

**Derivative Securities – Homework 4 – distributed 10/24/00, due 11/7/00.**

*Corrected version – Problem 1a was wrong before.*

*Solutions will be distributed 11/14/00*

Problems 1-3 address the Black-Scholes pricing formulas and their consequences. In those problems please assume as usual that (a) the risk-free rate  $r$  is constant; (b) the price of the underlying stock is described by a lognormal process with constant drift  $\mu$  and volatility  $\sigma$ ; (c) the stock pays no dividends. All options under consideration are European.

Problems 4-7 address the Ito calculus and its applications. In those problems  $w(t)$  is a standard Brownian motion with  $w(0) = 0$ .

(1) Consider a squared call with strike  $K$  and maturity  $T$ , i.e. an option whose payoff at maturity is  $(s_T - K)_+^2$ .

- (a) Evaluate its hedge ratio (its “Delta”) by differentiating under the integral, then evaluating the resulting expression.
- (b) Give a formula for the value of the squared call at time 0, analogous to the standard formula  $s_0 N(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} N(d_2)$  for an ordinary call.

[Hint: For part (b) use the fact that  $(e^x - K)^2 = e^{2x} - 2Ke^x + K^2$ . You could of course differentiate your answer to (b) to find Delta, but that’s the hard way.]

(2) Consider a “cash-or-nothing” option with strike price  $K$ , i.e. an option whose payoff at maturity is

$$f(s_T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_T \geq K \\ 0 & \text{if } s_T < K \end{cases}$$

It can be interpreted as a bet that the stock will be worth at least  $K$  at time  $T$ .

- (a) Give a formula for its value at time  $t$ , in terms of the spot price  $s_t$ .
- (b) Give a formula for its Delta (i.e. its hedge ratio). How does the Delta behave as  $t$  gets close to  $T$ ?
- (c) Why is it difficult, in practice, to hedge such an instrument?

[Comment: Such options are rarely found “naked” but they often arise in “structured products” calling for a fixed payment to be made if an asset price is above a certain value on a certain date. In view of (c) it is not entirely clear that the Black-Scholes valuation formula is valid for such an option. What do you think?]

(3) Suppose  $r$  is 5 percent per annum and  $\sigma$  is 20 percent per annum. Let’s consider standard put and call options with strike price  $K = 50$ . Do this problem using the Black-Scholes formulas (not a binomial tree).

- (a) Suppose the spot price is  $s_0 = 50$  and the maturity is one year. Find the value, Delta, and Vega of the put. Same request for the call.

- (b) Graph the value of a European call as a function of the spot price  $s_0$ , for several maturities. Display all the graphs on a single set of axes, and comment on the trends they reveal.
- (c) Same as (b) but for a European put.
- (d) Your answer to (c) should show that the value of the put is lower than  $(K - s_0)_+$  for  $s_0 < s_*$  and higher for  $s_0 > s_*$ . Estimate the critical value  $s_*$  when the maturity  $T$  is 2 years.

[Comment: Use whatever means (matlab, mathematica, spreadsheet) is most convenient, but say briefly what you used. One point of this problem is to visualize the behavior of the Black-Scholes pricing formulas. Another is to be sure you have a convenient tool for exploring further on your own.]

(4) We showed in class using Ito's formula that  $s(t) = s(0)e^{\mu t + \sigma w(t)}$  solves the stochastic differential equation

$$ds = \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)sdt + \sigma s dw$$

with initial condition  $s(0) = s_0$ .

- (a) Use this to show that  $E[s(t)] - E[s(0)] = (\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2) \int_0^t E[s(\tau)]d\tau$ , where  $E$  denotes expected value.
- (b) Conclude that  $E[s(t)] = s(0)e^{(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t}$ .

[Comment: taking  $t = 1$ , this gives a new proof of the lemma, stated at the end of the Section 4 notes, that if  $X$  is Gaussian with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  then  $E[e^X] = e^{\mu + \sigma^2/2}$ .]

(5) This problem should help you understand Ito's formula. If  $w$  is Brownian motion, then Ito's formula tells us that  $z = w^2$  satisfies the stochastic differential equation  $dz = 2w dw + dt$ . Let's see this directly:

- (a) Suppose  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{N-1} < t_N = b$ . Show that  $w^2(t_{i+1}) - w^2(t_i) = 2w(t_i)(w(t_{i+1}) - w(t_i)) + (w(t_{i+1}) - w(t_i))^2$ , whence

$$w^2(b) - w^2(a) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w(t_i)(w(t_{i+1}) - w(t_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (w(t_{i+1}) - w(t_i))^2$$

- (b) Let's assume for simplicity that  $t_{i+1} - t_i = (b - a)/N$ . Find the mean and variance of  $S = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (w(t_{i+1}) - w(t_i))^2$ .
- (c) Conclude by taking  $N \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$w^2(b) - w^2(a) = 2 \int_a^b w dw + (b - a).$$

[Comment: we did parts of this calculation in the notes and in class, but because it's so enlightening I'm asking you to go through it carefully here.]

(6) Here's another cute application of the Ito calculus. Let

$$\beta_k(t) = E[w^k(t)]$$

where  $w(t)$  is Brownian motion (with  $w(0) = 0$ ). Show using Ito's formula that for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ,

$$\beta_k(t) = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) \int_0^t \beta_{k-2}(s) ds.$$

Deduce that  $E[w^4(t)] = 3t^2$ . What is  $E[w^6(t)]$ ?

[Comment: the moments of  $w$  can also be calculated from its distribution function, since  $w(t)$  is Gaussian with mean 0 and variance  $t$ . But the method in this problem is easier, and good practice with Ito's lemma.)

(7) You should have learned in calculus that the deterministic ODE  $dy/dt + Ay = f$  can be solved explicitly when  $A$  is constant: just multiply by  $e^{At}$  to see that  $d(e^{At}y)/dt = e^{At}f$  then integrate in time. Let's use a similar trick to solve the stochastic differential equation

$$dy = -cy dt + \sigma dw, \quad y(0) = y_0,$$

which is known as the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck equation. (Note: this is not the lognormal process, since there is no coefficient of  $y$  in the  $dw$  term.)

(a) Show using Ito's lemma applied to the function  $f(y, z) = yz$  that in general  $d(yz) = ydz + zdy + dydz$  but when  $z$  is deterministic this reduces to  $d(yz) = ydz + zdy$ .

(b) Apply this with  $z = e^{ct}$  to see that

$$d(e^{ct}y) = ce^{ct}y dt + e^{ct} dy = e^{ct} \sigma dw.$$

(c) Conclude that

$$y(t) = e^{-ct}y_0 + \sigma \int_0^t e^{-c(t-\tau)} dw(\tau).$$

(d) What is the mean  $E[(y(t))]$ ?