

PDE for Finance, Spring 2011 – Homework 4
Distributed 3/21/11, due 4/4/11.

These problems concern deterministic optimal control (Section 4 material). Warning: some of the problems here are a bit laborious (though they are not necessarily difficult).

1) Consider the finite-horizon utility maximization problem with discount rate ρ . The dynamical law is thus

$$dy/ds = f(y(s), \alpha(s)), \quad y(t) = x,$$

and the optimal utility discounted to time 0 is

$$u(x, t) = \max_{\alpha \in A} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} h(y(s), \alpha(s)) ds + e^{-\rho T} g(y(T)) \right\}.$$

It is often more convenient to consider, instead of u , the optimal utility discounted to time t ; this is

$$v(x, t) = e^{\rho t} u(x, t) = \max_{\alpha \in A} \left\{ \int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} h(y(s), \alpha(s)) ds + e^{-\rho(T-t)} g(y(T)) \right\}.$$

(a) Show (by a heuristic argument similar to those in the Section 4 notes) that v satisfies

$$v_t - \rho v + H(x, \nabla v) = 0$$

with Hamiltonian

$$H(x, p) = \max_{a \in A} \{ f(x, a) \cdot p + h(x, a) \}$$

and final-time data

$$v(x, T) = g(x).$$

(Notice that the PDE for v is autonomous, i.e. there is no explicit dependence on time.)

(b) Now consider the analogous infinite-horizon problem, with the same equation of state, and value function

$$\bar{v}(x, t) = \max_{\alpha \in A} \int_t^\infty e^{-\rho(s-t)} h(y(s), \alpha(s)) ds.$$

Show (by an elementary comparison argument) that \bar{v} is independent of t , i.e. $\bar{v} = \bar{v}(x)$ is a function of x alone. Conclude using part (a) that if \bar{v} is finite, it solves the stationary PDE

$$-\rho \bar{v} + H(x, \nabla \bar{v}) = 0.$$

2) Recall Example 1 of the Section 4 notes: the state equation is $dy/ds = ry - \alpha$ with $y(t) = x$, and the value function is

$$u(x, t) = \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \int_t^\tau e^{-\rho s} h(\alpha(s)) ds$$

with $h(a) = a^\gamma$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$, and

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \text{first time when } y = 0 \text{ if this occurs before time } T \\ T \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) We obtained a formula for $u(x, t)$ in the Section 4 notes, however our formula doesn't make sense when $\rho - r\gamma = 0$. Find the correct formula in that case.
- (b) Let's examine the infinite-horizon-limit $T \rightarrow \infty$. Following the lead of Problem 1 let us concentrate on $v(x, t) = e^{\rho t} u(x, t) =$ optimal utility discounted to time t . Show that

$$\bar{v}(x) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} v(x, t) = \begin{cases} G_\infty x^\gamma & \text{if } \rho - r\gamma > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } \rho - r\gamma \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

with $G_\infty = [(1 - \gamma)/(\rho - r\gamma)]^{1-\gamma}$.

- (c) Use the stationary PDE of Problem 1(b) (specialized to this example) to obtain the same result.
- (d) What is the optimal consumption strategy, for the infinite-horizon version of this problem?

3) Consider the analogue of Example 1 with the power-law utility replaced by the logarithm: $h(a) = \ln a$. To avoid confusion let us write u_γ for the value function obtained in the notes using $h(a) = a^\gamma$, and u_{\log} for the value function obtained using $h(a) = \ln a$. Recall that $u_\gamma(x, t) = g_\gamma(t)x^\gamma$ with

$$g_\gamma(t) = e^{-\rho t} \left[\frac{1 - \gamma}{\rho - r\gamma} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{(\rho - r\gamma)(T-t)}{1-\gamma}} \right) \right]^{1-\gamma}.$$

- (a) Show, by a direct comparison argument, that

$$u_{\log}(\lambda x, t) = u_{\log}(x, t) + \frac{1}{\rho} e^{-\rho t} (1 - e^{-\rho(T-t)}) \ln \lambda$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Use this to conclude that

$$u_{\log}(x, t) = g_0(t) \ln x + g_1(t)$$

where $g_0(t) = \frac{1}{\rho} e^{-\rho t} (1 - e^{-\rho(T-t)})$ and g_1 is an as-yet unspecified function of t alone.

- (b) Pursue the following scheme for finding g_1 : Consider the utility $h = \frac{1}{\gamma}(a^\gamma - 1)$. Express its value function u_h in terms of u_γ . Now take the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. Show this gives a result of the expected form, with

$$g_0(t) = g_\gamma(t)|_{\gamma=0}$$

and

$$g_1(t) = \left. \frac{dg_\gamma}{d\gamma}(t) \right|_{\gamma=0}.$$

(This leads to an explicit formula for g_1 but it's messy; I'm not asking you to write it down.)

- (c) Indicate how g_0 and g_1 could alternatively have been found by solving appropriate PDE's. (Hint: find the HJB equation associated with $h(a) = \ln a$, and show that the ansatz $u_{\log} = g_0(t) \ln x + g_1(t)$ leads to differential equations that determine g_0 and g_1 .)

4) Our Example 1 considers an investor who receives interest (at constant rate r) but no wages. Let's consider what happens if the investor also receives wages at constant rate w . The equation of state becomes

$$dy/ds = ry + w - \alpha \quad \text{with } y(t) = x,$$

and the value function is

$$u(x, t) = \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} h(\alpha(s)) ds$$

with $h(a) = a^\gamma$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$. Since the investor earns wages, we now permit $y(s) < 0$, however we insist that the final-time wealth be nonnegative ($y(T) \geq 0$).

- (a) Which pairs (x, t) are acceptable? The strategy that maximizes $y(T)$ is clearly to consume nothing ($\alpha(s) = 0$ for all $t < s < T$). Show this results in $y(T) \geq 0$ exactly if

$$x + \phi(t)w \geq 0$$

where

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{r} (1 - e^{-r(T-t)}).$$

Notice for future reference that ϕ solves $\phi' - r\phi + 1 = 0$ with $\phi(T) = 0$.

- (b) Find the HJB equation that $u(x, t)$ should satisfy in its natural domain $\{(x, t) : x + \phi(t)w \geq 0\}$. Specify the boundary conditions when $t = T$ and where $x + \phi w = 0$.
- (c) Substitute into this HJB equation the ansatz

$$v(x, t) = e^{-\rho t} G(t) (x + \phi(t)w)^\gamma.$$

Show v is a solution when G solves the familiar equation

$$G_t + (r\gamma - \rho)G + (1 - \gamma)G^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)} = 0$$

(the same equation we solved in Example 1). Deduce a formula for v .

- (d) In view of (a), a more careful definition of the value function for this control problem is

$$u(x, t) = \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \int_t^\tau e^{-\rho s} h(\alpha(s)) ds$$

where

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \text{first time when } y(s) + \phi(s)w = 0 & \text{if this occurs before time } T \\ T & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Use a verification argument to prove that the function v obtained in (c) is indeed the value function u defined this way.

5) This problem is a special case of the “linear-quadratic regulator” widely used in engineering applications. The state is $y(s) \in R^n$, and the control is $\alpha(s) \in R^n$. There is no pointwise restriction on the values of $\alpha(s)$. The evolution law is

$$dy/ds = Ay + \alpha, \quad y(t) = x,$$

for some constant matrix A , and the goal is to minimize

$$\int_t^T |y(s)|^2 + |\alpha(s)|^2 ds + |y(T)|^2.$$

(In words: we prefer $y = 0$ along the trajectory and at the final time, but we also prefer not to use too much control.)

- (a) What is the associated Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation? Explain why we should expect the relation $\alpha(s) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla u(y(s))$ to hold along optimal trajectories.
- (b) Since the problem is quadratic, it’s natural to guess that the value function $u(x, t)$ takes the form

$$u(x, t) = \langle K(t)x, x \rangle$$

for some symmetric $n \times n$ matrix-valued function $K(t)$. Show that this u solves the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation exactly if

$$\frac{dK}{dt} = K^2 - I - (K^T A + A^T K) \text{ for } t < T, \quad K(T) = I$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. (Hint: two quadratic forms agree exactly if the associated symmetric matrices agree.)

- (c) Show by a suitable verification argument that this u is indeed the value function of the control problem.