

Said differently, we have produced a solution

$$\psi(x, z) = \left(\frac{\psi_1(x, z)}{a_1(z)}, \psi_2(x, z) \right), \quad \text{for } z > 0$$

of (107.1) st

$$(109.1) \quad \begin{cases} \psi(x, z) e^{-ixz} \rightarrow I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty \\ \text{and is bounded as } x \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases}$$

Such solutions are called Beals-Witman (BW) solutions of (107.1)

Such a solution of (107.1), even in the general case $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, if it existed, would be unique.

Indeed, suppose there were 2 such solutions, ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$. Then as $\text{tr}(iz + Q_1) = 0$

it follows that $\det \psi(x, z) = \text{const} = c(z)$

But as $x \rightarrow \infty$, $\det \psi(x, z) = \det(\psi(x, z) e^{-ixz}) \rightarrow 1$

and so $c(z) = 1$. Hence, \exists matrix $B = B(z)$ such

that $\tilde{\psi}(x, z) = \psi(x, z) B$.

Write $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{m} e^{i3x\sigma}$, $\psi = m e^{i3x\sigma}$, where

$\tilde{m}, m \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ as $x \rightarrow -\infty$
and are bounded as $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m} &= m e^{i3x\sigma} B e^{-i3x\sigma} \\ &= m \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} e^{i3x} \\ B_{21} e^{-i3x} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (110.1)$$

Letting $x \rightarrow -\infty$, we conclude that $B_{12} = 0$ and

$B_{11} = B_{22} = 1$ as $\tilde{m}, m \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$. But then

letting $x \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude that $B_{21} = 0$. Hence

$B = \mathbb{I}$ and so $\psi = \tilde{\psi}$.

We also have uniqueness for Beals - Coifman solutions in $\text{Im } z < 0$.

(Note that such BC solutions do not exist for

$z \in \mathbb{R}$: we needed the exponential growth in (110.1)

to conclude uniqueness).

Now there is a mantra in the theory of integrable systems, and indeed in mathematics at large, that if an object is singled out, or uniquely specified, then it's the right object to consider. The converse of this statement is probably even more true: if you cannot define precisely what one means by something, then you probably won't get very far!

So one concentrates on these BC solutions.

It turns out (see [DZhou] above) that such solutions $\psi(x, \lambda)$

- exist for all $q \in L'$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- are analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- are continuous down to the axis,

$$\psi_{\pm}(x, z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \psi(x, z \pm i\varepsilon)$$

and satisfy

$$\psi(x, z) e^{-ixz} \rightarrow I \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

To higher order, $\psi e^{-ixz} = I + \frac{m_1}{z} + O(\frac{1}{z^2})$ and we have
in particular, $q(x) = -i (m_1(x))_{12}$.

Now clearly $\psi_{\pm}(x, z)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, are 2 fundamental solutions

$$(\det \psi_+ = \det \psi_- = 1)$$

of (107.1). Hence

$$\psi_+(x, z) = \psi_-(x, z) v(z)$$

for some matrix $v(z)$, $\det v(z) = 1$. Direct

calculation (see [DZ]) shows that $v(z)$ is of

the form

$$(112.1) \quad v(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - |r(z)|^2) & r(z) \\ -\overline{r(z)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$r = r(z) = \text{"reflectivity coefficient"}$$

$$(112.2) \quad \|r\|_{\infty} < 1$$

To summarize we set that

$$(112.3) \quad m = m(x, z) = \psi(x, z) e^{-ixz}$$

is a classical solution of the normalized RHP

$$(113.1) \quad \left(\Sigma = \mathbb{R}, v_x = e^{i3x\sigma} v e^{-i3x\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-|r|^2 & r e^{i3x} \\ -\bar{r} e^{-i3x} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

In this way the scattering problem (107.1)

gives rise to a RHP. Scattering and inverse

scattering theory consists of the study of the map

$$q \rightarrow r = R(q)$$

and its inverse $r \mapsto q = R^{-1}(r)$

\mathcal{R} is constructed as follows from the scatt. prob (107.1)

$$q \mapsto \psi(x, z; q) \mapsto v_x(z) \mapsto r \equiv R(q).$$

↑
BC solution

\mathcal{R}^{-1} is constructed as follows from the RHP (Σ, v_x) :

$$r \mapsto v_x \rightarrow m(x, z; r) = I + \frac{m_1(x, r)}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow -i(m_1(x, r))_{12} \\ \equiv q = R^{-1}(r).$$

↑
normalized
solution of
RHP

At the technical level, one shows (see [D7]) that \mathcal{R} is a bi-lipschitz (i.e. \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R}^{-1} are Lipschitz) isomorphism from

$$H^{1,1} = \{q \in L^2 : xq, q' \in L^2\}$$

onto

$$H_{1,1}^{1,1} = H^{1,1} \cap \{ \|r\|_\infty < 1 \} = \{ r \in L^2 : r', \exists r \in L^\infty, \|r\|_\infty < 1 \}$$

Moreover if $q = q(t)$ solves NLS, $q(x, t=0) = q_0(x) \in H^{1,1}$, then $r(t) = \mathcal{R}(q(t))$ evolves

simply (this is the analogy for continuous spectrum of the fact that the pt. spectrum, in the periodic case, remains fixed in time)

$$r(t) = r(t, z) = r(t=0, z) e^{-its^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Thus we have the solution procedure for NLS:

(114.1)
$$q(t) = \mathcal{R}^{-1} \left(e^{-it\Delta^2} \mathcal{R}(q) \right)$$

The efficacy of the method to compute the long time asymptotics of $q(t)$, rests on the fact

That we can control the solution of the

oscillating RHP $(\Sigma, v_{x,t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - |r|^2 & r e^{i\theta} \\ -\bar{r} e^{-i\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix})$

for $\theta = x^3 - t^3$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, using

The non-commutative steepest-descent method for RHP's.
 Think of R as a non-linear version of the Fourier transform. It is
 indeed, for r small, $v(z) = O(1/z) \sim \int a(y) e^{-i\theta} dy \sim (F a)(z)$.
 Thus we have seen 4 sources for RHP's:

one
can
show that

1) integrable operators

2) Wiener-Hopf type problems: $(1 - k) f = g$
 on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $k(x, y) = k(x - y)$.

3) scattering problems

4) "out of the blue" eg RHP for orthog. polynomials
 (FIKT).

problems from

(see [Fokas, Its, Novokishinov, Kapaev])
 The Painlevé equations are also described by

RHP's: such RHP's arise by considering Lax-pairs

as in 3) above. Recall that an equation of the

form

$$(116.1) \quad \begin{aligned} y'' &= F(x, y, y') \\ y(x_0) &= a, \quad y'(x_0) = b \end{aligned}$$

(F meromorphic in x , rational in y, y')

has the Painlevé property if the following is true: the only singularities in the complex plane of the solution

$y = y(x; a, b)$ of (116.1) that are allowed to move

with a, b , are poles. Any essential sing's, branch

pts, ... must remain fixed as a, b vary. Up to changes of variables, there are precisely 6 new Painlevé eqns.

Exercise: Consider.

(i) $y'' = y$

(ii) $y'' = -\frac{1}{4y^3}$

(iii) $y'' = 2yy'$

Here-called Painlevé
transcendents

Ref: "Painlevé Transcendents"
A. Fokas, A. Itr, A. Kapaev
V. Novokshenov, *AMS*, 2006

Show that (i) (iii) have the Painlevé property, but (ii) does not.

The general form of the Painlevé II equation is

$$(116.2) \quad u'' = xu + 2u^3 + v, \quad v = \text{const.}$$

We will illustrate the situation for PII with $v=0$

(see [Fok, Its, Kapa, Nov]
for $v \neq 0$ case)

(117.1)

$$u'' = xu + 2u^3$$

particular, we will

in / show how to construct the assoc. RHP & use it

prove the Painlevé prop. for (117.1). Similar considerations

apply for all 6 Painlevé eqns (see Its et al). History:

Ablowitz + Segur, Sato-Miwa-Timbo, Flaschka + Newell.

The key fact is that PII is equivalent to

the compatibility of the following Lax Pair:

(117.2)

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = \begin{pmatrix} -4iz^2 - ix - 2iu^2 & 4iu^2 - 2w \\ -4uiz - 2w & 4iz^2 + ix + 2iu^2 \end{pmatrix} \psi \equiv L\psi$$

(117.3)

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} -iz & iu \\ -iu & iz \end{pmatrix} \psi \equiv P\psi$$

Here $u = u(x)$, $w = w(x)$. It is a simple exercise to

verify that the compatibility of 2nd derivatives

$$\partial_x \partial_z \psi = \partial_z \partial_x \psi$$

is equivalent to the relations

$$(118.1) \quad w = u_x, \quad -w_x + xu + 2u^3 = 0$$

and so

$$u_{xx} = xu + 2u^3$$

$$(118.2) \quad \text{Note that (117.2) (117.3)} \equiv L_x = P_3 + [P, L] \equiv [\partial_x - P, \partial_x - L] = 0$$

In analogy with NLS, the analysis of $P\bar{\Pi}$ proceeds by considering distinguished solutions of the spectral problem

$$(118.3) \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = L\psi$$

(Note that in contrast with NLS, z now plays the role of x

and x plays the role of t). Write

$$L = z^2 A_2 + z A_1 + A_0$$

where

$$(118.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_2 = -4i \sigma_3 \\ A_1 = -4u \sigma_2 \\ A_0 = -(ix + 2iu^2) \sigma_3 - 2w \sigma_1 \end{array} \right.$$

σ_i are the Pauli matrices,

where $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

We think of x as fixed and $u(x), w(x) = u'(x)$ is fixed and seek $y = y(z; x)$ as a function of z

Now there is a general theory for solutions of such eqns (118.3)(118.4) (see eg. W. Wasow,

Asymptotic Expansions for ode's, Interscience, NY, 1965, Chap IV)

The pt $z = \infty$ is a so-called irregular singular pt. for

(118.3) which is a particular exple of an equation of the form

(119.1)

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial z} = z^q A(z) y, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

where $A(z) = A_1 + \frac{A_0}{z} + \frac{A_{-1}}{z^2} + \dots$

$A(z)$ has an asymp. expansion

Th^m (Wasow p60 Th^m 12.3; see also Th^m 12.2, p58)

Let S be an open sector in the z plane with vertex at the origin and a positive opening angle not exceeding $\pi/q+1$. Let $A(z)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix

function holomorphic in S for $|z| \geq z_0 > 0$ and admitting an asymptotic series

$$(120.1) \quad A(z) = A_0 + \frac{A_1}{z} + \frac{A_2}{z^2} + \dots, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, z \in S$$

Assume in addition that the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ of A_0 are distinct. Then (119.1) admits a fundamental matrix solution of the form

$$(120.2) \quad Y(z) = \tilde{Y}(z) z^D e^{Q(z)}$$

Here $Q(z)$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are polynomials of degree $q+1$. The leading term of $Q(z)$ is

$$(120.3) \quad \frac{z^{q+1}}{q+1} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

D is a constant diagonal matrix, and the matrix

$\tilde{Y}(z)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $z \rightarrow \infty, z \in S$,