

## Assignment 9

1. **Linear ODE system, theory.** Consider the linear ODE system  $\dot{x} = Ax$ . Consider the four stage RK time step algorithm that defines the short time approximation  $\hat{S}(x, \Delta t)$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1 &= \Delta t A x \\ \eta_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta t A \eta_1 \\ \eta_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \Delta t A \eta_2 \\ \eta_4 &= \frac{1}{4} \Delta t A \eta_3 \\ \hat{S}(x, \Delta t) &= x + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4\end{aligned}$$

Show (by calculations, not numerical experiments) that the local truncation error is order  $\Delta t^5$  and therefore that the global error should be fourth order. *Hint.* This is related to an earlier assignment on computing matrix exponentials. The matrix exponential (as that assignment explained) as a way to express the solution of the differential equation involving  $A$ . One of the methods there is this Runge Kutta method, interpreted differently.

2. **Solver validation.**

Create and validate fixed timestep ODE solvers using

- Forward Euler (first order).
- Heun (second order). Pick either the trapezoid version from the book or the midpoint version from class.
- The four stage fourth order method from the book.

The steps to follow are

- Write a one timestep routine that returns  $y = \hat{S}(x, \Delta t)$ . Write one function for each method. The function should have arguments  $x$ ,  $\Delta t$ , and  $f$ . The  $f$  is a function that describes the ODE  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ . The  $f$  should be a function that takes  $x$  and returns  $f(x)$ , which should be  $d$  component numpy one index arrays. It should “know” whatever parameter values are necessary to specify  $f$ . One “pythonic” way to do this is to make  $f$  an attribute of a class. There should be three such routines, one for each time step method. Once you create the first one (probably for forward Euler), the next two will be simple.

- Write a function that takes  $n$  timesteps to go from  $t = 0$  to  $t = T$  using one of the methods. This function should take  $T$  and  $n$  as arguments and then compute  $\Delta t$  as  $\Delta t = T/n$ . It should also take  $x_0$  and return  $\hat{x}(T)$ . It should be possible to change the method just by changing the name of the function that takes a timestep.
- Do a convergence study using the non-linear ODE system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= (x^2 + y^2) y \\ \dot{y} &= - (x^2 + y^2) x\end{aligned}$$

Use initial data  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $y_0 = 1$  and solve up to time  $T = 2$ . The exact solution is  $x(t) = \cos(t)$ , and  $y(t) = -\sin(t)$ . Verify the order of accuracy of the three methods using the convergence analysis method from earlier in the class and a sequence of  $n$  values such as  $(10, 20, 40, 80, \dots)$ . You will find that

- The first order method is so inaccurate that it takes fairly large  $n$  before you see first order accuracy.
- The fourth order method is so accurate that the clear factor of  $16 = 2^4$  improvement from  $n$  to  $2n$  is impossible to see for large  $n$  because of roundoff error.
- For various values of  $T$ , find the number of  $f$  evaluations needed to achieve 1% relative accuracy with each of the three methods (with the fewest timesteps). Comment on which method gets this accuracy “fastest” (fewest evaluations). Is it the same for each  $T$ ?

3. **A movie.** Put  $M$  particles with mass 1 in a quadratic *potential well*  $V_0(r) = \frac{g}{2} \|r\|_2^2$ . This potential gives a force that pushes a particle toward the origin. Here  $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is the location of a particle. Take the *interaction potential* to be *repulsive*, which means that each particle “repels” (pushes away) each other particle. The two particle repulsive potential is

$$V_{12}(r_2 - r_1) = \frac{1}{\|r_2 - r_1\|_2}.$$

The *configuration* is determined by the positions of all the particles. We denote it by  $R = (r_1, \dots, r_M)$ . The total potential is

$$V(R) = \sum_{j=1}^M V_0(r_j) + \sum_{j < k} V_{jk}(r_j - r_k).$$

The second sum on the right is the sum over all pairs of distinct particles. Taking  $j < k$  means, for example, that the force between particle 1 and particle 2 is given by the term  $V_{12}$  and is not repeated with the term  $V_{21}$ . The force on particle  $j$  is determined by the gradient of the total potential with respect to  $r_j$ :

$$F_j(R) = -\nabla_{r_j} V(R).$$

For example, the force from the potential well is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\nabla_{r_j} \frac{g}{2} \sum_{k=1}^M \|r_k\|^2 \\
& = -\frac{g}{2} \nabla_{r_j} \|r_j\|^2 \\
& = -gr_j .
\end{aligned}$$

The force on particle  $j$  from the repulsive potentials is a sum over all the other particles. The dynamics are

$$\ddot{r}_j = F_j(R).$$

Modify the RK4 (four stage forth order Runge Kutta) ODE solver from exercise 2 to make a movie of the  $M$  particles moving in the plane. Represent the particles as dots.