LECTURE III ed gerber

## 1-D climate models

\* Goal: begin to account for the latitudinal structure of the Earths climate.

\* before energy in = energy out  $S_0(1-\alpha) = OLR$  $0 = OLR(y) - S_0(1-\alpha)$ 

\* now, consider the incoming and outgoing energy as a function of latitude y

$$OLR(y) - S_0(y)(1 - \alpha(y)) = F(y)$$

## 1-D climate models

\* F(y) represents a local imbalance between incoming and outgoing energy

$$\int_{SP}^{NP} dy \ OLR(y) - S_0(y)(1 - \alpha(y)) = \int_{SP}^{NP} dy \ F(y)$$
$$0 = \int_{SP}^{NP} dy \ F(y)$$

\* Think of F(y) as the impact of sensible and latent heat transport! You can't add or subtract net energy, but you can move it from one latitude to another.

# Insolation (latitude)

\* Insolation as a function of latitude, So(y)= So f(y,t), where f(y,t) is a "flux factor" which depends on latitude (y) and time of the year (t).

#### \* Two competing factors

- \* inclination angle (more net radiation when sun is overhead, as in tropics)
- \* length of day (more sunshine = more radiation)
- \* Tilt (obliquity) of the earth key to seasonal dependence.

Insolation So(y,t)



#### poles gets more sun in summer than equator ever gets!



SH summer gets a local max insolation because earth orbit is closer during this period of the year! \* Experience tells us that the south pole in January (austral summer) is not the warmest place to be!

\* Earths climate averages out radiation! (albedo...)

# Annual mean solar radiation is a more meaningful metric for simple climate models.



Earth's obliquity (tilt) has a huge impact on poles. (Without tilt, you'd only have the inclination effect.) [hmm, change the tilt, change the climate!]

#### Some back of the envelope calculations...

\* Even with obliquity, poles receive less than half as much sunlight as equator. On top of that, snow reflects with average albedo 0.7, ice free albedo averages 0.1.

\* Recall our "zeroth order mode" for temperature

$$T_g = \sqrt[4]{\frac{S_0 f(y)(1-\alpha)}{\sigma(1-\varepsilon/2)}}$$

\* So/4 becomes So\*f(y), as we're accounting for the local averaging of inclination + length of day.

## Some back of the envelope calculations...

ne equator:  

$$T_g = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1367 \cdot 0.3(1 - 0.1)}{\sigma(1 - \varepsilon/2)}} = 3$$

320 K = 47 C = 116 F (bit warm)

\* At the pole:

\* At th

×4 . . .

$$T_g = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1370 \cdot 0.13(1 - 0.7)}{\sigma(1 - \varepsilon/2)}} = 197$$

120

197 K = -78 C = -105 F (CO2 at 1 atm freezes at -78.5 C)

\* Real situation worse, as emissivity depends on T, as water vapor the top greenhouse gas!

## Radiative Imbalance



(note albedo of Sahara desert, less energy in there, blackness=strong absorption in Amazon and Congo rainforest)

## Zonal Mean Imbalance



## Zonal Mean Imbalance



# Atmosphere + Ocean = heat transport

- \* we've discussed the key uncertainties associated with aerosols and clouds on both the emissivity and albedo, which require modeling of the atmosphere + ocean
- \* another key need to model dynamics of atmosphere + oceans are to understand the transport of heat
- \* Last of our energy balance models: model atmosphere and ocean as "diffusive processes" which diffuse heat.
- \* Sellers (J. Applied Meteorology, 1969) and Budyko (Tellus, 1969)

[See links from course page for these historic papers!]

## A Diffuse Energy Balance Model

Consider local coordinate system: y = sin(latitude).

Suppose temperature is diffused:

$$F(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \left( (1 - y^2) D \frac{dT}{dy} \right)$$

Suppose hemispheres are symmetric. Then boundary conditions are  $T_y=0$  at y=0 (no flux across equator) and T(I)=T(pole) must be regular.

## A Diffuse Energy Balance Model Climate equation becomes:

$$F(y) = OLR(y) - S_0(y)(1 - \alpha(y))$$
  
$$\frac{d}{dy}\left((1 - y^2)D\frac{dT}{dy}\right) = OLR(y) - S_0f(y)(1 - \alpha(y))$$

Linearize the OLR about T\*, a reference climate temperature.

$$OLR(y) = B(T - T^*) = BT'$$

to get

$$\frac{d}{dy}\left((1-y^2)\frac{dT'}{dy}\right) = \frac{B}{D}T' - \frac{S_0}{D}f(y)(1-\alpha(y))$$

## A Diffuse Energy Balance Model

Lastly, we'll make a simple albedo assumption. Let y<sub>i</sub> be the "snow line." Polewards, earth is ice covered.

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 \approx 0.1, & y < y_i \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_i \approx 0.7, & y \ge y_i \end{cases}$$

Goal is to adaptively fit  $y_i$  to the resulting temperature profile so that  $T(y_i)=273$ : this gives you a self consistent climate!

$$\frac{d}{dy}\left((1-y^2)\frac{dT'}{dy}\right) = \frac{B}{D}T' - \frac{S_0}{D}f(y)(1-\alpha(y))$$

(remember that f(y) is given function)









temperature at ice margin



temperature at ice margin

## Final Comments

\* (D/B)<sup>1/2</sup> sets a natural length scale for diffusion. If it's large, you end up with a zeroth order climate model again.

- \* Linearization of the OLR curve has it's limits. No runaway greenhouse possible here. We could use the full OLR curve for numeric solutions, but then again, this model's really simple! (Law of diminishing returns!)
- \* One can keep time dependence, M(dT/dt), incorporating thermal inertia of the climate system. This is important for climate transitions, as in the Sellers and Budyko models!

# Preview of things to come

#### RH 500 00Z01MAR2009



#### SPFH 500 00Z01MAR2009

