T-I}$  is a bijection.

We have for  $g \in L^q(\Sigma)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(I + C^* R_{v^T-I})g &= g + C^* g (v^T-I) \\ &= g + C^* g (v^T-I) + g (v^T-I) \\ &= (g v^T) - C^* g v^T (v^T-I)\end{aligned}$$

(161)

$$= (I - C_{v^{-T}}) \circ R_{v^T} g$$

The above calculation imply that

$I - C_v$  is a bijection in  $L^p(\Sigma)$



$I - C_{v^{-T}}$  is a bijection in  $L^q(\Sigma)$ .

In particular we can conclude as above

that the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v^{-T})$  in  $L^q$

has a unique solution  $\tilde{m}_\pm \in \mathcal{I} + \partial C(L^q)$ ,

$$\tilde{m}_+ = \tilde{m}_- v^{-T}$$

But note that

$$m_+ \tilde{m}_+^T = m_- v^- v^{-1} \tilde{m}_-^T = m_- \tilde{m}_-^T$$

and by familiar arguments we see that  $\tilde{m}_\pm^T = m_\pm^{-1}$

on  $\Sigma$  and so

$$m_\pm^{-1} \in \mathcal{I} + \partial C(L^q).$$

Finally, for any  $F \in L^p(\Sigma)$  the IHP  $\Sigma_L$

(161.1)

$$Rg = m_- v^- + F$$

gives a unique solution  $\alpha_{\pm} \in \partial C(L^p)$  and

$$(162.2) \quad \| \alpha_{\pm} \|_{L^p} \leq c \| F \|$$

(see p157). Setting  $v = m_{\pm}^{-1} m_{\mp}$ . in (161.1), we

obtain

$$M_{\pm} m_{\mp}^{-1} = M_{\mp} m_{\pm}^{-1} + F m_{\mp}^{-1}.$$

and hence

$$M_{\pm} = (C^{\pm} F m_{\mp}^{-1}) m_{\pm}.$$

Note:  $M_{\pm} = C(F v^{-1} m_{\mp}^{-1}) m_{\pm}$   $\hat{v}$  was  $v, v^{-1} \in L^2$   $M_{\pm} = C(F \hat{v} m_{\mp}^{-1}) m_{\pm}$

It follows then by (162.2) that  $T$ , in particular, is bounded in

$L^p(\Sigma)$ , as desired.

Conversely, suppose that  $m_{\pm} \in I + \partial C(L^p)$

solves the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  with  $m_{\pm}^{-1} \in I + \partial C(L^q)$

and  $T h = (C^+(h(m^+)^{-1})) m^+$  bounded in  $L^p$ .

Consider the  $TRHP_2_{L^p}$

$$(162.3) \quad \begin{cases} M_{\pm} = M_{\mp} v + F, & F \in L^p \\ M_{\pm} \in \partial C(L^p) \end{cases}$$

(163)

Assume first that  $F \in L' \cap L^\infty \subset L^p$  and set

$$M_\pm = (C^\pm(Fm_\pm^{-1}))_{m_\pm}$$

Clearly

$$M_+ = TF$$

and

$$M_- = (C^-(Fm_\pm^{-1}))_{m_-}$$

$$= (C^+(Fm_\pm^{-1}) - Fm_\pm^{-1})_{m_-}$$

$$= TF - F$$

It follows that

$$(163.1) \quad \|M_\pm\|_{L^p} \leq c \|F\|_{L^1}.$$

Let  $h = Fm_\pm^{-1}$ . As  $F \in L' \cap L^\infty$  and  $m_\pm^{-1} \in \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{O}C(L^q)$ ,

it follows that  $h \in L' \cap L^\infty + L^q \subset L^q$ . But

Then as  $m_\pm \in \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{O}C(L^p)$ , it follows that

$$(C^\pm(Fm_\pm^{-1}))_{m_\pm} = (C^\pm h)_{m_\pm} = C^\pm h$$

when  $h \in L^q + L^1$ . But  $h = C^+h - C^-h$

$= M_+ - M_-$  so that in fact  $h \in L^p$ . Hence

$$(163.2) \quad M_\pm \in \mathcal{O}C(L^p).$$

(164)

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pm}^{-1} &= C^{\pm}(F_{M_{\pm}^{-1}}) = C^{\pm}(F_{M_{\pm}^{-1}}) + F_{M_{\pm}^{-1}} \\ &= \text{Id}_{-M_{\pm}^{-1}} + F_{M_{\pm}^{-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$M_{\pm} = M_{-} M_{\pm}^{-1} M_{+} + F = M_{-} v + F.$$

Thus for  $F \in L^1 \cap L^\infty \subset L^p$  we have a unique (by Thm 68.3)

solution of the IHP  $L^p$

$$(164.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M_{\pm} = M_{-} v + F \\ M_{\pm} \in \partial C(L^p), \quad \|M_{\pm}\|_{L^p} \leq c \|F\|_{L^p}. \end{array} \right.$$

In the proof we showed

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pm} &= C^{\pm} h = C^{\pm} (F_{\pm} - M_{-}) \\ &= C^{\pm} (TF - (TF - F)v^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus given  $F \in L^p$ , choose  $F_n \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ ,  $F_n \rightarrow F$  in  $L^p$

and we conclude that (164.1) can be solved for

any  $F \in L^p$ . In particular,  $I - C_v$  is a bijection in

$L^p$ .  $\square$

Now what is the general relationship between  
 $I - C_v$  and the normalized RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$  in  
the case that  $I - C_v$  is not a bijection?

Consider the following simple example. Let

$\Sigma = \{|z|=1\}$ , and let  $v = z^n$  on  $\Sigma$ , 

$$n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Does a solution of the normalized RHP  $\dagger$ ,

(16c.1)

$$m_+ = m_- v$$

$$m_{\pm} \in I + DC(L^2). \quad ?$$

Suppose  $n > 0$ :

¶

$$m_+ = m_- z^n$$

Then we see that  $\dagger$   $m_{\pm}$  is the extension of

$m_{\pm}$  off  $\Sigma$ , then

$$\Sigma(z) = m(z) \quad |z| < 1$$

$$= m(z) z^n, \quad |z| > 1$$

is entire. But as  $m(z) = 1 + \int_{\Sigma} \frac{h(s)}{s-z} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}$ ,  $h \in L^2$ ,

we see that  $E(z) = m(z)z^n \in z^n + O(z^{n-1})$

as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $E(z)$  is a monic polynomial  $p(z)$

of degree  $n$ ,  $E(z) = z^n + \dots \equiv p(z)$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m(z) &= p(z) & |z| < 1 \\ &= \frac{p(z)}{z^n}, & |z| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we require further that  $m_{\pm}^{-1} \subset I + \partial C(L^2)$ ,

then  $m(z)$  cannot have any zeros in  $\{|z| \leq 1\}$   
or in  $\{|z| \geq 1\}$ . But  $p(z)$  has  $n$  zeros. This

is a contradiction. Hence (165.1) cannot have a

solution with  $m_{\pm}$  and  $m_{\pm}^{-1} \subset I + \partial C(L^2)$ , if  $n > 0$ .

Suppose  $n = -\bar{n} < 0$ . Then

$$E(z) = m(z) \quad |z| < 1,$$

$$= m(z)z^n = \frac{m(z)}{z^{\bar{n}}}, \quad |z| > 1.$$

But then  $E(z)$  is entire and as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|E(z)| = O(\frac{1}{z^{\bar{n}}})$ .  
Thus  $E(z) \equiv 0$  and so  $m(z) \equiv 0$ : in particular  $m(z) \neq 1$  as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ .

(167)

Thus in both cases a solution  $m_{\pm}$  of the normalized

RHP  $(\Sigma, v)$  does not  $\exists$  with  $m_{\pm}, m_{\pm}^{-1} \in I + \partial C(L^2)$

(for  $n \neq 0$ )

Notice however that a solution of the following problem  $\exists$ :

(167.1)

$$z^k m_+ = m_- v$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $m_{\pm}, m_{\pm}^{-1} \in I + \partial C(L^2)$ .

Indeed take  $k = n$  and  $m_+ = I$

Now consider the RHP  $L^2$  corresponding to  $v = z^n$  on

$$\Sigma = \{|z|=1\}.$$

(167.2)

$$M_+ = M_- z^n + F, \quad F \in L^2(\Sigma)$$

$$m_{\pm} \in \partial C(L^2).$$

Suppose  $n > 0$ . In this case, the solution of (167.2) cannot be unique. Indeed if

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}(z) &= I, \quad |z| < 1 \\ &= z^{-n}, \quad |z| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then if  $M_{\pm}$  solves (167.2), then so does  $M_{\pm} + \hat{M}_{\pm}$ .

How non-unique is  $M_{\pm}$ ? This is clearly the

$$\text{dimension of the space } N = \{ M_{\pm} \in \partial C(L^2) : M_+ = M_- \circ \begin{matrix} \\ z^n \end{matrix} \}$$

Now if

$$M_+ = M_- z^n, \quad M_{\pm} = C^{\pm} h; \quad h \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} M(z) &= M_1(z), \quad |z| < 1 \\ &\equiv M_2(z), \quad |z| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

is again a polynomial  $p(z)$  by now of degree  $n-1$ .

Here

$$M_1(z) = p(z), \quad |z| < 1$$

$$M_2(z) = \frac{p(z)}{z^n}, \quad |z| > 1$$

for any  $p(z)$  of degree  $\leq n-1$ , gives an element of  $N$ .

Hence

$$\dim N = n$$

On the other hand given any  $F \in L^2$ , write

$$F = C^r F - C^l F = F_+ - F_-$$

$$\text{We seek } M_{\pm} \text{ s.t. } M_+ = M_- z^n + F_+ - F_-$$

(169)

Thus

$$M_+ - F_+ = M_- z^n - F_-$$

It follows then as before that

$$E(z) \equiv M(z) - C_F(z), \quad |z| < 1$$

$$= M_- z^n - C_F(z), \quad |z| > 1$$

$E(z)$  is entire and is of order  $O(z^{n-1})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Hence  $E(z) = P(z)$  for some poly.  $P(z)$  of

degree  $\leq n-1$ . Thus

$$M(z) = C_F(z) + P(z), \quad |z| < 1$$

$$= \frac{C_F(z) + P(z)}{z^n}, \quad |z| > 1$$

gives a solution of  $M_+ = M_- v + F$ ,  $M_\pm \in \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}(L^2)$ ,

for any ~~poly.~~ poly.  $P(z)$  of deg  $\leq n-1$ .

In terms of the relative of the operator  $I - C_0$  are the  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}(L^2)$ , the above calculations show (EXERCISE)

(170)

that

$$\dim \ker (I - \zeta_0) = n$$

$$\dim \text{coker } (I - \zeta_0) = 0$$

In particular  $I - \zeta_0$  is Fredholm and

$$\text{Index } (I - \zeta_0) = n - 0 = n$$

Now consider (167.2) with  $n < 0$ ;  $\hat{n} = -n > 0$ .

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pm} &= \hat{M}_{\mp} z^n & , \quad \hat{M}_{\pm} \in DC(L^2). \\ &= \frac{\hat{M}_{\mp}}{z^{\hat{n}}} \end{aligned}$$

Then as before

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &= \hat{M}(z), \quad |z| < r \\ &= \frac{\hat{M}(z)}{z^{\hat{n}}}, \quad |z| > r \end{aligned}$$

is entire and of order  $O(z^{(\hat{n}+1)})$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\hat{M} = 0 \quad \therefore \text{ thus}$$

$$(170.1). \quad \dim N = 0$$

Now consider

$$M_{\pm} = M_{\mp} z^{-\hat{n}} + F, \quad F \in L^2, \quad M_{\pm} \in DC(L^2)$$

(171)

$$\text{Again set } F_{\pm} = C^{\pm} F \quad , \quad F_+ - F_- = F$$

This we must consider

$$M_+ - E^+ F = M_- z^{-n} - C^+ F$$

from which we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &= M(z) - C(F(z)) \quad , \quad |z| < 1 \\ &= \frac{M(z)}{z^n} - C(F(z)) \quad , \quad |z| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

is entire . As  $z \rightarrow \infty$  ,  $|E(z)| \rightarrow 0$  . Hence  $E(z) = 0$  .

In particular

$$\frac{M(z)}{z^n} = C(F(z)) \quad \forall |z| > 1 .$$

$$\text{or } M(z) = z^n C(F(z)) = z^n \int_{\Sigma} \frac{F(s)}{s-z} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}$$

$$= -z^{n-1} \int_{\Sigma} F(z) \frac{1}{(z-s)} \frac{ds}{2\pi i}$$

$$= -z^{n-1} \int_{\Sigma} F(z) \left( 1 + \frac{s}{z} + \frac{s^2}{z^2} + \dots + \frac{s^{n-1}}{z^{n-1}} \right) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty$$

But  $|M(z)| = O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$  , so we must have

$$(171.1) \quad \int_{\Sigma} F(s) s^j ds = 0 \quad , \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1 . = \ln(-1)$$

In terms of the relation of the operator  $I - C_v$

to the  $\text{INTP}_{L^2}$ , the above calc's now show (exercise)

$$\dim \ker(I - C_v) = 0$$

$$\dim \text{coker}(I - C_v) = |n| = -n.$$

In particular  $I - C_v$  is again Fredholm as

$$\text{index}(I - C_v) = 0 - |n| = -n$$

Of course in the case  $n=0$ , we have again

$$\dim \ker(I - C_v) = 0$$

$$\dim \text{coker}(I - C_v) = 0$$

$$\text{and } \text{ind}(I - C_v) = 0 = n.$$

### Exercise

Consider the following matrix example on  $\Sigma = \{(z_1=1)\}$ ,

$$v(z_1) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{-1} & 1 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$$

$\circ + \rightarrow -$

(i) Verify that  $v(z_1)$  has a factorization of the form