

# Vortex collisions and energy-dissipation rates in the Ginzburg-Landau heat flow

## Part I: Study of the perturbed Ginzburg-Landau equation

Sylvia Serfaty<sup>1</sup>

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

251 Mercer st,

New York, NY 10012, USA.

serfaty@cims.nyu.edu

July 2005, this revised version March 2006

### Abstract

We study vortices for solutions of the perturbed Ginzburg-Landau equations  $\Delta u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}u(1 - |u|^2) = f_\varepsilon$  where  $f_\varepsilon$  is estimated in  $L^2$ . We prove upper bounds for the Ginzburg-Landau energy in terms of  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ , and obtain lower bounds for  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$  in term of the vortices when these form “unbalanced clusters” where  $\sum_i d_i^2 \neq (\sum_i d_i)^2$ .

These results will serve in Part II of this paper [S1] to provide estimates on the energy-dissipation rates for solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau heat-flow, which allow to study various phenomena occurring in this flow, among which vortex-collisions; allowing in particular to extend the dynamical law of vortices passed collisions.

## 1 Introduction and statement of the main results

### 1.1 Presentation of the problem

In this paper, we study the forced Ginzburg-Landau equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + \frac{u}{\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2) = f_\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g \text{ (resp. } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where  $f_\varepsilon$  is a forcing right-hand side which is *given in*  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Here  $\Omega$  is a two-dimensional domain, assumed to be smooth, bounded and simply connected, and  $u$  is a *complex-valued* function, assumed to satisfy either one of the boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

with  $g$  a fixed regular map from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , in which case we also assume that  $\Omega$  is strictly starshaped with respect to a point; or

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSF Career award DMS0239121 and a Sloan Foundation fellowship

in which case no further assumption is made. This equation with  $f_\varepsilon = 0$  is the standard Ginzburg-Landau equation, which has been intensively studied, in the asymptotic limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , in particular since the work of Bethuel-Brezis-Hélein [BBH].

Our motivation for studying the  $L^2$  perturbed equation, which we will develop in Part II of this paper [S1], is to study the two-dimensional parabolic Ginzburg-Landau equation:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial_t u}{|\log \varepsilon|} = \Delta u + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u(1 - |u|^2) & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_\varepsilon^0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with the same boundary conditions as above. However, the results we present here have an interest of their own and can be read independently of Part II.

The Ginzburg-Landau heat flow is an  $L^2$  gradient-flow (or steepest descent) for the Ginzburg-Landau functional

$$(1.5) \quad E_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2}.$$

This energy functional is a simplified version (without magnetic field) of the Ginzburg-Landau model of superconductivity. Such functionals also appear in other models from physics: for superfluidity, nonlinear optics, Bose-Einstein condensates; and the complex-valued function  $u$ , called “order parameter”, plays the role of a condensed wave-function.

In this model, the interesting objects are the *vortices*, or zero-set of the complex-valued function  $u$  carrying a topological degree: since  $u$  is complex-valued, it can have a nonzero integer degree around each of its zeroes. Vortices can also be seen as having a “core”, where  $|u|$  is small, of characteristic lengthscale  $\varepsilon$ ; and a “tail” where  $|u|$  is close to 1, but the phase of  $u$  still carries a lot of energy; they can be clearly extracted in the asymptotic limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Vortices in the Ginzburg-Landau model have been the object of intense studies, generally in the asymptotic limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  where they become point singularities, in particular since the work of [BBH] on (1.5), under the assumption  $E_\varepsilon(u) \leq C|\log \varepsilon|$  (bounding the possible number of vortices); refer also to [SS2] for the analysis of the full model with magnetic field. In both cases, some  $\Gamma$ -convergence type results were obtained.

A very precise description of the vortices and of the energy of (nonminimizing) solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equation, i.e. (1.1) with  $f_\varepsilon \equiv 0$ , was given by Comte and Mironescu in [CM1, CM2]. We are interested here in generalizing these results, and in studying how much the situation can differ from the  $f_\varepsilon \equiv 0$  case. Since we are interested in studying vortex-collisions for solutions of (1.4), we focus on understanding static situations where vortices are very close to each other. We will characterize “pathological vortex situations” for (1.1) as those for which we have a group of vortices which are far from the others, and degrees  $d_i$  and  $(\sum_i d_i)^2 \neq \sum_i d_i^2$  in the group, which we call an “*unbalanced cluster of vortices*”.

We study the equation (1.1) with an  $L^2$ -perturbation term because (1.4) is precisely an  $L^2$  gradient flow for (1.5) and thus for  $u_\varepsilon$  solving (1.4), we have

$$-\frac{d}{dt} E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(x, t)) = |\log \varepsilon| \int_\Omega \left| \Delta u_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u_\varepsilon(1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2) \right|^2.$$

Thus, if we write that (1.4) holds with  $f_\varepsilon = \frac{\partial_t u_\varepsilon}{|\log \varepsilon|}$ , we precisely have that  $|\log \varepsilon| \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$  is the energy-dissipation rate for solutions of (1.4). This will be crucially used in Part II [S1]

and this motivates our need for estimates, in particular lower bounds, on  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ . If  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$  is large, then the energy dissipates fast in the flow (1.4), thus decreasing to a point which allows to rule out certain configurations (for example if  $E_\varepsilon$  decreases so much that  $E_\varepsilon \leq C$  then there can be no more vortices). On the other hand, if  $f_\varepsilon$  is small, then (1.1) can be seen as a small perturbation of the Ginzburg-Landau equation

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} u(1 - |u|^2) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g \text{ or } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

for which a number of qualitative facts about vortices is known. The idea is thus to use this alternative in a *quantitative* way, in order to deduce from the static study information on vortex-collisions or other pathological situations in the dynamics.

More precisely, it is known that if  $u$  is a solution of Ginzburg-Landau in the plane, with vortices  $(a_i, d_i)$  then we must have

$$(1.7) \quad \left( \sum_i d_i \right)^2 = \sum_i d_i^2$$

equivalent to the fact that  $\sum_{i \neq j} d_i d_j = 0$ , or to the fact that the forces exerted by the vortices balance each other. This follows from suitable applications of the Pohozaev identity, as in [BMR]. Similarly, as seen in [BBH, CM1], if  $u_\varepsilon$ , a solution of (1.6) in a bounded domain, has some vortices  $a_i$  of degree  $d_i$  accumulating (as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) around a single point  $p$ , then the same rule  $(\sum_i d_i)^2 = \sum_i d_i^2$  holds. Now, if  $u_\varepsilon$  is a configuration with say, two vortices, one of degree 1, one of degree  $-1$ , at a distance  $o(1)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  (which is what happens during a vortex-collision of a  $+1$  with a  $-1$ ) then this rule is obviously violated (and it's the same for any situation with  $(\sum_i d_i)^2 \neq \sum_i d_i^2$ ), so we can trace how much it is violated in the Pohozaev identity for (1.1), and get a lower bound for  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ . The technique thus relies on some adaptations of the Pohozaev identities with error term  $f_\varepsilon$ . Observe that Pohozaev identities have already been widely used in the context of Ginzburg-Landau statics and dynamics ([BMR, BBH, BCPS, RuS, SS2]). Some similar results and the ‘‘balanced clusters’’ condition (1.7) also appear in the recent preprint of Bethuel-Orlandi-Smetts [BOS] (see Theorem 5) on the parabolic Ginzburg-Landau equation.

## 1.2 Main results on (1.1)

Before stating the results, let us make a few assumptions. Since we are going to consider nice initial data  $u_\varepsilon^0$  for (1.4) with a fixed number of vortices as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and since the energy decreases during the flow, it is natural to restrict to

$$(1.8) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq M |\log \varepsilon|$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad |u_\varepsilon| \leq 1 \quad |\nabla u_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon}.$$

It is well-known that (1.4) is well-posed and that if these estimates are true for  $u_\varepsilon^0$ , they remain satisfied at all times for solutions of (1.4).

We sometimes assume in addition that

$$(1.10) \quad \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^\beta} \quad \text{for some } \beta < 2.$$

If this assumption is not true, then clearly we have a large lower bound on  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$ . If (1.10) holds, then after blow-up at the scale  $\varepsilon$ , solutions of (1.1) converge to solutions of Ginzburg-Landau in the plane

$$-\Delta U = U(1 - |U|^2)$$

which enables to define what we shall call a “good collection of vortices”  $a_i$  with degrees  $d_i$  (depending on  $\varepsilon$ ) for  $u_\varepsilon$ . Without going into full details of what it means and how they are found, these are points such that the balls  $B_i := B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$  with some  $1 \ll R_\varepsilon \leq |\log \varepsilon|$ , are disjoint and cover all the zeroes of  $u_\varepsilon$ , and  $d_i = \deg(u_\varepsilon, \partial B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)) \neq 0$ . We can then give a more precise definition (although we will mostly use a slightly weaker condition, see Theorem 2)

**Definition 1.** *The  $a_i$ 's and  $d_i$ 's being as above, we say that  $u_\varepsilon$  has a cluster of vortices at the scale  $l$  at  $x_0$  if*

$$(1.11) \quad B(x_0, l) \cap \{a_i\} \neq \emptyset$$

$$(1.12) \quad \text{dist}(\{a_i/a_i \notin B(x_0, l)\}, B(x_0, l)) \gg l, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

*We say  $u_\varepsilon$  has an unbalanced cluster of vortices at the scale  $l$  at  $x_0$  if the previous conditions hold and if*

$$\sum_{i/a_i \in B(x_0, l)} d_i^2 \neq \left( \sum_{i/a_i \in B(x_0, l)} d_i \right)^2.$$

Once these vortices are found, it allows to define a canonical harmonic phase  $\theta$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon := \Omega \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$  as the harmonic conjugate of  $\Phi$  solution to  $-\Delta \Phi = 2\pi \sum_i d_i \delta_{a_i}$  with suitable boundary conditions. Once this is done, denoting  $\varphi$  the phase of  $u_\varepsilon$ , i.e.  $u = \rho e^{i\varphi}$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ , we may consider the phase-excess  $\psi = \varphi - \theta$ . The first main result consists in evaluating the energy-excess (due to both the phase-excess and the modulus of  $u$ ), in terms of only one natural quantity: the  $L^2$  norm of  $f_\varepsilon$ , the natural norm to consider for the study of the parabolic flow.

The method is inspired by that of Comte-Mironescu in [CM1, CM2], however their result was for the case  $f_\varepsilon \equiv 0$ , and used some precise  $L^\infty$  and decay estimates for solutions of Ginzburg-Landau away from the vortices. Here we retrieve the result with the only control on  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$  and no a-priori bounds, other than (1.8) and (1.9). We obtain in addition a scaled version of the estimate, localised in any (small) ball. The result is

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfy (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). The  $a_i$ ,  $d_i$ ,  $B_i$  being as above, we have*

$$(1.13) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon|^2 \leq o(1) + C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

$$(1.14) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla |u_\varepsilon||^2 + \frac{(1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq o\left(1 + \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right).$$

For any  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , and any  $l \gg \varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}$ , we have

$$(1.15) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B(x,l) \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon|^2 \leq \min \left( C + Cl^2 \log^2 l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2, o \left( 1 + l^2 \log^4 l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) \right),$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad \int_{\Omega \cap B(x,l) \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla |u_\varepsilon||^2 + \frac{(1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq o(1) + o \left( l^2 \log^2 l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right).$$

Moreover, we have,

$$(1.17) \quad \forall \alpha < 1, \quad \alpha \pi \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \leq \frac{E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)}{|\log \varepsilon|} + C |\log \varepsilon|^{7/2} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + o(1),$$

and

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(V_i) + o(1) &\leq E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \pi \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma(V_i) + C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the  $V_i$ 's are the (limiting) blown-up profiles of  $u_\varepsilon$  around  $a_i$  at scale  $\varepsilon$ , and the  $\gamma(V_i)$  are constants equal when  $d_i = \pm 1$  to a universal constant  $\gamma$  introduced in [BBH].

Moreover, all the constants  $C$  and  $o(1)$  above depend only on  $\beta$ ,  $M$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $g$  (if applicable).

This result allows to bound the phase-excess (with scaled versions of it, cf. (1.15)–(1.16)), and in turn to bound the energy-excess in terms of  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$  and of the vortices of  $u_\varepsilon$  only, in (1.18). This way, it provides a lower bound for  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$  and it allows, for solutions of (1.4), to bound from below the energy-dissipation rate, and to bound from above the number of vortices through (1.17). Let us mention that the “energy-quantization” result for solutions of (1.4) shown in [BOS] (Theorem 6 and appendix) is equivalent at leading order to (1.18).

From this first theorem, we may implement the Pohozaev strategy described above and obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.** *There exist constants  $l_0 > 0$  and  $K_0 > 0$  such that, assuming that  $u_\varepsilon$  is as in Theorem 1, and that there exists a nonempty subcollection  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of the balls  $\{B_i\}$  which are included in  $B(x_0, l/2)$ ,  $\varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \ll l < l_0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and such that for some  $K > K_0$ , either*

1.  $B(x_0, Kl) \subset \Omega$  and  $B(x_0, Kl)$  intersects no other ball in the collection  $\{B_i\}$ , and we have

$$(1.19) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 \neq \left( \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \right)^2.$$

2.  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $B(x_0, Kl)$  intersects no other ball in the collection  $\{B_i\}$ .

Then

$$(1.20) \quad \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \min \left( \frac{C}{l^2 |\log \varepsilon|}, \frac{C}{l^2 \log^2 l} \right).$$

All the constants above depend only on  $\beta$ ,  $M$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $g$ .

This is exactly the desired lower bound on  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2$ : it shows it blows up like  $1/(l^2|\log \varepsilon|)$  in most cases, as the scale of the unbalanced cluster of vortices  $l$  gets small.

As a byproduct, we retrieve in the case  $f_\varepsilon = 0$

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon$  be solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equation (1.6) such that  $E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C|\log \varepsilon|$ . Then, there exists a constant  $l_0 > 0$ , such that for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $u_\varepsilon$  has no unbalanced cluster of vortices at any scale  $l < l_0$ ; and has no vortex at distance  $< l_0$  from the boundary.*

Some sharper (but of same order) lower bounds for  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2$  will be given in Proposition 5.1 in [S1], by blowing up at the scale  $l$  in the case where  $l$  is not too small ( $\log^4 l \leq C|\log \varepsilon|$ ).

Observe that all these results (in particular (1.20)) can be viewed as obtaining lower bounds for the higher-order energy-functional  $F_\varepsilon(u) = \int_\Omega |\Delta u + \frac{u}{\varepsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)|^2$  under the assumption  $E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C|\log \varepsilon|$ . It was proved in [Li, SS1] that (denoting here and in the rest of the paper by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the scalar product in  $\mathbb{C}$  identified with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) if  $\text{curl}(iu_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon) \rightharpoonup 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^n D_i \delta_{p_i}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  (i.e. the limiting vortices of  $u_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  are the  $p_i$ 's with degrees  $D_i$ ), then

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (|\log \varepsilon| F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla_i W_{\mathbf{D}}(p_1, \dots, p_n)|^2$$

This is the lower bound part of a  $\Gamma$ -convergence result (the upper bound should not be hard to prove). The lower bounds we obtain here (and in Proposition 5.1 of Part II) are in agreement with this, but in general sharper since they involve the locations and degrees of the vortices at the  $\varepsilon$  level, and blow up when these get very close.

Let us point out that such a study of forced equations, with its “dual”  $\Gamma$ -convergence point of view, was performed for the Allen-Cahn equation (the same equation as (1.1) but with real-valued functions — an important model for phase-transitions) with a lower bound by the Wilmore functional, see [To, RS] and the references therein. We are not aware of any other singularly perturbed equation for which this has been done.

In this first paper, we start by performing a “Pohozaev ball-construction” which is an adaptation of that done in [SS2] but with nonzero error term  $f_\varepsilon$ . This allows to bound the number of vortices and define a good collection of vortices. Then, we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

In the second part [S1], we will present the applications of both of these theorems to the dynamics and collisions of vortices under (1.4).

*Acknowledgments:* We wish to thank warmly Petru Mironescu and Etienne Sandier for helpful discussions.

## 2 A “Pohozaev ball-construction” for (1.1) and applications

This construction, which is a combination of the Pohozaev identity with the ball-growth method of Jerrard/Sandier, consists in an adjustment of the one presented in [SS2], taking into account the nonzero right-hand side in (1.1). The main result is

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfy (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). Then,*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\{x \in \Omega, |u(x)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\}} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C,$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $\beta$  in (1.10),  $M$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $g$ .

## 2.1 Pohozaev identities for (1.1)

The Pohozaev identity consists in multiplying (1.1) by  $x \cdot \nabla u$  and integrating by parts. However, because of the boundary conditions, we will need a more general version of it, as in [SS2], Chapter 4.<sup>2</sup>

Introducing the stress-energy tensor associated to the equation

$$(2.2) \quad T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2 \right) \delta_{ij} - (\partial_i u, \partial_j u),$$

an easy computation yields that

$$(2.3) \quad \operatorname{div} T_{ij} = - \left( \partial_j u, \Delta u + \frac{u}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2) \right) = -(\partial_j u, f_\varepsilon),$$

where  $\operatorname{div} T_{ij}$  denotes  $\sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i T_{ij}$ . Multiplying the relation (2.3) by a vector field  $X$ , we find

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $u$  satisfy (1.1). For any  $U$  open subset of  $\Omega$  and any smooth vector-field  $X$ , we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\partial U} \sum_{i,j} X_j \nu_i T_{ij} = \int_U \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i X_j) T_{ij} - \int_U (f_\varepsilon, X \cdot \nabla u)$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the outer unit normal to  $\partial U$  and the indices  $i, j$  run over 1, 2.

The most standard Pohozaev identity follows by applying this in  $U = \Omega \cap B(x_0, s)$  to  $X = x - x_0$ , it yields

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial(B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \right) + (x - x_0) \cdot \tau \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) \\ + \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} = \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} (f_\varepsilon, (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u).$$

In particular, if  $B(x_0, s)$  does not intersect  $\partial\Omega$ , one obtains

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\partial B(x_0, s)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} = \int_{\partial B(x_0, s)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} (f_\varepsilon, (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u).$$

We deduce the following lemma.

---

<sup>2</sup>number to be checked

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $u$  satisfy (1.1). Then, if  $R$  is such that  $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$  and  $0 < r < R$ , we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} ds + \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 \\ = \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} ((x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u, f_\varepsilon) ds,$$

with

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} (f_\varepsilon, (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u) ds \right| \leq \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 \\ + \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} |f_\varepsilon|^2 + r \log \frac{R}{r} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x_0, r))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B(x_0, r))}.$$

*Proof.* (2.7) follows from integrating the relation (2.6) for  $s \in [r, R]$ . For (2.8), we write

$$(2.9) \quad \left| \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} (f_\varepsilon, (x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u) \right| \\ \leq \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \left( \int_{B(x_0, r)} |x - x_0| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| |f_\varepsilon| + \int_{B(x_0, s) \setminus B(x_0, r)} |x - x_0| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| |f_\varepsilon| \right) ds \\ \leq r \log \frac{R}{r} \int_{B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| |f_\varepsilon| + R \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| |f_\varepsilon|.$$

Inserting the fact that for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| |f_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} |f_\varepsilon|^2$$

applied to  $\lambda = R/2$ , we are led to (2.8).  $\square$

Another standard relation consists in writing in the Dirichlet case, as in [BBH], a global Pohozaev identity using (2.4) on the whole  $\Omega$ . Using the fact that  $\Omega$  is strictly starshaped, one obtains

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\Omega$  be strictly starshaped and let  $u$  satisfy (1.1) with  $u = g$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then*

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C (1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

where the constant  $C$  depends only on  $\Omega$  and  $g$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\Omega$  is strictly starshaped with respect to the point  $x_0$  (hence  $(x - x_0) \cdot \nu \geq \beta > 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ), and apply (2.4) to  $U = \Omega$  and  $X = x - x_0$ , this yields

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \left| \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} \right) \right| + \int_{\Omega} |x - x_0| |f_\varepsilon| |\nabla u|$$

from which the result follows easily.  $\square$



## 2.2 Proof of Proposition 2.1 - interior case

For simplicity, we will start by presenting the proof of Proposition 2.1 assuming no balls intersect  $\partial\Omega$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.1:* The proof is a ball-construction that is very similar to that presented in [SS2], Chapter 4. Following [Sa1], since (1.8) holds, by the coarea-formula, one may cover the set  $\{x, |u(x)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\}$  by a finite union of disjoint closed balls  $B_i(0)$  of radii  $r_i$  such that  $\sum_i r_i \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^3$ . We grow all these balls in parallel according to the method of Jerrard and Sandier, presented for example in [SS2], which yields:

**Lemma 2.4.** *For every  $t \geq 0$  there exists a finite collection of disjoint closed balls  $\mathcal{B}(t)$  such that*

1.  $\mathcal{B}(0) = \{B_i(0)\}_i$
2.  $r(\mathcal{B}(t)) = e^t r(\mathcal{B}(0))$  for every  $t \geq 0$ , where  $r(\mathcal{B}(t))$  denotes the sum of the radii of the balls in the collection
3. For every  $t \geq s$

$$\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(s)} B \subset \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(t)} B.$$

There exists a finite set  $T \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  such that if  $[t_1, t_2] \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus T$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(t_2) = e^{t_2-t_1} \mathcal{B}(t_1)$ , where  $\lambda \mathcal{B}$  denotes the collection of balls obtained from  $\mathcal{B}$  by keeping the same centers and multiplying all the radii by  $\lambda$ .

The times  $t \in T$  correspond to “merging times” when some of the balls have intersecting closures. Assuming first the balls remain disjoint through the growing, we may apply (2.7) to  $r = r_i$  and  $R = e^t r_i$  to find

$$(2.13) \quad \int_{r_i}^{e^t r_i} \frac{1}{s} \int_{B_i(\log \frac{s}{r_i})} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} ds \leq \int_{B_i(t)} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + e^t r_i \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_i(t))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_i(t))},$$

where we wrote  $\int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} ((x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u, f_\varepsilon) ds \leq R \int_{B(x_0, R)} |f_\varepsilon| |\nabla u| \leq R \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_i(t))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_i(t))}$ . We easily deduce

$$(2.14) \quad t \int_{B_i(0)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq 2E_\varepsilon(u, B_i(t)) + r(B_i(t)) \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_i(t))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_i(t))}$$

where we denote for any set  $U$ ,

$$E_\varepsilon(u, U) = \frac{1}{2} \int_U |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2.$$

Now these relations add up nicely over all the balls in the collection  $\mathcal{B}(t)$ , including through possible merging of balls, and we have for every  $t$ , and every  $B_k(t) \in \mathcal{B}(t)$ ,

$$(2.15) \quad t \int_{\bigcup_{i/B_i(0) \subset B_k(t)} B_i(0)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq 2E_\varepsilon(u, B_k(t)) + r(B_k(t)) \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_k(t))} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_k(t))}.$$

Summing this over  $k$ , using (1.8), and applying this relation to  $t = \alpha \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$  for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we find

$$(2.16) \quad \alpha |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\cup_i B_i(0)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq 2E_\varepsilon(u, \cup_k B_k(t)) + C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\cup_k B_k(t))} |\log \varepsilon|^{7/2},$$

where we observe,  $r(\mathcal{B}(t)) \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} |\log \varepsilon|^3$ . Since (1.10) is satisfied, we may choose  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $2 - 2\alpha - \beta > 0$ , and find

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\cup_i B_i(0)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{2E_\varepsilon(u)}{\alpha |\log \varepsilon|} + o(1) \leq C.$$

We conclude that  $\int_{\cup_i B_i(0)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C$  and since the  $B_i(0)$  were constructed to cover the set  $\{x \in \Omega, |u(x)| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\}$  we deduce (2.1). This proof is valid if none of the balls  $B_k(t)$  intersect  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Proof of Proposition 2.1 - boundary issues

The method follows that of [SS2], Chapter 4, with the only modifications due to the  $f_\varepsilon$  term. We sketch the main steps.

#### 2.3.1 Dirichlet case

In the Dirichlet case, instead of using (2.6) and (2.7), we use (2.5). Decomposing  $\partial(B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega)$  into  $\partial B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega$  and  $\partial\Omega \cap B(x_0, s)$ , we find

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{\partial B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \int_{\partial B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \\ + \frac{1}{s} \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B(x_0, s)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu \left( \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 - (x - x_0) \cdot \tau \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} 2((x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u, f_\varepsilon)$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we deduce

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{\partial B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \int_{\partial B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \\ + C(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

and integrating

$$(2.20) \quad \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} ds + \int_{(B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 \\ \leq \int_{(B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + CR(1 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

and we may reproduce the proof above with this relation instead of (2.7).

### 2.3.2 Neumann case

In the Neumann case, we extend  $u$  by performing a reflection with respect to  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $\tilde{\Omega}$  denote a large enough tubular neighborhood of  $\Omega$ , i.e.  $\Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ . Let  $\psi$  be a smooth mapping of  $\Omega$  onto the unit disc. It can be extended to a mapping from  $\tilde{\Omega}$  to a domain strictly containing the unit disc. Let then  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the reflection with respect to the unit circle defined in complex coordinates by  $\mathcal{R}(z) = \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|^2}$ . The mapping  $\varphi = \psi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ \psi$  then maps  $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$  to  $\Omega$ . One can check that it is the identity on  $\partial\Omega$ , that it is  $C^2$  in  $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$ , and that  $D\varphi(x)$  converges to the orthogonal symmetry relative to the tangent to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x_0$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , at a rate bounded by  $C \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ .

We can then extend  $u$  with  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , by  $\bar{u} = u$  in  $\Omega$  and

$$\bar{u}(x) = u(\varphi(x)) \quad \text{if } x \in \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$$

Since  $D\varphi$  converges to a reflection with respect to the boundary as  $x \rightarrow \partial\Omega$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , we find that  $\bar{u}$  is  $C^1$  in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . We also define  $\bar{f}_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon(\varphi(x))$  in  $\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$  and  $\bar{f}_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega$ . We will use the same proof as above through ball-growth in  $\tilde{\Omega}$  for  $\bar{u}$ . The relation (2.7) still applies inside  $\Omega$ . For the balls that intersect  $\partial\Omega$ , we need to replace it with a variant for  $\bar{u}$ .

Let  $B(x_0, s)$  be a ball intersecting  $\partial\Omega$  and let  $D_1 = B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega$  and  $D_2 = B(x_0, s) \setminus \Omega$ . From (2.5), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \int_{D_1} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B(x_0, s)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \right) \\ + \int_{\partial B(x_0, s) \cap \Omega} s \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \right) + \int_{D_1} ((x - x_0) \cdot \nabla u, f_\varepsilon).$$

In order to get the analogue in  $D_2$ , we apply (2.4) in  $D'_2 = \varphi(D_2)$  with  $X(\varphi(x)) = D\varphi(x)(x - x_0)$ . Arguing as in [SS2], this leads to

$$(2.22) \quad \int_{D'_2} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} (1 + O(s)) = \int_{B(x_0, s) \cap \partial\Omega} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu \left( \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \right) \\ + s \int_{\partial D'_2 \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + O \left( s^2 \int_{\partial D'_2} E_\varepsilon(u, \partial D'_2) \right) + \int_{D'_2} (f_\varepsilon, X \cdot \nabla u).$$

Adding this to the relation (2.21), the contributions on  $\partial\Omega$  cancel and we find

$$\int_{D_1 \cap D'_2} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} (1 + O(s)) = s \int_{\partial D_1 \cup \partial D'_2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \\ + O(s^2 E_\varepsilon(u, \partial D'_2)) + O \left( s \int_{D_1 \cup D'_2} |\nabla u| |f_\varepsilon| \right).$$

After a change of variables, and since  $\varphi$  approaches a reflection, we find (as in [SS2])

$$\int_{B(x_0, s)} \frac{(1 - |\bar{u}|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} = s \int_{\partial B(x_0, s)} \left| \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |\bar{u}|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \\ + O \left( s \int_{B(x_0, s)} \frac{(1 - |\bar{u}|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) + O(s^2 E_\varepsilon(u, \partial B(x_0, s))) + O \left( s \int_{B(x_0, s)} |\nabla \bar{u}| |\bar{f}_\varepsilon| \right)$$

Dividing by  $s$  and integrating, we find

$$(2.23) \quad \int_r^R \frac{1}{s} \int_{B(x_0, s)} \frac{(1 - |\bar{u}|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} ds \leq \int_{B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + \frac{(1 - |\bar{u}|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \\ + CRE_\varepsilon(u, B(x_0, R) \setminus B(x_0, r)) + R \int_{B(x_0, R)} \frac{(1 - |\bar{u}|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + CR \|\nabla \bar{u}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})} \|\bar{f}_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}$$

Replacing (2.7) by this relation, and growing the balls the same way, we are led to the same result for  $\bar{u}$ , and Proposition 2.1 is proved.

## 2.4 Application: construction of the vortex collection

We now show how to define a good collection of vortex-balls for solutions of (1.1).

If  $|u(x_0)| < \frac{1}{2}$ , the assumption (1.9) implies standardly that  $|u| \leq \frac{3}{4}$  in some ball  $B(x_0, \lambda\varepsilon)$  and thus that there exists a constant  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$(2.24) \quad \int_{B(x_0, \lambda\varepsilon)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \geq \mu.$$

Using this, the result of Proposition 2.1 suffices to conclude as in [BBH], that the set  $\{|u(x)| \leq \frac{3}{4}\}$  can be covered by a bounded (independently of  $\varepsilon$ ) number of disjoint balls of centers  $a_i$  and radii  $R\varepsilon$  (where  $R$  is fixed), and changing  $R$  if necessary, we may always assume that  $|a_i - a_j| \gg \varepsilon$  for  $i \neq j$ . We may also assume that each ball contains a point  $x_0$  where  $|u(x_0)| < \frac{1}{2}$  (otherwise the ball can simply be removed from the collection).

The next step is to perform a blow-up analysis. If (1.10) is verified, then the perturbation term  $f_\varepsilon$  in (1.1) disappears after blow-up at the scale  $\varepsilon$ , and we can use the known results on (1.6).

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfy (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). If  $a_\varepsilon$  is a sequence of points such that  $\text{dist}(a_\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) \gg \varepsilon$  and  $\text{deg}(u, \partial B(a_\varepsilon, R\varepsilon)) = d$ , then up to extraction,  $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(a_\varepsilon + \varepsilon x)$  converges uniformly over compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  to a solution  $U$  of*

$$(2.25) \quad -\Delta U = U(1 - |U|^2) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

with

$$(2.26) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 - |U|^2)^2 = 2\pi d^2.$$

If  $a_\varepsilon$  is such that  $\text{dist}(a_\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) \leq C\varepsilon$  then up to extraction,  $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(a_\varepsilon + \varepsilon x)$  converges locally uniformly to a constant of modulus 1.

*Proof.* Setting  $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(a_\varepsilon + \varepsilon x)$  we have

$$(2.27) \quad \Delta v_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon(1 - |v_\varepsilon|^2) = \varepsilon^2 f_\varepsilon(a_\varepsilon + \varepsilon x),$$

and we also know that  $|\nabla v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C$  and  $|v_\varepsilon| \leq 1$ . Thus,  $v_\varepsilon$  is compact in  $L^\infty$  by Ascoli's theorem. But we have  $\|\varepsilon^2 f_\varepsilon(a_\varepsilon + \varepsilon x)\|_{L^2(B_R)} \leq \varepsilon \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq o(1)$  by (1.10); thus  $\Delta v_\varepsilon$  is strongly compact in  $L^2(B_R)$  for every  $R$ . In the first case, up to extraction, we thus find that  $v_\varepsilon$  converges locally uniformly and in  $H_{loc}^2$  to  $U$  solution of (2.25). It was proved in [BMR]

that under our assumptions, (2.26) holds. In the case  $\text{dist}(a_\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) \leq C\varepsilon$ , up to translation and extraction, we find that  $v_\varepsilon$  converges to a solution of  $-\Delta U = U(1 - |U|^2)$  on the half-plane  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ , with either  $|U| = 1$  boundary condition or  $\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} = 0$ . In the Dirichlet case, a result of Sandier [Sa2] allows to conclude that  $U$  is a constant; in the Neumann case, a simple reflection yields a solution to (2.25) of degree zero, hence a constant of modulus 1 (from [BMR]).  $\square$

For  $U$  a solution of (2.25), following [BMR], we have

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,R)} |\nabla U|^2 + \frac{(1 - |U|^2)^2}{2} = \pi d^2 \log R + \gamma(U) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

where  $d$  is the degree of  $U$  and  $\gamma(U)$  is a constant depending on the solution. When  $d = \pm 1$ , it has been proved by Mironescu [M] that there exists a unique solution to (2.25) (up to multiplication by a constant of modulus 1), which is the radial solution of (2.25) and then  $\gamma(U) = \gamma$ , a universal constant first defined in [BBH].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfy (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). Then, after extraction of a sequence  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we can find  $R_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$  with  $R_\varepsilon \leq C|\log \varepsilon|$  and a family of balls  $\cup_{i=1}^n B_i = \cup_{i=1}^n B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$ , with  $a_i$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  and  $n$  bounded independently of  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

1.  $\|1 - |u_\varepsilon|\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus \cup_i B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon))} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .
2.  $|a_i - a_j| \gg R_\varepsilon \varepsilon$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{dist}(a_i, \partial\Omega) \gg R_\varepsilon \varepsilon$  for every  $i$ .
3. The  $d_i = \deg(u, \partial B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon))$  are all nonzero.
- 4.

$$(2.29) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| u_\varepsilon - V_i \left( \frac{\cdot - a_i}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon))} = 0$$

where  $V_i$  is some solution of degree  $d_i$  of (2.25),

5.

$$(2.30) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\partial |u_\varepsilon|}{\partial \nu} \right| = 0 \quad \int_{\partial B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} \right| \leq C.$$

6.

$$(2.31) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)} \frac{(1 - |u_\varepsilon|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} = 2\pi d_i^2$$

$$(2.32) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, R_\varepsilon)} |\nabla V_i|^2 + \frac{(1 - |V_i|^2)^2}{2} = 0.$$

Moreover, for every  $\alpha < 1$ , and every subset  $I$  of  $[1, n]$ , we have

$$(2.33) \quad \alpha\pi \sum_{i \in I} d_i^2 \leq \frac{E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, \cup_{i \in I} B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}))}{|\log \varepsilon|} + C|\log \varepsilon|^{7/2} \varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + o(1)$$

*Proof.* We have already found the points  $a_i$ . In view of Lemma 2.5, we may blow up around them to find that  $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(a_i + \varepsilon x)$  converges in  $H^2(B(0, R))$  for every  $R > 0$  to some  $V_i$  solution of (2.25). Now, following [CM2], we may find by an abstract argument  $R_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ , with  $R_\varepsilon \leq C|\log \varepsilon|$  and 2), such that  $\{|u_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{3}{4}\} \subset \cup_{i=1}^k B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$  and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|v_\varepsilon - V_i\|_{H^2(B(0, R_\varepsilon))} = 0.$$

We deduce (2.29), (2.30), (2.31) and (2.32):  $H^2$  convergence implies  $H^{1/2}$  convergence of the derivatives on the boundary (by trace). 3) comes from the fact that if  $d_i = 0$  then (2.31) contradicts (2.24). But the choice of  $3/4$  was arbitrary, the same can be done to cover  $\{|u_\varepsilon| \geq m\}$  for any  $m < 1$ . By a diagonal argument, one can then obtain 1).

There remains to prove (2.33). In the previous subsection, we may apply the method of Proposition 2.1 with initial balls  $B_i(0)$  equal to the  $B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$ , and obtain exactly as in (2.16) that for every  $\alpha < 1$ ,

$$\alpha |\log \varepsilon| \int_{\cup_{i/B_i(0) \subset B_k} B_i(0)} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq 2E_\varepsilon(u, B_k) + C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_k)} |\log \varepsilon|^{7/2},$$

where the  $B_k$  are disjoint balls of sum of radii  $\leq e^{\alpha |\log \varepsilon|} R_\varepsilon \varepsilon = R_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}$ . Combining this with (2.31) leads to (2.33) and thus to (1.17).  $\square$

### 3 Canonical phase and energy lower-bounds

We introduce the Green kernel  $G(x, y)$  solution to

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_x G(x, y) = \delta_y & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} = (ig, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}) \quad (\text{resp. } G = 0 \text{ for Neumann boundary condition}) & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

and  $S(x, y)$  defined by

$$(3.2) \quad S(x, y) = 2\pi G(x, y) + \log |x - y|.$$

It is standard that  $G$  is symmetric, and  $S$  is a  $C^1$  function in  $\Omega \times \Omega$ . Also the renormalized energy  $W$ , as introduced in [BBH], can be written with these notations

$$(3.3) \quad W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = -\pi \sum_{i \neq j} d_i d_j \log |a_i - a_j| + \pi \sum_{i,j} d_i d_j S(a_i, a_j) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( -\sum_i d_i \log |x - a_i| + \sum_i d_i S(x, a_i) \right) (ig, \partial_\tau g)$$

where the  $a_i$ 's are distinct points in  $\Omega$ , the  $d_j$ 's are integers, and the last integral is taken to be 0 in the Neumann case. If there are no vortices then we consider instead

$$(3.4) \quad W_0 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi|^2$$

where  $\Phi = 0$  in the Neumann case, and  $\Phi$  is a harmonic function with  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} = (ig, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau})$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in the Dirichlet case.

### 3.1 Estimates for the canonical phase

The balls  $B_i = B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$  being given by Proposition 2.2, we denote by  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \cup_i B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$ . We consider

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \Phi = 2\pi \sum_i d_i \delta_{a_i} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} = (ig, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}) \quad (\text{resp. } \Phi = 0 \text{ for Neumann}) & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with  $\int_\Omega \Phi = 0$  in the Dirichlet case.

Then, we consider  $\theta$  the ‘‘canonical phase associated to the  $(a_i, d_i)$ ’’, the harmonic conjugate of  $\Phi$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ . It is not univalued, however  $e^{i\theta}$  is well defined. Observe that  $\theta$  depends implicitly on  $\varepsilon$  since the points  $a_i$  do. We will use the estimate:

$$(3.6) \quad |\nabla \theta(x)| \leq \frac{C}{r} \quad \text{where } r = \text{dist}(x, \{a_i\} \cup \partial \Omega),$$

and the following result:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $B(b_j, \rho_j)$  be any finite collection of disjoint balls (in number bounded with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ), with  $\rho_j \geq R_\varepsilon \varepsilon$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ , such that*

1.  $\cup_i B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon) \subset \cup_j B(b_j, \rho_j)$ ,
2.  $\rho_j \ll |b_i - b_j|$  for every  $i \neq j$  and  $\rho_j \ll \text{dist}(\cup_i \{b_i\}, \partial \Omega)$ .
3.  $\forall a_i \in B(b_j, \rho_j)$ ,  $|a_i - b_j| \ll \rho_j$ ,

(these hypotheses allow in particular to take  $b_i = a_i$  and  $\rho_i = R_\varepsilon \varepsilon$ ). We have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus \cup_j B(b_j, \rho_j)} |\nabla \theta|^2 = \pi \sum_i D_i^2 \log \frac{1}{\rho_j} + W_{\mathbf{D}}(b_1, \dots, b_n) + o(1)$$

where  $D_j = \text{deg}(e^{i\theta}, \partial B(b_j, \rho_j)) = \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_j, \rho_j)} d_i$ .

*Proof.* The proof is quite standard, similar to results in [BBH] (except that here the  $a_i$  depend on  $\varepsilon$ ) or to [SS2], Chap. 9<sup>3</sup>). From (3.2), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \Phi(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \log |x - a_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i S(x, a_i).$$

Using the fact that  $|a_i - b_j| \ll \rho_j \ll |b_j - b_k|$  for  $j \neq k$ , and  $a_i \in B(b_j, \rho_j)$ , and computing explicitly, we find

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial \nu} = \frac{-D_j}{\rho_j} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{on } \partial B(b_j, \rho_j),$$

$$(3.10) \quad |\nabla \Phi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\min_j |x - b_j|}, \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \cup_j B(b_j, \rho_j).$$

For assertion (3.7), integrating by parts using (3.5), we first have

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus \cup_j B(b_j, \rho_j)} |\nabla \theta|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus \cup_j B(b_j, \rho_j)} |\nabla \Phi|^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \int_{\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu},$$

---

<sup>3</sup>number to be confirmed

where  $\nu$  denotes the outer unit normal to  $\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)$ . Inserting (3.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & - \int_{\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \\
&= - \int_{\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)} \left( - \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_j, \rho_j)} d_i \log |x - a_i| - \sum_{i/a_i \notin B(b_j, \rho_j)} d_i \log |x - a_i| + \sum_i d_i S(x, a_i) \right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \\
&= - \int_{\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)} \left( D_j \log \frac{1}{\rho_j} - \sum_{k \neq j} D_k \log |b_j - b_k| + \sum_k D_k S(x, b_k) + o(1) \right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the continuity of  $S$ , and the facts that on  $\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)$ , if  $a_i \in B(b_j, \rho_j)$ ,

$$\log |x - a_i| = \log |x - b_j| + \log \left| 1 + \frac{b_j - a_i}{x - b_j} \right| = \log |x - b_j| + o(1) = \log \rho_j + o(1),$$

because  $|x - b_j| = \rho_j \gg |a_i - b_j|$ ; and similarly if  $a_i \in B(b_k, \rho_k)$ ,  $\log |x - a_i| = \log |b_j - b_k| + o(1)$  on  $\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)$ . On the other hand,

$$- \int_{\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} = \int_{B(b_j, \rho_j)} -\Delta \Phi = 2\pi D_j.$$

Inserting this into (3.12) and using the regularity of  $S$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad & - \int_{\partial B(b_j, \rho_j)} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} = 2\pi D_j^2 \log \frac{1}{\rho_j} - 2\pi \sum_{k \neq j} D_j D_k \log |b_j - b_k| \\
& \quad \quad \quad + 2\pi \sum_k D_j D_k S(b_j, b_k) + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.13), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus \cup_j B(b_j, \rho_j)} |\nabla \Phi|^2 = 2\pi \sum_j D_j^2 \log \frac{1}{\rho_j} - 2\pi \sum_{k \neq j} D_j D_k \log |b_j - b_k| \\
& \quad + 2\pi \sum_{j,k} D_j D_k S(b_j, b_k) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( - \sum_j \log |x - b_j| + \sum_j D_j S(x, b_j) \right) (ig, \partial_\tau g) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

thus (3.7) holds. □

With the same kind of techniques, we can get the following result, which will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $B_i$  be a family of balls as in Proposition 2.2, and let  $\theta$  be the canonical phase associated to the  $(a_i, d_i)$ 's. If  $B_R$  and  $B_l$  are two concentric balls such that  $B_{2R} \setminus B_{l/2}$  is included in  $\Omega$  and does not intersect any of the balls  $B_i$ , then*

$$(3.15) \quad 2\pi \left( \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_l} d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l} \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 \leq 2\pi \left( \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_l} d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l} + O(1).$$



$$(3.16) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial r} \right|^2 \leq O(1).$$

If  $B_R$  and  $B_l$  are two concentric balls centered on  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $B_{2R} \setminus B_{l/2}$  does not intersect any of the  $B_i$ 's, then

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 \leq O(1).$$

Here the  $O(1)$  depends only on  $\Omega$ , on the number of points  $a_i$  and on some upper bound on  $\sum_i |d_i|$ .

*Proof.* Let us first deal with the interior case, and the left-hand side inequality in (3.15). Since  $B_{2R} \setminus B_{l/2}$  does not intersect any ball,  $\theta$  is well defined in  $B_R \setminus B_l$  and the degree is constant equal to  $\sum_{i, B_i \subset B_l} d_i$ ; that is, for every  $l \leq r \leq R$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} = 2\pi \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_l} d_i.$$

Thus, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 &\geq \int_l^R \int_{\partial B_r} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 dr \\ &\geq \int_l^R \frac{1}{2\pi r} \left( \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 dr = 2\pi \left( \sum_{i, B_i \subset B_l} d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the left-hand inequality in (3.15).

For the other inequality, for both the interior and boundary case, let us evaluate

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 = \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \Phi|^2.$$

Clearly, since  $B_R \setminus B_l$  does not contain any  $a_i$ , integrating by parts yields

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \Phi|^2 = \int_{\partial(B_R \setminus B_l)} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu},$$

where  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal to each disc. Let us now study  $\Phi$  closer. For each point  $a_i$ , let us denote by  $a_i^*$  its symmetric with respect to  $\partial\Omega$  (there might be several choices, but it does not matter). Let then

$$(3.20) \quad \Psi(x) = - \sum_i d_i \log |x - a_i| + \sum_i d_i \log |x - a_i^*|.$$

One can check that  $\Psi$  and  $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \nu}$  remain bounded on  $\partial\Omega$  by some constant independent of the  $a_i$ 's. On the other hand,  $\Delta(\Phi - \Psi) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ , so in view of the boundary conditions for  $\Phi$  (see (3.5)) we find, by the maximum principle, that  $\Phi - \Psi$  is bounded in  $\Omega$  (in both Dirichlet and Neumann cases). Thus, we may write

$$(3.21) \quad \Phi(x) = - \sum_i d_i \log |x - a_i| + \sum_i d_i \log |x - a_i^*| + O(1).$$

Let us now first focus on the interior case. Denoting by  $x_0$  the center of the balls  $B_l$  and  $B_R$ , let  $x \in \partial B_l$  and  $a_i \in B_{l/2}$ , we have

$$\log |x - a_i| = \log |x - x_0| + \log \left| 1 - \frac{a_i - x_0}{x - x_0} \right|$$

But, since  $a_i \in B_{l/2}$ , we have  $\left| \frac{a_i - x_0}{x - x_0} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , thus  $\log \left| 1 - \frac{a_i - x_0}{x - x_0} \right|$  remains uniformly bounded and we can write  $\log |x - a_i| = \log l + O(1)$ . Assume now that  $x \in \partial B_l$  and  $a_i \notin B_{l/2}$ , that means that  $a_i$  is outside of  $B_{2R}$ , then

$$\log |x - a_i| = \log |a_i - x_0| + \log \left| 1 - \frac{x - x_0}{a_i - x_0} \right|$$

and since  $|x - x_0| \leq R$  and  $|a_i - x_0| \geq 2R$  we have  $\left| \frac{x - x_0}{a_i - x_0} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and thus  $\log \left| 1 - \frac{x - x_0}{a_i - x_0} \right|$  remains uniformly bounded. The same holds for  $a_i^*$  which is always in  $\Omega \setminus B_{2R}$ . We can thus write

$$(3.22) \quad \Phi(x) = - \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_{l/2}} d_i \log l - \sum_{i/B_i \subset \Omega \setminus B_{2R}} d_i (\log |a_i - x_0| - \log |a_i^* - x_0|) + O(1) \quad \text{for } x \in \partial B_l.$$

Similarly, for  $x \in \partial B_R$ , we have

$$\Phi(x) = - \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_{l/2}} d_i \log R - \sum_{i/B_i \subset \Omega \setminus B_{2R}} d_i (\log |a_i - x_0| - \log |a_i^* - x_0|) + O(1).$$

Thus,

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B_l} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} &= \left( - \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_{l/2}} d_i \log l - \sum_{i/B_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{2R}} d_i \log \frac{|a_i - x_0|}{|a_i^* - x_0|} \right) \int_{\partial B_l} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} + O \left( \int_{\partial B_l} \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right| \right) \\ &= \left( - \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_{l/2}} d_i \log l - \sum_{i/B_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{2R}} d_i \log \frac{|a_i - x_0|}{|a_i^* - x_0|} \right) \left( -2\pi \sum_{j/B_j \subset B_{l/2}} d_j \right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.5) and the estimate (3.6) or in other words  $|\nabla \Phi| \leq \frac{C}{l}$  on  $\partial B_l$ . Similarly,

$$\int_{\partial B_R} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} = \left( - \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_{l/2}} d_i \log R - \sum_{i/B_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{2R}} d_i \log \frac{|a_i - x_0|}{|a_i^* - x_0|} \right) \int_{\partial B_l} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} + O(1).$$

Subtracting those two relations and returning to (3.19), we find

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \Phi|^2 = 2\pi \left( \sum_{i/B_i \subset B_{l/2}} d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l} + O(1).$$

This finishes the proof of (3.15). Comparing it to (3.18), we conclude that (3.16) must hold.

For the boundary case, one may check with similar ideas that (3.21) implies that  $\Phi$  is bounded (independently of the location of the points and  $R$  and  $l$ ) in  $B_R \setminus B_l$ . Therefore

$$\int_{\partial(B_R \setminus B_l)} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} = \int_{\partial \Omega \cap (B_R \setminus B_l)} \Phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} + O\left(\int_{\partial B_R \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right|\right) + O\left(\int_{\partial B_l \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right|\right)$$

The contribution on  $\partial \Omega$  is zero in the Neumann case and is bounded in the Dirichlet case (in view of the bound on  $\Phi$  and the boundary condition on  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu}$ ). The contributions on  $\partial B_R$  and  $\partial B_l$  are bounded by the same argument as above (using  $|\nabla \Phi| \leq \frac{C}{R}$  or  $\frac{C}{l}$ ). We conclude that (3.17) holds.  $\square$

### 3.2 Lower bounds on the energy

Returning to  $u_\varepsilon$ , we introduce  $\rho_\varepsilon = |u_\varepsilon|$ , and  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  such that

$$(3.24) \quad u_\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

We also introduce the phase-excess  $\psi_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon - \theta$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ , observe it is a single-valued function. Afterwards, we most often drop the subscripts  $\varepsilon$ . We claim that from (2.29), for each  $i$ , there exists a constant  $c_i$  such that

$$(3.25) \quad \psi = \varphi - \theta = c_i + o(1) \quad \text{on } \partial B(a_i, R_\varepsilon).$$

Also,  $\psi = cst$  on  $\partial \Omega$  in the case of the Dirichlet boundary condition, and  $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  in the case of the Neumann boundary condition.

In the next section, we will work alternatively in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  or in  $B(x, l) \setminus \cup_i B_i$  where  $B(x, l)$  is some ball of radius  $l$  (possibly depending on  $\varepsilon$ ) included in  $\Omega$  such that  $\partial B(x, l) \subset \Omega_\varepsilon$ . In the sequel  $D_\varepsilon$  denotes either  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  or any subset of  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  of the form  $\Omega \cap B(x, l) \setminus \cup_i B_i$ , and  $D$  denotes  $\Omega$  or  $B(x, l) \cap \Omega$  respectively.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10), and hence the results of Proposition 2.2. Then,*

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \theta|^2 + \pi \sum_i d_i^2 \log R_\varepsilon + \sum_i \gamma(V_i) + o(1) \\ &= \pi \sum_i d_i^2 \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \sum_i \gamma(V_i) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_i$  is given by (2.29).

*Proof.* This follows arguments of [BMR, CM1]. Let  $D_\varepsilon = \Omega_\varepsilon$  or  $D_\varepsilon = B_l \setminus \cup_i B_i$ . We claim that

$$(3.27) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, D_\varepsilon) \geq E_\varepsilon(e^{i\theta}, D_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{5} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi + o(1).$$

Indeed,

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla \varphi|^2 &= \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla(\theta + \psi)|^2 \\ &= \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla \theta|^2 + \rho^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 + 2\rho^2 \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi. \end{aligned}$$

But, with Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$(3.29) \quad \left| \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) |\nabla \theta|^2 \right| \leq \varepsilon \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \theta|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By definition of  $\theta$ , we have  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \theta|^4 \leq \frac{C}{(R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)^2}$ , thus, using  $R_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$(3.30) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) |\nabla \theta|^2 = o \left( \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Hence,

$$(3.31) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla \theta|^2 \geq \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \theta|^2 + o \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right) + o(1).$$

We deduce (3.27). Arguing similarly, we also have

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi \right| &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty(D_\varepsilon)} \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R_\varepsilon} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + |\nabla \psi|^2 \\ &\leq o \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + |\nabla \psi|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.6). Combining this with (3.27), we find

$$(3.33) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, D_\varepsilon) \geq E_\varepsilon(e^{i\theta}, D_\varepsilon) + \int_{D_\varepsilon} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi + o(1).$$

Particularising to  $D_\varepsilon = \Omega_\varepsilon$ , using the fact that  $\theta$  is harmonic, we also have

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi = \sum_i \int_{\partial B_i} \psi \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu}.$$

Inserting (3.25), and using  $\int_{\partial B_i} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} = \int_{\partial B_i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} = 0$ , we find that

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi = o(1) \sum_i \int_{\partial B_i} \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} \right|.$$

Using (3.6) again, we conclude that  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi = o(1)$  and hence, from (3.33), we get

$$(3.34) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, \Omega_\varepsilon) \geq E_\varepsilon(e^{i\theta}, \Omega_\varepsilon) + o(1).$$

On the other hand, from (2.32) and (2.28), we have

$$(3.35) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, B_i) = \pi d_i^2 \log R_\varepsilon + \gamma(V_i) + o(1).$$

Adding to (3.34) and combining with Lemma 3.1, we have the result.  $\square$

As a corollary, we get the lower bound:

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume that  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies the results of Proposition 2.2 and  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$  is a family of balls satisfying the hypotheses 1), 2), 3) of Lemma 3.1. Let the  $p_j$ 's be the points of accumulation of the  $a_i$ 's with nonzero total degree. Then, with the same notations as in Lemma 3.1,*

$$(3.36) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq \pi \sum_k |D_k| |\log \varepsilon| + W_{\mathcal{D}}(p_j) \\ - \pi \sum_j \sum_{k \neq k' / b_k \rightarrow p_j, b_{k'} \rightarrow p_j} D_k D_{k'} \log |b_k - b_{k'}| + \left( \sum_k |D_k| \right) \gamma + o(1),$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_j = \sum_{b_k \rightarrow p_j} D_k$ . Moreover, if there is equality in (3.36) then each  $D_k = \pm 1$  and each  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$  contains only one  $a_i$ .

*Proof.* From the results of Proposition 2.2, we have a family of small balls  $(a_i, d_i)$ . Applying Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(3.37) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq \pi \sum_i d_i^2 \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + \sum_i \gamma(V_i) + o(1).$$

On the other hand, one can check that

$$(3.38) \quad W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = W_{\mathcal{D}}(p_j) - \pi \sum_j \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \rightarrow p_j} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| + o(1).$$

For each given  $j$ , let us now study

$$\pi \sum_{i/a_i \rightarrow p_j} d_i^2 |\log \varepsilon| - \pi \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \rightarrow p_j} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}|.$$

The points  $a_i$  converging to the same  $p_j$  belong to several of the  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$ . It is again easy to check from the properties on the  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$  that

$$(3.39) \quad -\pi \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \rightarrow p_j} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| = -\pi \sum_{k \neq k' / b_k, b_{k'} \rightarrow p_j} D_k D_{k'} \log |b_k - b_{k'}| \\ - \pi \sum_{k/b_k \rightarrow p_j} \left( \sum_{i \neq i', a_i, a_{i'} \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| \right) + o(1).$$

So we are led to studying for each  $k$ ,

$$(3.40) \quad \pi \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i^2 |\log \varepsilon| - \pi \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}|.$$

We examine the  $a_i$ 's belonging to one  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$ . Let  $l_1$  be the smallest distance between two of the  $a_i$ 's. Let us group together all the  $a_i$ 's that are at distance  $O(l_1)$  from each other.

This makes several clusters of points. Over each cluster  $\mathcal{C}_m$ , since the total number of vortices is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\pi \sum_{i \neq i' \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| &= -\pi \left( \sum_{i \neq i' \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i d_{i'} \right) \log l_1 + O(1) \\ &= \pi \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i \right)^2 \right) \log l_1 + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i^2 \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \pi \sum_{i \neq i' \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| \\ = \pi \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i^2 \log \frac{l_1}{\varepsilon} - \pi \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i \right)^2 \log l_1 + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We now need to sum this over all  $m$ 's and add the interactions between the clusters themselves, which have total degree  $\delta_m^1 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_m} d_i$ . Since they are all at a distance  $\gg l_1$  from each other, we may consider  $l_2 \gg l_1$  the minimum of their distance. Let us again group the clusters into clusters of size  $O(l_2)$ , at a distance  $l_3 \gg l_2$  from the others. The interaction within each cluster of size  $l_2$  can be counted as  $-\pi \sum \delta_m^1 \delta_{m'}^1 \log l_2 = \pi \left( \sum (\delta_m^1)^2 - \left( \sum \delta_m^1 \right)^2 \right) \log l_2$ . Adding up over all clusters of size  $l_2$ , we find an energy

$$\pi \sum_i d_i^2 \log \frac{l_1}{\varepsilon} + \pi \sum_m (\delta_m^1)^2 \log \frac{l_2}{l_1} - \pi \left( \sum_m \delta_m^1 \right)^2 \log l_2 + O(1).$$

Again there remains to add this over all clusters of clusters, and add the interaction between them, which is at the scale  $l_3 \gg l_2$ , etc... Iterating this process (which stops after a finite number of steps since the total number of balls is bounded) we are left with an energy bounded from below by

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i^2 |\log \varepsilon| - \pi \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| \\ \geq \pi \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i^2 \log \frac{l_1}{\varepsilon} + \pi \sum_m (\delta_m^1)^2 \log \frac{l_2}{l_1} + \pi \sum_{m'} (\delta_{m'}^2)^2 \log \frac{l_3}{l_2} + \cdots + \pi D_k^2 \log \frac{1}{l_q} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_k$  is the total degree on  $\partial B(b_k, \rho_k)$  and each  $\delta^h$ , the total degree of a cluster at scale  $l_h$ , is the sum of the degrees over all the clusters at scale  $l_{h-1}$  that it contains. In other words, we have  $\sum_i d_i^2 \geq \sum_i |d_i| \geq |D_k|$  and similarly  $\sum_m (\delta_m^h)^2 \geq \sum_m |\delta_m^h| \geq |D_k|$ . This means we can bound from below (3.42) by

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i^2 |\log \varepsilon| - \pi \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| \\ \geq \pi |D_k| \left( \log \frac{l_1}{\varepsilon} + \log \frac{l_2}{l_1} + \cdots + \log \frac{1}{l_q} \right) + O(1) = \pi |D_k| \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, this inequality is sharp if and only if  $\sum_i d_i^2 = \sum_i |d_i| = |D_k|$  and  $\sum_m (\delta_m^h)^2 = \sum_m |\delta_m^h|$  for every  $h$ . The first relation implies that each  $d_i$  is equal to  $\pm 1$ , the sign being equal to that of  $D_k$ . The second relation implies that each  $\delta_m^h = \pm 1$ , which means that there is only one cluster at each scale, so in fact there can be at most one vortex  $a_i$  of degree  $\pm 1$  in  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$ , and  $D_k = \pm 1$  (or 0). In that case, then the lower bound above can be replaced simply by  $\pi |D_k| |\log \varepsilon|$ . If this is not the case, then we have dropped some term in (3.42) of size  $\pi \log \frac{l_h}{l_{h-1}}$  which tends to  $+\infty$  by construction of the  $l_j$ 's. Thus, in all cases, we may replace (3.43) by

$$(3.44) \quad \pi \sum_{i/a_i \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i^2 |\log \varepsilon| - \pi \sum_{i \neq i' / a_i, a_{i'} \in B(b_k, \rho_k)} d_i d_{i'} \log |a_i - a_{i'}| \geq \pi |D_k| \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + R_\varepsilon,$$

where  $R_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ , unless  $D_k = \pm 1$  or 0, with at most one vortex of degree  $\pm 1$  in each  $B(b_k, \rho_k)$ , in which case  $R_\varepsilon = 0$ . Combining this to (3.37), (3.38) and (3.40), we find

$$(3.45) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq \pi \sum_k |D_k| |\log \varepsilon| + W_{\mathcal{D}}(p_j) - \pi \sum_j \sum_{k \neq k' / b_k \rightarrow p_j, b_{k'} \rightarrow p_j} D_k D_{k'} \log |b_k - b_{k'}| + R_\varepsilon + \sum_i \gamma(V_i) + o(1).$$

If  $R_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$  this implies the desired relation (3.36). If not then all the small vortices are of degree  $\pm 1$ , so  $\gamma(V_i) = \gamma$  for each  $i$ , which implies again (3.36).  $\square$

## 4 The substitution lemma and Theorem 1

This section is inspired by the analysis of [CM1, CM2]. It needs to be readjusted to the case where only (1.1) is known, and also to be localized in small balls. The main result we obtain by this method is the following (we recall we work in  $D_\varepsilon$  which is alternatively  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i$  or  $B(x, l) \setminus \cup_i B_i$ ).

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies (1.1), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) and the results of Proposition 2.2. Then, with the same notations as above, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 \leq C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + o(1),$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, \Omega_\varepsilon) \leq E_\varepsilon(e^{i\theta}, \Omega_\varepsilon) + C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + o(1).$$

Let  $x$  be a given point in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , and let us denote

$$(4.3) \quad F(l) = \int_{B(x, l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{2}{5} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

If either

1.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $l \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  and  $\text{dist}(\cup_i \{a_i\}, \partial B(x, l)) \gg \varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}$  is satisfied, or
2.  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\text{dist}(\cup_i \{a_i\}, \partial B(x, l)) \gg \varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}$

then the function  $F$  satisfies a relation of the form

$$(4.4) \quad F(l) \leq \frac{l + Kl^2}{2} F'(l) + K(l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x,l))} + 1) \sqrt{F(l)} + o(1).$$

where  $K$  (and the constants and  $o(1)$  above) is a constant depending only on  $\beta$ ,  $M$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $g$ .

The proof requires many steps which we separate into lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1 (Substitution lemma).** *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1,*

$$(4.5) \quad E_\varepsilon(e^{i\theta}, D_\varepsilon) = E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, D_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (f_\varepsilon, e^{i\varphi}) \frac{1}{\rho} (1 - \rho^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 \left| \nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \\ - \int_{D_\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (1 - \rho^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - \rho \right) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} + o(1),$$

where we recall  $u = \rho e^{i\varphi}$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* For any real-valued functions  $\zeta$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{4}{3}$  in  $D_\varepsilon$ , we may consider  $v = \eta e^{i\zeta} u_\varepsilon = \eta \rho e^{i\varphi + \zeta}$ , and we have

$$(4.6) \quad E_\varepsilon(v, D_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla(\rho\eta)|^2 + \rho^2 \eta^2 |\nabla \varphi + \nabla \zeta|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - \eta^2 \rho^2)^2.$$

Expanding all the terms, we find

$$(4.7) \quad E_\varepsilon(v, D_\varepsilon) = E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, D_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 - 1) |\nabla \rho|^2 + \rho^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 + 2\eta \rho \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla \eta \\ + \rho^2 (\eta^2 - 1) |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \rho^2 \eta^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 + 2\rho^2 \eta^2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \zeta + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (-2\rho^2 (1 - \rho^2) (\eta^2 - 1) + \rho^4 (1 - \eta^2)^2)$$

But, taking the scalar product of (1.1) with  $e^{i\varphi}$  yields

$$(4.8) \quad -\Delta \rho + \rho |\nabla \varphi|^2 = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2) + (f_\varepsilon, e^{i\varphi}) \quad \text{in } D_\varepsilon.$$

Multiplying (4.8) by  $(\eta^2 - 1)\rho$  and integrating, we find

$$(4.9) \quad - \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 - 1) \rho \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} + \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 - 1) |\nabla \rho|^2 + 2\eta \rho \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \rho \\ + \rho^2 (\eta^2 - 1) |\nabla \varphi|^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2) (1 - \eta^2) = \int_{D_\varepsilon} (f_\varepsilon, e^{i\varphi}) \rho (\eta^2 - 1)$$

Inserting this into (4.7), and using (2.30), we find

$$(4.10) \quad E_\varepsilon(v, D_\varepsilon) = E_\varepsilon(u, D_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (f_\varepsilon, e^{i\varphi}) \rho (\eta^2 - 1) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 + \rho^2 \eta^2 |\nabla \zeta|^2 + \frac{\rho^4}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - \eta^2)^2 + 2\rho^2 \eta^2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \zeta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} (\eta^2 - 1) \rho \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} + o(1).$$

Choosing specifically  $\zeta = -\psi$  and  $\eta = \frac{1}{\rho}$ , we find (4.5).  $\square$



**Lemma 4.2.** *Under the same hypotheses,*

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi \right) + \frac{2}{5} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ \leq C \int_{\partial D} |1 - \rho^2| \left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right| + o(1).$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, D_\varepsilon) \leq E_\varepsilon(e^{i\theta}, D_\varepsilon) + C \int_{\partial D} |1 - \rho^2| \left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right| + \int_{D_\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi + o(1).$$

*Proof.* Adding up the relations (3.27) and (4.5), we find

$$(4.13) \quad 0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 + 1) |\nabla \psi|^2 + \left( \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \rho^2 \left| \nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \right|^2 + \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 \nabla \theta - \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D} \left| \frac{1}{\rho} - \rho \right| \left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right| - C \int_{D_\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon| |\rho^2 - 1| + o(1).$$

Hence, splitting  $\varphi$  as  $\theta + \psi$ , we get

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) \left( \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right) + \frac{2}{5} \int_{D_\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ \leq C \int_{\partial} |1 - \rho^2| \left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \nu} \right| + C \int_{D_\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon| |\rho^2 - 1| + o(1),$$

where  $\int_{D_\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon| |\rho^2 - 1| \leq \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} \|\rho^2 - 1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} \leq o(1)$  by (1.10), hence the result.

Similarly (4.5) implies (4.12).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Under the same hypotheses,*

$$(4.15) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \rho^2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi \right| \leq C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + o(1),$$

$$(4.16) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\nabla \theta - \rho^2 \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi \right| \leq C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + o(1).$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad \left| \int_{B(x,l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} (\nabla \theta - \rho^2 \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi \right| \\ \leq \frac{l + Cl^2}{2} \int_{\partial B(x,l) \cap \Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{2(1 - \rho^2)^2}{5\varepsilon^2} + Cl \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x,l))} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(B(x,l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i)} + o(1).$$

*Proof.* Taking the inner product of (1.1) and  $iu$ , we find

$$(4.18) \quad \operatorname{div}(\rho^2 \nabla \varphi) = (f_\varepsilon, iu)$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho^2 \nabla \varphi - \nabla \theta) = (f_\varepsilon, iu) \quad \text{in } D_\varepsilon$$

We now let  $\bar{\psi}$  denote, if  $D$  is a ball not intersecting  $\partial\Omega$ , the average value of  $\psi$  on  $\partial D$ , if  $D$  is a ball intersecting  $\partial\Omega$ , either in the Dirichlet case, the constant value of  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , or in the Neumann case, the mean value of  $\psi$  on  $\partial D \cap \Omega$ ; finally if  $D = \Omega$ , either the value of  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in the Dirichlet case, or the average of  $\psi$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in the Neumann case.

Let us then multiply (4.18) by  $\psi - \bar{\psi}$ , and integrate by parts. We find

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\nabla \theta - \rho^2 \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi = \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} - \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \right) + O \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} |f_\varepsilon| |\psi - \bar{\psi}| \right)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\partial B_i} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} = \int_{\partial B_i} (\psi - c_i) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\partial B_i} (c_i - \bar{\psi}) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} = o(1)$$

by (3.6) and (3.25). Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B_i} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} &= \int_{\partial B_i} (\psi - c_i) \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} + \int_{\partial B_i} (c_i - \bar{\psi}) \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \\ &= o(1) - (c_i - \bar{\psi}) \int_{B_i} (f_\varepsilon, iu), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.30) and (4.18). We may always extend  $\psi$  inside  $D \cap (\cup_i B_i)$  into a function  $\tilde{\psi}$  in such a way that  $\int_{B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)} |\nabla \tilde{\psi}|^2 \leq C \int_{B(a_i, 2R_\varepsilon \varepsilon) \setminus B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)} |\nabla \psi|^2$  (see for example [BMR]), so that we have  $\int_D |\nabla \tilde{\psi}|^2 \leq C \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2$ . Moreover, we can do it in such a way that

$$\|\tilde{\psi} - c_i\|_{L^\infty(B_i)} \leq \|\psi - c_i\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_i)} = o(1).$$

Using this, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (c_i - \bar{\psi}) \int_{B_i} (f_\varepsilon, iu) \right| &\leq \int_{B_i} |\tilde{\psi} - \bar{\psi}| |f_\varepsilon| + \int_{B_i} |\tilde{\psi} - c_i| |f_\varepsilon| \\ &\leq \int_{B_i} |\tilde{\psi} - \bar{\psi}| |f_\varepsilon| + o(R_\varepsilon \varepsilon \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}). \end{aligned}$$

We may thus conclude with (4.41) and (1.10) (combined with  $R_\varepsilon \leq |\log \varepsilon|$ ) that

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\nabla \theta - \rho^2 \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi = O \left( \int_D |f_\varepsilon| |\tilde{\psi} - \bar{\psi}| \right) + \int_{\partial D} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} - \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \right) + o(1).$$

In the case  $D = \Omega$ , in view of the boundary conditions ( $\psi = \bar{\psi}$  or  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} = 0$ ), the second term in the right-hand side vanishes identically, so

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\nabla \theta - \rho^2 \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \psi = O \left( \int_{\Omega} |f_\varepsilon| |\tilde{\psi} - \bar{\psi}| \right) + o(1)$$

Following the exact same steps, we can deduce that

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \rho^2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi = O \left( \int_{\Omega} |f_\varepsilon| |\tilde{\psi} - \bar{\psi}| \right) + o(1).$$

But, by a Poincaré type inequality, we always have

$$(4.23) \quad \int_D |f_\varepsilon| |\tilde{\psi} - \bar{\psi}| \leq C|D| \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D)} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)}$$

where  $|D|$  denotes the half-diameter of  $D$  (a constant if  $D = \Omega$  and  $l$  if  $D = B(x, l)$ ). (Recall that if  $D$  intersects  $\partial\Omega$ , then it is a ball centered at a point of the boundary, essentially a half-disc if  $l$  is small, by smoothness of  $\partial\Omega$ ). From (4.21) and (4.22), we already deduce that (4.16) and (4.15) hold.

There remains to bound the other term of the right-hand side of (4.20). In the case  $D = B(x, l) \cap \Omega$  (the only one left to consider) we observe that since  $\varphi = \theta + \psi$ , in view of the boundary conditions and the choice of  $\bar{\psi}$ , we have

$$(4.24) \quad \int_{\partial D} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} - \rho^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} \right) = \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) (1 - \rho^2) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} - \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}.$$

Let us now distinguish between the cases where  $B(x, l)$  intersects  $\partial\Omega$  and not. If  $D = B(x, l) \subset \Omega$ , we may use, as in [BMR], a sharp scaled Poincaré inequality on  $\partial B(x, l)$ : observe that  $\int_{\partial B(x, l)} |\psi - \bar{\psi}|^2 \leq l^2 \int_{\partial B(x, l)} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2$  and  $\left( \int_{\partial B(x, l)} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\partial B(x, l)} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B(x, l)} |\nabla \psi|^2$ . Inserting this into the above, and using  $\rho \leq 1$ , we are led to

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial B(x, l)} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right| &\leq l \left( \int_{\partial B(x, l)} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\partial B(x, l)} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{l}{2} \int_{\partial B(x, l)} |\nabla \psi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

When  $D = B(x, l) \cap \Omega$  and  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , then, we may calculate explicitly

$$(4.26) \quad \min_{h \in H_0^1([0, L])} \frac{\int_0^L (h')^2}{\int_0^L h^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{L^2}$$

and

$$(4.27) \quad \min_{\int_0^L h = 0} \frac{\int_0^L (h')^2}{\int_0^L h^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{L^2}$$

Applying this to the curve  $\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega$  parametrized by arclength, we find, using (4.26) in the Dirichlet case and (4.27) in the Neumann case, in view of the choice of  $\bar{\psi}$ ,

$$(4.28) \quad \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} |\psi - \bar{\psi}|^2 \leq \frac{|\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega|^2}{\pi^2} \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2,$$

where  $|\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega|$  denotes the length of  $\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega$ . Using the fact that  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth, we can write

$$|\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega| \leq \pi l + Cl^2$$

(that is  $\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega$  tends to a half-circle as  $l \rightarrow 0$ ). Inserting into (4.28), we find, in place of (4.25),

$$(4.29) \quad \left| \int_{\partial B(x, l)} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) \rho^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right| \leq \left( (l^2 + Cl^3) \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} (l + Cl^2) \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2.$$

On the other hand, for both cases (boundary and interior), using (3.6), we have  $|\nabla \theta| \ll \frac{C}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon| \varepsilon}}$  on  $\partial B(x, l)$ , hence

$$\left| \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) (1 - \rho^2) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} \right| \leq \frac{o(1)}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} \left( \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} |\psi - \bar{\psi}|^2 \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq \frac{o(1)}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} \left( l \int_{B(x, l)} |\nabla \psi|^2 \int_{\partial B(x, l) \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where we have used a trace inequality. Using the fact that  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \theta|^2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|$ , we deduce

$$\left| \int_{\partial B(x, l)} (\psi - \bar{\psi}) (1 - \rho^2) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} \right| \leq o(1) \left( 1 + \frac{l}{2} \int_{\partial B(x, l)} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right).$$

Combining with (4.20) and (4.23), we conclude that (4.17) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Under the same hypotheses, we have the estimates*

$$(4.30) \quad \left| \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) (2\nabla \theta + \frac{3}{2} \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \psi \right| \leq C \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} + o(1)$$

$$(4.31) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) (2\nabla \theta + \frac{3}{2} \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \psi \right| \leq o \left( \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right) + o(1).$$

*Proof.* For the first relation, let us write

$$(4.32) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} (1 - \rho^2) |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq \int_{D_\varepsilon \cap \{|u| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\}} (1 - \rho^2) |\nabla \psi|^2 + \int_{D_\varepsilon \cap \{|u| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\}} (1 - \rho^2) |\nabla \psi|^2 \\ \leq \frac{C}{|\log \varepsilon|^2} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon \cap \{|u| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\}} \frac{(1 - \rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where we have used the fact that  $|\nabla \psi| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$ . Now, using the fact that  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|$  and combining this to the result of Proposition 2.1, we conclude that  $\int_{D_\varepsilon} (1 - \rho^2) |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq o(1) + C \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)}$ . A similar reasoning (using  $|\nabla \theta| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ ) works for  $\int_{D_\varepsilon} (1 - \rho^2) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi$ , and we deduce (4.30).

The other relation is a direct consequence of (3.32) and  $\rho \geq 1 - o(1)$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Under the same hypotheses,*

$$(4.33) \quad \int_{B(x,l)\cap\Omega\setminus\cup_i B_i} |\nabla\rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C \int_{\partial B(x,l)\cap\Omega} |1-\rho^2| |\nabla\rho| + o\left(1 + \int_{B(x,l)\cap\Omega\setminus\cup_i B_i} |\nabla\psi|^2\right),$$

and

$$(4.34) \quad \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq o(1) \left(1 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\psi|^2\right).$$

*Proof.* Indeed, returning to (4.11), we find

$$\int_{D_\varepsilon} |\nabla\rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C \int_{\partial D_\varepsilon} |1-\rho^2| \left| \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\nu} \right| + o(1) + C \left| \int_{D_\varepsilon} (\rho^2 - 1) \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi \right| + C \int_{D_\varepsilon} |1-\rho^2| |\nabla\psi|^2$$

Using (3.32) and the fact that  $|1-\rho^2| = o(1)$  in  $D_\varepsilon$  (from 1. of Proposition 2.2), (2.30) to get rid of the terms on  $\partial B_i$ , we easily find that (4.33) and (4.34) hold.  $\square$

These relations will be used later.

We are now in a position to give the full

*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* The control of the excess energy comes from (4.11). We just observe that

$$(4.35) \quad (\rho^2 - 1) \left( \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\psi|^2 \right) = |\nabla\psi|^2 + (\nabla\theta - \rho^2 \nabla\varphi) \cdot \nabla\psi + (\rho^2 - 1) \left( 2\nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi + \frac{3}{2} |\nabla\psi|^2 \right),$$

and combine (4.11) with (4.16) and (4.31), to find

$$(4.36) \quad (1 - o(1)) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla\psi|^2 + (1 - o(1)) \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{2}{5\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 + \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{4} |\nabla\rho|^2 \leq C \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + o(1).$$

The relation (4.1) follows directly. Similarly, using (4.30), we are led to

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B(x,l)\cap\Omega} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\rho|^2 + \frac{2}{5\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{l + Cl^2}{2} \int_{\partial B(x,l)} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\rho|^2 + \frac{2}{5\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 \\ & \quad + C \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2((B(x,l)\setminus\cup_i B_i))} (l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x,l))} + 1) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $F(l) = \int_{B(x,l)\cap\Omega\setminus\cup_i B_i} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\rho|^2 + \frac{2}{5} \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2}$ , we deduce that  $F$  satisfies (4.4).

Also, returning to (4.12), and using (4.15) and the same other arguments, and combining to (4.1), we find (4.2).  $\square$

## 4.1 ODE approach

Here, we give estimates for  $F$  given that it satisfies the differential inequality (4.4).

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $f$  be a nondecreasing function on an interval  $[r, R]$  with  $R \leq L$ , satisfying*

$$(4.38) \quad \forall x \in [r, R], \quad f(x) \leq \frac{x + cx^2}{2} f'(x) + g(x) \sqrt{f(x)} + b$$

for some continuous function  $g$ , then

$$(4.39) \quad f(r) \leq C \frac{r^2}{R^2} f(R) + 2r^2(G(R) - G(r))^2 + 2b \left(1 - \frac{r}{R}\right),$$

where  $G$  is an antiderivative of  $\frac{g(x)}{x^2}$  and  $C = 2(1 + Lc)^2$ .

*Proof.* Dividing (4.38) by  $x^2 \sqrt{f(x)}$ , we find

$$\frac{\sqrt{f(x)}}{x^2} \leq \frac{f'(x)}{2x\sqrt{f(x)}} + \frac{cf'(x)}{2\sqrt{f(x)}} + \frac{g(x)}{x^2} + \frac{b}{x^2\sqrt{f(x)}}.$$

Setting  $h(x) = \frac{\sqrt{f(x)}}{x}$ , we observe that  $h'(x) = \frac{f'(x)}{2x\sqrt{f(x)}} - \frac{\sqrt{f(x)}}{x^2}$ , and thus

$$(4.40) \quad 0 \leq h'(x) + c \left(\sqrt{f}\right)'(x) + \frac{g(x)}{x^2} + \frac{b}{x^2\sqrt{f(x)}}.$$

Integrating between  $r$  and  $R$ , and using the monotonicity of  $f$  for the last term, we find

$$\frac{\sqrt{f(r)}}{r} \leq \frac{\sqrt{f(R)}}{R} + c\sqrt{f(R)} - c\sqrt{f(r)} + G(R) - G(r) + \frac{b}{\sqrt{f(r)}} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\sqrt{f(r)}}{r} \leq \sqrt{f(R)} \left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{Lc}{R}\right) + G(R) - G(r) + \frac{b}{\sqrt{f(r)}} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{R}\right).$$

We observe that this is of the form  $\lambda^2 \leq a_1\lambda + a_2$  where  $\lambda = \sqrt{f(r)}$ . Using the fact that for such an equation we have  $\lambda^2 \leq a_1^2 + 2a_2$ , we deduce

$$f(r) \leq \left(\frac{r(1 + Lc)}{R} \sqrt{f(R)} + r(G(R) - G(r))\right)^2 + 2b \left(1 - \frac{r}{R}\right),$$

the relation (4.39) follows directly.  $\square$

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

**Proof of (1.13), (1.14), (1.18) and (1.17)**

(1.13) follows directly from (4.1), and (1.14) from (1.13) combined with (4.34). For (1.18), we start from (4.2), which, combined with Lemma 3.1 (applied to the  $B(a_i, R_\varepsilon \varepsilon)$ ) yields

$$E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, \Omega_\varepsilon) \leq \pi \sum_i d_i^2 \log \frac{1}{R_\varepsilon \varepsilon} + W_{\mathbf{d}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) + C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + o(1).$$

But, from (2.32) and (2.28), we find

$$E_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, B(a_i, R_\varepsilon\varepsilon)) = \pi d_i^2 \log R_\varepsilon + \gamma(V_i).$$

With Lemma 3.3, the result (1.18) follows.

Finally, (1.17) was proved in Proposition 2.2.

### Localised estimates

We recall that  $l \gg \varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}$ , so we can find a quantity  $\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \varepsilon \ll Q_\varepsilon \ll l$ . Let us first consider the boundary case, i.e.  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , and let  $F(l)$  be defined as in (4.3). Since the number of points  $a_i$  remains bounded by some  $n_0$ , the set  $S = \{l \in \mathbb{R} / \partial B(x, l) \cap (\cup_i B(a_i, Q_\varepsilon)) \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite union of fewer than  $n_0$  intervals, with total length  $\leq CQ_\varepsilon$ . Let us write  $S \cap [0, R] = [t_1, t'_1] \cup [t_2, t'_2] \cdots \cup [t_k, t'_k]$ , where  $t_1 < t'_1 < t_2 < t'_2 \cdots < t_{k+1} = R \leq 1$ . Assume now  $l$  is given, and  $l \in [t'_i, t_{i+1}]$ , (4.4) holds in that interval. We may use Lemma 4.6 with  $f = F$ ,  $g(l) = Kl \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x, R))} + 1$  and  $b$  the  $o(1)$  found in (4.4), then  $G(l) = K \log l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x, R))} - \frac{1}{l}$ , thus we find

$$F(l) \leq C \frac{l^2}{t_{i+1}^2} F(t_{i+1}) + 2 \left( l \log \frac{t_{i+1}}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x, R))} + 1 \right)^2 + 2b \left( 1 - \frac{t_{i+1}}{l} \right).$$

But,  $F(t_{i+1}) \leq F(t'_{i+1})$  and  $t_{i+1} \leq 1$ , so

$$(4.41) \quad F(l) \leq C \frac{l^2}{t_{i+1}^2} F(t'_{i+1}) + 4 \left( 1 + l^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x, R))}^2 \right) + 2b.$$

Similarly, using (4.4) on  $[t'_{i+1}, t_{i+2}]$ , we have

$$(4.42) \quad F(t'_{i+1}) \leq C \frac{(t'_{i+1})^2}{t_{i+2}^2} F(t_{i+2}) + 4 \left( 1 + (t'_{i+1})^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{t'_{i+1}} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x, R))}^2 \right) + 2b.$$

The same relation holds for any  $i \leq j \leq k+1$ . Now observe that since  $t_{j+1} \geq t'_{j+1} - Q_\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\frac{l^2}{t_{i+1}^2} \frac{(t'_{i+1})^2}{t_{i+2}^2} \cdots \frac{(t'_k)^2}{R} \leq \frac{l^2}{R^2} \left( 1 + \frac{CQ_\varepsilon}{l} \right)^{2n_0} \leq \frac{Cl^2}{R^2}$$

in view of the assumption  $l \gg Q_\varepsilon$ . Using this and combining all the relations of the type (4.42), we are led, after some calculations, to

$$(4.43) \quad F(l) \leq C \frac{l^2}{R^2} F(R) + Cl^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x, R))}^2 + C$$

where  $C$  is a constant (depending on  $n_0$ ). On the other hand, from (4.1), we have  $F(R) \leq C \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + o(1)$ , thus, taking  $R = 1$ ,

$$(4.44) \quad F(l) \leq Cl^2 \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \log^2 l + C.$$

If  $l$  belongs to some interval  $[t_i, t'_i]$ , then we may get (4.44) for  $t'_i$ , and using  $F(l) \leq F(t'_i)$  and  $t'_i \leq l + Q_\varepsilon \leq 2l$ , we deduce that a relation like (4.44) still holds.

For the interior case, let  $x \in \Omega$  and let  $R = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ . Let us denote  $F$  by  $F_x$  to keep track of the center point. If  $l \geq R$ , then there exists  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $B(x, l) \subset B(x_0, 2l)$  and thus  $F_x(l) \leq F_{x_0}(2l)$  and the result follows from the boundary case. If  $l \leq R$ , then, arguing exactly as above, since  $B(x, R) \subset \Omega$  and (4.4) holds in the interior case, we can get similarly (4.43), that is

$$(4.45) \quad F_x(l) \leq C \frac{l^2}{R^2} F_x(R) + Cl^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x,R))}^2 + C.$$

If  $R \geq 1$ , using (4.1), we are done. If not, we can find  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $B(x, R) \subset B(x_0, 2R)$ , thus, using the result (4.44) for the boundary case

$$F_x(R) \leq F_{x_0}(2R) \leq CR^2 \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} + C.$$

Combining with  $R \geq l$  and (4.45), we find

$$F_x(l) \leq Cl^2 \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l} + C,$$

that is (4.44) is proved in the interior case as well, and we always have

$$(4.46) \quad \int_{B(x,l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon|^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-|u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \leq C + Cl^2 \log^2 l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

In order to prove (1.16), let us use (4.33) on  $B(x, s)$ :

$$(4.47) \quad \int_{B(x,s) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C \int_{\partial B(x,s) \cap \Omega} |1-\rho^2| |\nabla \rho| + o(1) + o \left( \int_{B(x,s) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right)$$

Let us recall that  $l \gg \varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \gg \varepsilon$ . Thus, from (4.47),

$$\int_{B(x,s) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\partial B(x,s) \cap \Omega} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + o(1) + o \left( \int_{B(x,s) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right)$$

Integrating this relation for  $s \in [l, 2l]$ , we easily deduce that

$$l \int_{B(x,l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C\varepsilon \int_{B(x,2l) \cap \Omega} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + o(1) + o \left( l \int_{B(x,2l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi|^2 \right)$$

Inserting (4.46) and the fact that  $\int_{B_i} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} = O(1)$  (from [BMR] for example), we are led to

$$\int_{B(x,l) \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{(1-\rho^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq \left( C \frac{\varepsilon}{l} + o(1) \right) \left( l^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C \right) + o(1)$$

and since we assumed  $\varepsilon/l \rightarrow 0$ , we conclude that (1.16) holds.



We now prove that the second upper bound in (1.15) holds. For that, let us return to the proof of Proposition 4.1. Inserting (1.16) into (4.32), we have

$$(4.48) \quad \int_{D_\varepsilon} |1 - \rho^2| |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq o(1) \left( 1 + l \log \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B(x,2l))} \right) \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2(D_\varepsilon)} + o(1).$$

In place of (4.37) we can now write

$$(4.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B(x,l) \cap \Omega \setminus \cup_i B_i} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{2}{5\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 \\ \leq \frac{l + Cl^2}{2} \int_{\partial B(x,l) \cap \Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{2}{5\varepsilon^2} (1 - \rho^2)^2 \\ + C \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2((B(x,l) \setminus \cup_i B_i))} o \left( l \log \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1 \right) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we apply the same reasoning as before, i.e. use (4.39) this time with  $g(l) = c(l \log \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 1)$ , where  $c = o(1)$ , and the same method. Since  $G(l)$  the anti-derivative for  $g/l^2$  is equal to  $c(-\frac{\log^2 l}{2} - \frac{1}{l})$ , we find in the end, in place of (4.44),

$$F(l) \leq o(1)(l^2 \log^4 l \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 1)$$

and we may conclude as before that (1.15) holds.

**Remark 4.1.** When  $f_\varepsilon = 0$ , Theorem 1 reproves the result of [CM2] without the need of  $L^\infty$  estimates on  $1 - |u|^2$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ .

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2

As we mentioned, the proof relies on the Pohozaev identity as in (2.7) or as in [BMR], combined with Lemma 3.2.

### 5.1 Interior case

**Case**  $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 > (\sum_i d_i)^2$

We denote by  $B_R$  the ball centered at  $x_0$  of radius  $R$ . Let us apply Lemma 2.2 with  $r = l$  and  $R \leq Kl/2$  so that  $B_{2R} \setminus B_{l/2}$  intersects no  $B_i$ . Denoting  $f(s) = \int_{B_s \cap \Omega} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2}$ , and combining (2.7) and (2.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 + \int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} ds \\ \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 + \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |f_\varepsilon|^2 + l \log \frac{R}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_l)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_l)}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} ds \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \\ + \frac{R^2}{4} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

But, in  $B_R \setminus B_l$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 \leq |\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla \rho|^2 + \rho^2 |\nabla \theta + \nabla \psi|^2.$$

We claim that

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 - CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - C \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \rho^2 |\nabla \theta + \nabla \psi|^2 \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C.$$

Assuming this holds, let us insert this relation into (5.1), and use (1.16). We are led to

$$(5.3) \quad \int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} ds \leq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla \theta|^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_l)} + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C.$$

Now observe that for all  $s \geq l$ ,

$$f(s) \geq \int_{B_l} \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \geq 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - o(1)$$

in view of (2.31). Thus, using (the relation  $x \leq x^2 + 1$ ), (3.15), and inserting this into (5.3), we obtain the relation

$$\left( 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - o(1) \right) \log \frac{R}{l} \leq 2\pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l} + C + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

But we assumed  $(\sum_{i=1}^k d_i)^2 < \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2$ , and because these involve integers, the difference is at least 1. We deduce

$$(5.4) \quad \log \frac{R}{l} - C \leq CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

where again the constants depend only on  $\beta$ ,  $M$ ,  $\Omega$ , and  $g$ .

We then distinguish two cases. Either the first term in the right-hand side  $CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$  is less than the second, in which case we deduce

$$\log \frac{R}{l} - C \leq Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and taking  $R = K_0 l / 2$  with  $K_0$  large enough ( $K_0$  thus depends only on  $\beta$ ,  $M$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $g$ ), we find

$$(5.5) \quad \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{C}{l^2 |\log \varepsilon|}.$$

In the other case,  $CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq l \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , taking again  $R = K_0 l / 2$ , we find

$$(5.6) \quad \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \frac{C}{R^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R}} = \frac{C}{K_0^2 l^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{K_0 l}}.$$

The theorem is thus proved in this case.

**Proof of (5.2)**

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can extend  $\psi$  inside  $B_l$  in such a way that  $\int_{B_R} |\nabla\psi|^2 \leq C \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla\psi|^2 \leq CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C$  (from Theorem 1). Then, using the fact that  $\int_{\partial B_R} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\nu} = \int_{\partial B_l} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\nu} = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \rho^2 |\nabla\theta + \nabla\psi|^2 &= \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \rho^2 |\nabla\theta|^2 + \rho^2 |\nabla\psi|^2 + 2 \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi + 2 \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} (\rho^2 - 1) \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi \\ &= \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \rho^2 |\nabla\theta|^2 + \rho^2 |\nabla\psi|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} (\rho^2 - 1) \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi + 2 \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\nu} (\psi - \psi_R) - 2 \int_{\partial B_l} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\nu} (\psi - \psi_l), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi_R$  and  $\psi_l$  are the averages of  $\psi$  on  $\partial B_R$  and  $\partial B_l$  respectively. On the other hand, by trace theorem and Theorem 1,  $\int_{\partial B_l} |\psi - \psi_l| \leq Cl \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(B_l)} \leq Cl^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + o(1)$ , while  $|\nabla\theta| \leq \frac{C}{l}$  on  $\partial B_l$ , thus

$$\left| \int_{B_l} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\nu} (\psi - \psi_l) \right| \leq Cl \log \frac{1}{l} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + C$$

and the same holds on  $\partial B_R$ . Arguing as in Lemma 4.4, we also have

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_l} (\rho^2 - 1) (|\nabla\theta|^2 + 2\nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\psi) \leq CR \log \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2} + C \leq CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + C.$$

Using (1.15) again, we deduce that (5.2) holds.

**Case**  $(\sum_{i=1}^k d_i)^2 > \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2$

We start again from (2.7) and (2.8) and are led to

$$(5.7) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 + \frac{(1 - |u|^2)^2}{2\varepsilon^2} \leq \int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} ds + \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 + \frac{R^2}{4} \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |f_\varepsilon|^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_l)}.$$

First, using (3.16) and Theorem 1, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{B_R \setminus B_r} \left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\theta + \psi) \right|^2 \leq C + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

On the other hand, from (5.2), we have

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla u|^2 \geq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla\theta|^2 - CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - C.$$

But if we combine this with (5.8), we must have

$$\int_{B_R \setminus B_l} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \right|^2 \geq \int_{B_R \setminus B_l} |\nabla\theta|^2 - CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 - C.$$

Combining this with (3.15) and inserting it and (5.8) into (5.7), we are led to

$$(5.9) \quad 2\pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l} \leq \int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} ds + C + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Meanwhile for all  $s \leq R$ ,

$$(5.10) \quad f(s) = \int_{\cup_{i=1}^k B_i} \frac{(1-|u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \int_{B_s \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k B_i} \frac{(1-|u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 + o\left(R^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2\right) + o(1)$$

where we have used (2.31) and (1.16). After integrating, this yields

$$2\pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \right)^2 \log \frac{R}{l} \leq \left( 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 + o(1)R^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + o(1) \right) \log \frac{R}{l} + C + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + Cl \log \frac{R}{l} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

and hence

$$2\pi \left( \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \right)^2 - 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 \leq CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + Cl \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{C}{\log \frac{R}{l}} + o(1).$$

Since the left-hand side is at least equal to  $2\pi$ , we find, if  $R = K_0 l/2$  with  $K_0$  large enough, that

$$C \leq CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + Cl \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}.$$

Distinguishing two cases as previously, we may conclude that

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \min \left( \frac{C}{l^2 |\log \varepsilon|}, \frac{C}{l^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{l}} \right).$$

## 5.2 Boundary case

The proof is roughly the same. Assuming  $R < \frac{1}{2}$ , we may use (2.20) or (2.23) to get in any case

$$(5.11) \quad \int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} \leq C \int_{(B_R \setminus B_l) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(1-|u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + CR \left( 1 + \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).$$

Arguing as in the interior case and using (3.17), we get

$$\int_{(B_R \setminus B_l) \cap \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{(1-|u|^2)^2}{\varepsilon^2} \leq C + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2$$

and also  $\int_l^R \frac{f(s)}{s} \geq (2\pi \sum_i d_i^2 - o(1)) \log \frac{R}{l} \geq \pi \log \frac{R}{l}$ . Inserting into (5.11), we find

$$\pi \log \frac{R}{l} \leq C + CR^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + R\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|} \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}$$

and arguing as above, we deduce, taking  $R = K_0 l/2$  with  $K_0$  large enough, that  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \min\left(\frac{C}{R^2 |\log \varepsilon|}, \frac{C}{R^2 \log^2 \frac{1}{R}}\right)$  from which the result follows.

Applying Theorem 2 in the case  $f_\varepsilon = 0$  i.e. for a solution of Ginzburg-Landau, we obtain Corollary 1.1.

## References

- [AB] L. Almeida and F. Bethuel, Topological methods for the Ginzburg-Landau Equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **77** (1998), no. 1, 1–49.
- [BBH] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis and F. Hélein, *Ginzburg-Landau vortices*, Birkhäuser, (1994).
- [BCPS] P. Bauman, C.N. Chen, D. Phillips and P. Sternberg, Vortex annihilation in nonlinear heat flow for Ginzburg-Landau systems, *European J. Appl. Math.* **6** (1995), no. 2, 115–126.
- [BOS] F. Bethuel, G. Orlandi, and D. Smets, Quantization and motion law for Ginzburg-Landau vortices, preprint.
- [BR] F. Bethuel and T. Rivière, Vortices for a Variational Problem Related to Superconductivity, *Annales IHP, Analyse non linéaire* **12** (1995), 243-303.
- [BMR] H. Brezis, F. Merle and T. Rivière, Quantisation Effects for  $-\Delta u = u(1 - |u|^2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **126** (1994), 35-58.
- [CM1] M. Comte and P. Mironescu, Remarks on nonminimizing solutions of a Ginzburg-Landau type equation, *Asym. Anal* **13** (1996), no. 2, 199-215.
- [CM2] M. Comte and P. Mironescu, Minimizing Properties of Arbitrary Solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau Equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **129** (1999), no. 6, 1157-1169.
- [Li] F.H. Lin, Some Dynamical Properties of Ginzburg-Landau Vortices, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **49** (1996), 323-359.
- [M] P. Mironescu, Les minimiseurs locaux pour l'équation de Ginzburg-Landau sont à symétrie radiale. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* **323** (1996), no 6, 593–598.
- [RS] M. Röeger and R. Schätzle, On a modified conjecture of DeGiorgi, preprint.
- [RuS] J. Rubinstein and P. Sternberg, On the slow motion of vortices in the Ginzburg-Landau heat-flow, *SIAM J. Appl. Math* **26** (1995), 1452-1466.
- [Sa1] E. Sandier, Lower bounds for the energy of unit vector fields and applications. *J. Funct. Anal.* **152** (1998), no. 2, 379–403; Erratum, *Ibid*, **171** (2000), no. 1, 233.
- [Sa2] E. Sandier, Locally minimising solutions of  $-\Delta u = u(1 - |u|^2)$  in  $R^2$ . *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **128** (1998), no. 2, 349–358.
- [SS1] E. Sandier and S. Serfaty, Gamma-convergence of gradient flows and application to Ginzburg-Landau, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **57** (2004), no. 12, 1627–1672.
- [SS2] E. Sandier and S. Serfaty, *Vortices in the Magnetic Ginzburg-Landau Model*, monograph to appear.
- [S1] S. Serfaty, Vortex-collisions and energy-dissipation rates in the Ginzburg-Landau heat flow, Part II: The dynamics, to appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*

- [S2] S. Serfaty, Stability in 2D Ginzburg-Landau Passes to the Limit, *Indiana Univ. Math. J* **54** (2005), no. 1, 199–222.
- [To] Y. Tonegawa, Phase field model with a variable chemical potential, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A* **132** (2002), no. 4, 993-1019.