Stochastic Calculus, Courant Institute, Fall 2012 http://www.math.nyu.edu/faculty/goodman/teaching/StochCalc2012/index.html Always check the class message board on the blackboard site from home.nyu.edu before doing any work on the assignment.

Assignment 7, due December 10

Corrections: (none yet.)

1. Suppose we want to evaluate $A = E\left[e^{-X_T^2/2}\right]$ where X_t is a standard Brownian motion starting from $X_0 = 0$. One approach is to simulate N Brownian motion paths and use the estimator

$$\widehat{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{-X_{k,T}^2/2} .$$
(1)

Another approach is to simulate the Ornstein Uhlenbeck process

$$dX_t = -\gamma X_t dt + dW_t \; .$$

Then there is a change of measure formula L(X) so that

$$A = \mathcal{E}_{\rm OU} \left[e^{-X_{k,T}^2/2} L(X_{[0,T]}) \right] \,. \tag{2}$$

Another way to estimate A is to simulate N Ornstein Uhlenbeck paths use

$$\widehat{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{-X_{k,T}^2/2} L(X_{k,[0,T]}) .$$
(3)

The $P(\tau \ge t) X_0/P(\tau > t)$ second approach is more complicated, but it could be a better estimator for large T.

(a) Write an analytic formula for A as a function of T. Sol: Straightforward computation,

$$A = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-X_T^2/2}\right]$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2T}\right] dx$
= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T+1}} \frac{\sqrt{T+1}}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left[-\frac{(T+1)x^2}{2T}\right] dx$
= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T+1}}$.

(b) Write a formula for the variance of the estimator (1). Sol: The variance the estimator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{A}\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{A}^{2}\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{A}\right]\right)^{2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}e^{-X_{k,T}^{2}/2}\right)^{2}\right] - \left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\sqrt{T+1}}\right)^{2} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N^{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{N}e^{-X_{k,T}^{2}} + \frac{2}{N^{2}}\sum_{k>j}e^{-(X_{k,T}^{2}+X_{j,T}^{2})/2}\right] - \frac{1}{T+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-X_{k,T}^{2}}\right] + \frac{2}{N^{2}}\sum_{k>j}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-X_{k,T}^{2}/2}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-X_{j,T}^{2}/2}\right] - \frac{1}{T+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2T+1}} + \frac{2}{N^{2}}\frac{N^{2}-N}{2}\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}+1} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{N\sqrt{2T+1}} - \frac{1}{N(T+1)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2T+1}(T+1) - (2T+1)}{N(2T+1)(T+1)} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Use these to show that the relative accuracy of the Monte Carlo estimator gets worse as T increases. Give an intuitive explanation for this in terms of the distribution of X_T and the range of values of X_T that contribute most to A. Make your explanation quantitative (giving the right power of T) if you can.
- (d) Write a formula for L in (2) that gives the correct A. This is an application of Girsanov's formula. Sol: Applying Girsanov's formula

$$L\left(X_{[0,T]}\right) = \exp\left[-\gamma \int_0^T X_t dX_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \gamma^2 X_t^2 dt\right]$$
$$= \exp\left[-\gamma \int_0^T X_t dX_t\right] \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma^2}{2} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt\right]$$

(e) That formula involves

$$Y_t = \int_0^t X_t dX_t$$

when X_t is the Ornstein Uhlenbeck process. Find an explicit expression for Y_t .

Sol: To find Y_t , we shall compute the increment square first, notice

that $dX_t^2 = dt$.

$$Y_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} X_{t} dX_{t}$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} X_{t_{k}} \left(X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_{k}} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(X_{t_{k+1}}^{2} - X_{t_{k}}^{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_{k}} \right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} X_{T}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} T$$

(f) Use your answer to part (e) to find an explicit formula for A in terms of the OU process. This should agree with your answer to part (a). Sol: First of all, we compute Now let us compute $Y_t = \int_0^t \frac{X_s^2}{2} ds$, then

$$dY_t = \frac{X_t^2}{2} dt$$

= $2X_t \left(-\gamma X_t dt + dW_t\right)$
= $-2\gamma X_t^2 dt + 2X_t dW_t.$

Therefore,

$$X_{T}^{2} = -2\gamma \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} dt + 2 \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} dW_{t}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{0}^{T} X_{t} dW_{t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} X_{t_{k}} \left(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_{k}} \right)$$
$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} -X_{t_{k}} \left(W_{t_{k+1}} - W_{t_{k}} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{OU}}\Big[e^{-X_{k,T}^{2}/2}L(X_{[0,T]})\Big] &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{OU}}\left[e^{-X_{k,T}^{2}/2}\exp\left[-\gamma\int_{0}^{T}X_{t}dX_{t}\right]\exp\left[-\gamma^{2}\int_{0}^{T}\frac{X_{t}^{2}}{2}dt\right]\right] \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\gamma x^{2}-\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}x^{2}-\frac{1}{2}T\right)-?\right]dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi}}e^{\frac{\gamma T}{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{\gamma x^{2}}{T}-\frac{\gamma}{2}x^{2}-?\right]dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi T}}e^{\frac{\gamma T}{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{(T+2\gamma+\gamma T)}{2T}x^{2}-(\frac{\gamma T}{2}-\frac{1}{2}x^{2}+\frac{\gamma x^{2}}{2})\right]dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi T}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{\gamma Tx^{2}+2\gamma x^{2}+\gamma Tx^{2}-\gamma T^{2}}{2T}\right]dx \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi T}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{2\gamma (T+1)x^{2}}{2T}\right]dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{T+1}}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma (T+1)}{\pi T}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{\gamma (T+1)x^{2}}{T}\right]dx \end{split}$$

recall that the probability density function of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process is given by

$$u_{\rm OU}(x,T) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma x^2}{T}\right].$$

Since we know A can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T+1}} = E_{OU} \Big[e^{-X_{k,T}^2/2} L(X_{[0,T]}) \Big].$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} L\left(X_{[0,T]}\right) &= \frac{v_{X_T}}{u_{\text{OU}}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1/2\pi T}}{\sqrt{\gamma/\pi T}} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2T} + \gamma \frac{x^2}{T}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(1-\gamma)x^2}{2T}\right]. \end{split}$$

2. Suppose X_t is Brownian motion with $X_0 = 1$. Let τ be the stopping time that is the first time $X_t = 0$. On previous assignments we have studied hitting probabilities.

(a) Write a formula for the probability density for X_t conditional on $\tau > t$.

Sol: First of all, we can calculate the conditional probability, $\mathbb{P}(X_t \leq y \mid \tau > t)$. It's clear that for $y \leq 0$, this probability is equal to zero since this process never hits the stopping position 0. Let y > 0, by definition the process X_t

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_t \le x \mid \tau > t\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(W_t + X_0 \le x \mid \min_{s \le t} W_s + X_0 > 0\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0 \mid \min_{s \le t} W_s > -X_0\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0 \mid \max_{s \le t} W_s < X_0\right)$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0, \max_{s \le t} W_s < X_0\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{s \le t} W_s < X_0\right)}.$$

Note that it's obvious

$$\mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0, \max_{s \le t} W_s < X_0\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0, \max_{s \le t} W_s \ge X_0\right),$$

and we already knew that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0, \max_{s \le t} W_s \ge X_0\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{x - X_0} \int_{X_0}^{\infty} \frac{2(2u - v)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-(2u - v)^2/2t} du dv.$$

To find the density, simply differentiate above with respect to x,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{P}\left(W_t \le x - X_0, \max_{s \le t} W_s \ge X_0\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{x - X_0} \int_{X_0}^{\infty} \frac{2(2u - v)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-(2u - v)^2/2t} du dv$$
$$= \int_{X_0}^{\infty} \frac{2(2u - x + X_0)}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} e^{-(2u - x + X_0)^2/2t} du$$
$$= \int_{X_0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-(2u - x + X_0)^2/2t}\right) du$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(x + X_0)^2}{2t}}.$$

The conditional density of X_t given that $\tau > t$ is

$$p(x|\tau > t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{P} \left(X_t \le x \mid \tau > t \right)$$

= $\frac{1}{\mathbb{P} \left(\tau > t \right)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{P} \left(W_t \le x - X_0 \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{P} \left(W_t \le x - X_0, \tau \le t \right) \right)$
= $\frac{1}{\mathbb{P} \left(\tau > t \right)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - X_0)^2}{2t} \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x + X_0)^2}{2t} \right) \right).$

(b) Show by explicit calculation that

$$\mathbf{E}[X_t \mid \tau > t] = \frac{X_0}{\mathbb{P}(\tau > t)}.$$

Sol: It's clear that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t} \mid \tau > t\right] &= \int_{0}^{\infty} xp\left(x \mid \tau > t\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{0}^{\infty} x \left(e^{-\frac{(x-X_{0})^{2}}{2t}} - e^{-\frac{(x+X_{0})^{2}}{2t}}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left(\int_{-X_{0}}^{\infty} (y+X_{0})e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2t}} dy - \int_{X_{0}}^{\infty} (y-X_{0})e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2t}} dy\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_{-X_{0}}^{X_{0}} ye^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2t}} dy + X_{0}}_{-X_{0}}\right) \\ &= \frac{X_{0}}{\mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)}. \end{split}$$

(c) Use the result of part (b) to show that the stopped process $X_{t\wedge\tau}$ satisfies $E[X_{t\wedge\tau}] = X_0$.

Sol:From part (b), we split the expectation to two parts as follows,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t\wedge\tau}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t\wedge\tau} \mid \tau \leq t\right] \mathbb{P}\left(\tau \leq t\right) + \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t\wedge\tau} \mid \tau > t\right] \mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)$$
$$= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left(X_{\tau} = \theta \mid \tau \leq t\right)}_{0} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau \leq t\right) + \frac{X_{0}}{\mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)} \mathbb{P}\left(\tau > t\right)$$
$$= X_{0},$$

as claimed. We point out here first term of the second line vanishes simply because X_{τ} is the particle position when hitting 0, that is $X_{\tau} = 0$, and as the expectation.

3. Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = -\gamma X_t dt + \sigma \sqrt{X_t} dW_t .$$
(4)

with $X_0 = 1$.

(a) Give a qualitative derivation of (4) by thinking of a large number of people waiting in a line. Let N_k be the number of people waiting in line at step k. Suppose N_k is a large number. At time k, everyone in the line tosses a coin, all independent, and leaves with probability ε. Find a scaling of ε and t with N so that time dt corresponds to k → k + 1 and the scaled N_k converges in distribution to the process (4). This just means finding a scaling factor r(ε) and s(ε) (both

powers of ϵ) so that $\mathbb{E}[dX_t]$ and $\mathbb{E}[dX_t^2]$ are both of order dt. Sol: At time k,

$$N_{k+1} = N_k - \gamma N_k dt + \sigma \sqrt{N_k dt} Z_k.$$

Let us compute the expected value of the Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process, first write down the integral form,

$$X_t = X_0 - \gamma \int_0^t X_s ds + \sigma \int_0^t \sqrt{X_s} dW_s,$$

and then taking expectations and remind that the third term shall vanish since Ito integral is zero,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_t\right] = X_0 - \gamma \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[X_s\right] ds.$$

Differentiation yields,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right] = -\gamma\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right],$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_t\right] = e^{-\gamma t} X_0.$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[dX_t\right] = -\gamma \mathbb{E}\left[X_t\right] dt = -\gamma e^{-\gamma t} X_0 dt$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[dX_t^2\right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[X_t\right] dt = \frac{\sigma^2 e^{-\gamma t}}{2} X_0 dt.$$

Taylor expansion gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[dX_t\right] = e^{-\gamma(t+dt)}X_0 - e^{-\gamma t}X_0$$

= $X_0\left(\left(1 - \gamma(t+dt) + \frac{\gamma^2(t+dt)^2}{2!} + \dots\right) - \left(1 - \gamma t + \frac{(\gamma t)^2}{2!} + \mathcal{O}\left(t^3\right)\right)\right)$
= $X_0\left(\left(-\gamma + t\gamma^2\right)dt + \mathcal{O}\left(dt^2\right)\right).$

(b) Write a program in R to simulate the process (4) up to time t = 1. Plot a histogram of the distribution of X_1 (take $\gamma = .5$ and $\sigma = 1$). Show that the histogram is incorrect if Δt is too large, but seems to have a limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$.