

Room 517

30 copies

95%

dark

Thanks!

(19)

Lecture 2

Before we begin studying the intrinsic properties of random matrices, a few more words on what it means to model a system by RMT. We mean the following: Suppose we are investigating some quantities $\{a_k\}$ in a neighbourhood of some point A , say. The a_k 's are to be compared with the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k\}$, in a neighborhood of some point Λ , of a matrix taken from some random matrix ensemble. If the statistics of the a_k 's, appropriately centered and scaled,

$$a_k \rightarrow \tilde{a}_k = (a_k - A) \propto$$

some appropriate scaling factor

are described by the statistics of the λ_k 's, appropriately centered and scaled

(20)

$$\lambda_h \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}_h = (\lambda_h - \lambda) \gamma_h$$

↑ some appropriate scaling factor

Then we say that the a_h 's are modeled by

random matrix theory

Intrinsic Theory: RMT

We will restrict our analysis to ensembles of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices

$\{M = (M_{ij})\}$, $M = M^*$. There are many

other ensembles which are of interest, for

example ensembles of unitary matrices such

as the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE)

(on the Unitary Group)

endowed with Haar measure μ . Or COE,

(real)

the ensemble of orthogonal matrices, also endowed

(21)

with Haar measure, now on the ^(real) orthogonal group.

Or sample covariance matrices of the form

$$M = X X^*$$

where X is an $N \times P$ rectangular matrix,

whose ^(N x 1) columns $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_P$ are identically

^{Gaussian} distributed samples with mean $\vec{\mu}$ and covariance

E.. Each of these ensembles are useful in

various applications (sample covariance matrices

are at the heart of what is called Principal

Component Analysis, a key tool in statistics

and mathematical finance). Although we will

not analyze these ensembles (see the various

recommended texts & the ref's they contain),

The analysis of Hermitian ensembles provides a

model and a guide for all random matrix ensembles)

We follow refs (2) and (3) for much of what follows. There are three kinds of Hermitian matrix ensembles which are of interest (Dyson's "three fold way"; see [Mehta]): These consists of

(22.1) $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices $M = (M_{ij}) = M^*$

(22.2) $N \times N$ real Hermitian (i.e. real symmetric) matrices

$$M = (M_{ij}) = \bar{M} = M^T$$

(22.3) $2N \times 2N$ Hermitian self-dual matrices

$$M = M_{ij} = M^* = J M^T J^T$$

where $J = \text{diag}(\sigma, \sigma, \dots, \sigma)$, $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

For all three classes of ensembles, the probability distribution on the matrices is given by

(23)

(23.1)

$$P_N(M) dM = \frac{1}{Z_N} F(M) dM$$

where dM is Lebesgue measure on the algebraically independent entries of M , $F(m)$ is a convergence factor to ensure that $P_N(M) dM$ is a (finite) probability measure, and

$$Z_N = \int F(M) dM$$

is the normalization factor (sometimes called the partition function). (Discrete measures on the matrices, e.g. $M_{ij} = 1$ or -1 with equal probability are also of interest, but we will not consider them)

Now $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices $M_{jh} = M_{jh}^R + i M_{jh}^I$

$= \overline{M_{hj}}$ depend on $N + 2 \cdot \frac{N(N-1)}{2} = N^2$

(24)

and

$$(24.1) \quad dM = \prod_{h=1}^n dM_{kh} \quad \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} dM_{kj}^R \quad \prod_{1 \leq h < j \leq n} dM_{kj}^F$$

For (real) symmetric $M \times N$ matrices $M_{kj} = M_{ik}$,

The matrices depend on $N + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} = \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$ real variables and

$$(24.1) \quad dM = \prod_{1 \leq k \leq j \leq N} dM_{kj}$$

For $2N \times 2N$ Hermitian self dual matrices M ,

write M in the form of 2×2 blocks $M = (m_{kj})$,

Then (exercise : see also (3)) , the ~~self dual~~

condition $M = M^* \begin{bmatrix} J & -J \\ -J & J \end{bmatrix}$ implies, That

$$(24.3) \quad m_{ki} = m_{jh}^* \quad (i, j, h \in N)$$

and the condition $M^* = -J M^T J$ implies

$$(24.4) \quad \bar{m}_{jk} = -J m_{jk} J \quad (i, j, h \in N)$$

(25)

From (24.4) we learn that for

$$(25.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h \leq j \\ m_{jh} &= d_{jh} I + \beta_{jh} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} + \sigma_{jh} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \delta_{jh} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where d_{jh} , β_{jh} , σ_{jh} and δ_{jh} are real

For $k > j$, $m_{jk} = m_{kj}^*$ by (24.3).

And for $h=j$, by (25.1) (24.3), $m_{hh} = d_{hh} I$,

d_{hh} real. Relation (25.1) shows that the m_{jh} 's

are real quaternions. Thus Hermitian self-dual

matrices M have 2×2 block structure

$M = (m_{ij})$ where the m_{ij} 's are real quaternions.

and hence depend on

$$N + 4 \underbrace{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}}_{} = 2N^2 - N$$

real variables.

(26)

Hence

(26.1)

$$dM = \prod_{k=1}^N d\alpha_{kk} \prod_{i>k>j>1} d\alpha_{ik} d\beta_{ik} d\gamma_{ik} d\delta_{ik}$$

Historically \wedge Hermitian ensembles are of interest:

Those that are invariant under a natural conjugation

of the matrices, and those where the algebraically

independent entries of the matrices are also

statistically independent. The first class of ensembles

are called invariant ensembles: The second class

are called Wigner ensembles.

We first consider invariant ensembles.

RMT as a subject goes back to the work of

statisticians in the 1920's, but the subject

was introduced into physics only in the 1950's

by Wigner who was interested in the analysis
of scattering resonances in neutron scattering theory

(the first bookend mentioned above). Such

resonances ~~reflect~~ of course a precise properties of

the Hamiltonian it which describes the neutron-

heavy atom system, but the # of degrees of

freedom are so large that one could not hope

to solve the system for the resonances even

numerically. What was needed was a

model for the resonances and, taking into

account various experimental observations, Wigner

was led to posit random matrices as

as a model for a physical system

a model for H . Now, a matrix M , say, has

no intrinsic meaning: if one changes the basis, the

(28)

matrix changes by conjugation $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}' = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{U}^*$

For this reason Wigner singled out ensembles that were invariant under conjugation by (appropriate) closures of matrices: These are what we called ^{above} invariant ensembles.

On the other hand, Wigner ensembles, where

the entries are independent, are appropriate for

statistical / data type problems, for example, where

the matrices have intrinsic meaning (for more info see [Meh] (9)).

Invariance for the Hermitian ensembles

(22.1) (22.2) (22.3) means the following:

For (22.1), $P_N(\mathbf{M}) d\mathbf{M}$ must be invariant under the

conjugation $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{U}^*$ for all unitary

matrices \mathbf{U} .

For (22.2), $P_N(\mathbf{M}) d\mathbf{M}$ must be invariant under conjugation $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{U}^T$ for all (real) orthogonal

(29)

matrices U

For (22.3) $P_N(M)$ must be invariant under

the conjugation $M \rightarrow U M U^*$ for all

unitary and symplectic matrices U , $U U^* = I$

and $U J U^T = J$. Note that if M is a

self-dual Hermitian matrix, then so is

$M' = U M U^*$ for any unitary / symplectic matrix

U . Indeed, M' is clearly Hermitian, so we

only need to show that $(M')^* = J M'^T J^T$

But this is a simple exercise.

Invariance for

We first consider $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices.

For any Hermitian matrix M let

$$\tilde{M} = (M_{11}, \dots, M_{NN}, M_{12}^R, M_{12}^I, M_{13}^R, M_{13}^I, \dots)$$

$$M_{N-1,N}^R, M_{N-1,N}^I)$$

$\in \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$

(30)

In order to show that

(30.0)

$$d\tilde{M} = dM'$$

where $M' = U M U^*$

we must show that

$$\left| \det \frac{\partial \tilde{M}'}{\partial \tilde{M}} \right| = 1. \quad \text{But clearly } \operatorname{tr} \tilde{M}^2 = \operatorname{tr} M'^2$$

$$\text{i.e. } \sum M_{ijk} M_{kji} = \sum M'_{ijk} M'_{kji} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\sum_j M_{jj}^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} |M_{jk}|^2 = \sum_j |M'_{jj}|^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} |M'_{jk}|^2$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} (30.1) \quad & \sum_j M_{jj}^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} (M_{jk}^R)^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} (M_{jk}^I)^2 \\ &= \sum_j M'_{jj}^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} (M'_{jk}^R)^2 + 2 \sum_{j < k} (M'_{jk}^I)^2 \end{aligned}$$

In other words if D is the $N^2 \times N^2$ diagonal

matrix $D = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2)$ ($N^2 \times N^2$)

then (30.1) states

(30.2)

$$(\tilde{M}, D \tilde{m}) = (\tilde{M}', D \tilde{m}')$$

Thus if we write $\tilde{m}' = T \tilde{m}$ for some $N^2 \times N^2$

(31)

matrix T , then (30.2) shows that T is orthogonal with respect to the inner product induced by D on \mathbb{R}^{N^2} i.e.

$$T^T D T = D$$

Thus $(\det T)^2 = \det T^T \det T = 1$ hence

$$\det \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{m}'}{\partial m} \right| = \det T = 1 \text{ as desired.}$$

We conclude that $P_n(m)$ is invariant if and only if

$$(31.1) \quad \frac{F(m)}{\int F(m) dm} = \frac{F(m')}{\int F(m') dm'}$$

for all unitary U , $m' = U m U^*$. and all

Hermitian A . Setting $m=0$ in (31.1) we see

that in fact

$$F(m) = F(m') = F(U m U^*)$$

We will always assume in these lectures,

(32)

that $F(M)$ is of the form

(32.1)

$$F(M) = \exp(-\text{tr} Q(M))$$

where $Q(x)$ is a real valued function on \mathbb{H}^2 which grows sufficiently rapidly as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ so that

$$\int e^{-\text{tr} Q(M)} dM < \infty.$$

How $Q(M)$ is defined by the spectral calculus for M is if $M = U \Lambda U^*$, $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$

is the spectral representation for M , U unitary.

Then $Q(M) = U Q(\Lambda) U^* = U \begin{pmatrix} Q(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & Q(\lambda_N) \end{pmatrix} U^*$

For example, if $Q(x) = x^2$, then

$$F(M) = \exp(-\text{tr} M^2)$$

Clearly $F(M) = F(U \Lambda U^*)$

This gives rise to the ~~free~~ Gaussian

Unitary Ensemble (GUE) mentioned in the first lecture,

(32.2)

$$P_N(M) dM = \frac{1}{Z_N} e^{-\text{tr} M^2} dM.$$

(33)

The ensembles of Hermitian matrices with prob.
distribution

$$(33.1) \quad P_n(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-\text{tr} Q(\lambda)} d\lambda$$

are called the unitary ensembles (UE's).

which Q is the "right" Q to choose: $\mathcal{T}G$

remarkable fact is that, on the right scale, it

does not matter! In other words, on the right

scale as $N \rightarrow \infty$, one has precisely the same

fluctuation statistics for the eigenvalues, independent

of the particular choice one makes for Q . This

phenomenon is known as universality: proving

universality is one of the chief tasks in the

intrinsic Theory of RMT

For α , we may choose, for example,

(34)

any function $Q(x)$ such that

$$(34.1) \quad Q(x) \geq c x^L + d$$

for some constants c, d . For then

$$\text{tr} Q(M) \geq c \text{tr} M^L + nd \quad \text{as by (30.1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-\text{tr} Q(M)}}{dM} &\leq e^{-nd} \frac{e^{-\text{tr} M^L}}{dM} \\ &= e^{-nd} c^{-M_{11}^L} dM_{11} \dots e^{-M_{NN}^L} dM_{NN} \\ &\quad e^{-2(M_{12}^R)^L} dM_{12}^R e^{-2(M_{12}^I)^L} dM_{12}^I \\ &\quad \dots e^{-2(M_{N-1,N}^R)^L} dM_{N-1,N}^R e^{-2(M_{N-1,N}^I)^L} dM_{N-1,N}^I \end{aligned}$$

and the RHS clearly has finite integral. In fact

$$e^{-\text{tr} Q(M)} dM \quad \text{clearly has finite moments}$$

$$(34.2) \quad \int |M_{ij}|^k e^{-\text{tr} Q(M)} dM < \infty \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

and all $k \geq 0$.

In particular, we can take any polynomial

$$(34.3) \quad Q(x) = 8x^{2d} + \dots, \quad 8 \leq 0.$$

As we will see, any $Q(x)$ at

(35.1)

$$\frac{Q(|x|)}{\log|x|} \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

gives rise to an ensemble with finite moments.

(35)

We leave it as an exercise to show

that again invariance under orthog. conjugation,

and under unitary / symplectic conjugation, for (22.2)

and (22.3) respectively, requires the prob. distribution

to have the form

$$P_N(x_1) dx_1 = \frac{1}{Z_N} F(\alpha_1) dx_1$$

and again we always assume that $F(+1)$ is of

the form $- \text{tr } Q(\alpha_1)$ for Q as above; thus

$$P_N(x_1) dx_1 = \frac{e^{-\text{tr } Q(x_1)}}{Z_N} dx_1$$

respectively

One calls such ensembles Orthogonal Ensembles (OE's) / Symplectic Ensembles (SE's).

If we choose $Q(|x|) = x^2$ for (22.2) we

obtain the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE)

and for (22.3) we obtain the Gaussian Symplectic Ensemble (GSE). Note that the only invariant

(36)

ensembles that are also Wigner ensembles are GUE, GOE and GSE (why?).

In order to compute key statistics, such as the probability that there are no eigenvalues in a gap (a, b) (the so-called gap probability), or the 2-point correlation function, etc., it is useful to use the spectral theorem for M ,

$$M = U \Lambda U^*$$

as a change of variables

$$M \mapsto (\Lambda, U)$$

$$dM \rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial(M)}{\partial(\Lambda, U)} \right| d\Lambda dU$$

It is instructive to consider first the case

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ is a real symmetric matrix

(37)

Then

$$M = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} U^T$$

where $U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi.$

Then

$$a = \lambda_1 \cos^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \sin^2 \theta$$

$$b = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \cos \theta \sin \theta$$

$$c = \lambda_1 \sin^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \cos^2 \theta$$

and hence

$$\frac{\partial(a, b, c)}{\partial(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \theta)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin^2 \theta & -2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \cos \theta \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \sin \theta & -\sin \theta \cos \theta & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\sin^2 \theta - \cos^2 \theta) \\ \sin^2 \theta & \cos^2 \theta & \lambda_1 \sin^2 \theta + \lambda_2 \cos^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus

$$(37.1) \quad \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial(a, b, c)}{\partial(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \theta)} \right) \right| = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| f_1(\theta)$$

where

$$f_1(\theta) = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \sin^2 \theta & -2 \sin 2\theta \\ \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta & -\sin \theta \cos \theta & \cos 2\theta \\ \sin^2 \theta & \cos^2 \theta & \sin 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \right| = 1 > 0$$

If M is 2×2 Hermitian we find similarly (except,

$$(37.2) \quad \left| \det \left(\frac{\partial(M)}{\partial(\lambda, u)} \right) \right| = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^2 f_2(u), \quad f_2(u) > 0$$

(38)

and if M is a 4×4 Hermitian self-dual matrix

$$(38.1) \quad |\det \frac{\partial M}{\partial (\lambda, u)}| = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^4 f_4(u), \quad f_4(u) > 0$$

Thus for invariant ensembles, we see (at least for

these low-dimensional examples) the eigenvalues

and eigenvectors are statistically independent

$$(38.2) \quad e^{-\text{tr} Q(M)} dM = e^{-\sum Q(\lambda_i)} \prod_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^{\beta} d\lambda^{\beta} f(u) du^{\beta}$$

where

$$(38.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta = 1 & \text{ for orthogonal ensembles} \\ \beta = 2 & \text{ for unitary ensembles} \\ \beta = 4 & \text{ for symplectic ensembles} \end{aligned}$$

In the well-known analogy between $N \times N$ and statistical mechanics (see [Mehta]) β corresponds to an inverse temperature.

We will prove (38.2) for orthogonal ensembles ($\beta=1$) and leave the case $\beta=2$ and $\beta=4$ as exercises

(for general N)

(39)

(cf (3)).

So suppose $M = F \Lambda F^T$ is an $N \times N$ symmetric matrix. Then M has a spectral decomposition

$$M = U \Lambda U^T$$

where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$ and U is orthogonal.

However the map

$$M \mapsto (\Lambda, U)$$

is not well-defined for all M . Indeed if

$M = I$, then any U will do. However, if

The eigenvalues of M are simple, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$,

then the columns u_1, \dots, u_N , which are the normalized

eigen vectors of U , $M u_j = \lambda_j u_j$, are defined

up to multiplication by ± 1 .

(40)

This means that the map

$$M \mapsto (\Lambda, U)$$

is well-defined as a map from the set of matrices with simple spectrum into

$$\{\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_N\} \times O(N)/H(N)$$

↑

$$H(N) = \text{diag}(\pm 1, \pm 1, \dots, \pm 1)$$

is well-defined and we can compute its Jacobian

This is the approach followed in ② ③. Note that

a critical element in this approach is to show

that the set of symmetric matrices with

simple spectrum has full measure i.e. its complement

has measure 0. This is necessary to conclude

that $M \mapsto (\Lambda, U)$ is a valid change of

variables for integration. However we will use

(41)

a slightly different approach suggested by Oliver Conway, which avoids calculations for the homogeneous space $O(N)/H(N)$.

The key fact is the following:

Z