Large deviation theory-based adaptive importance sampling for rare events in high dimensions

Shanyin Tong^1

jointly with: Georg Stadler²

¹Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, NY ²Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, NY

Feb 29, 2024 SIAM Conference on Uncertainty Quantification (UQ24), Trieste, Italy

Registration and travel support for this presentation was provided by National Science Foundation.

Outline

Introduction to rare event estimation

Large deviation theory-based adaptive importance sampling

Numerical experiments

Summary

What are rare events?



Examples:

- Material failure (bridge/tool/plane stress fractures)
- Extreme weather (tornadoes, hurricanes, heat waves)
- Rogue waves, tsunamis, earthquakes
- Financial sector/bank/company collapse
- Pandemics

Why study rare events?



Common to all these:

- Rare but high impact
- Prob. 10^{-3} or 10^{-7} : big difference
- Long simulation time
- Control and mitigation

We need:

- accurate
- efficient

probability estimation

Rare event probability estimation

 $F: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

- θ : random parameter with PDF π_{pr} (high-dimensional)
- ▶ *F*: parameter-to-event map (involve PDE solves)

Rare event probability estimation

 $F: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$



▶ *F*: parameter-to-event map (involve PDE solves) observed wave height



Rare event probability estimation

 $F: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$



▶ *F*: parameter-to-event map (involve PDE solves) observed wave height



Target: Probability of wave height \geq a given threshold z:

 $\mathbb{P}(F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z)$

Why are rare events difficult to estimate?

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \coloneqq \mathbb{P}(F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z)$$

• Measure of rare event set $\mathcal{F} := \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z \}$



Why are rare events difficult to estimate?

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \coloneqq \mathbb{P}(F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i), \text{ with samples } \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \pi_{\mathsf{pr}}$$

- Measure of rare event set $\mathcal{F} \coloneqq \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z \}$
- Standard Monte Carlo methods \Longrightarrow fail when $p_{\mathcal{F}} \ll 1$



Why are rare events difficult to estimate?

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \coloneqq \mathbb{P}(F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i), \text{ with samples } \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \pi_{\mathsf{pr}}$$

- Measure of rare event set $\mathcal{F} \coloneqq \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z \}$
- Standard Monte Carlo methods \Longrightarrow fail when $p_{\mathcal{F}} \ll 1$



Main Challenges:

- I. Large sample size
- II. High-dim random space
- III. Expensive eval

Methods for rare event estimation



Sampling:

- Importance sampling, adaptive importance sampling, cross-entropy [Kahn, Marshall, Rubinstein, ...]
- Sequential sampling, splitting [Au, Beck, Papaioannou, ...]

Asymptotic approximation:

- Reliability methods [Breitung, Hasofer, Lind, ...]
- Large deviation theory [Grafke, Vanden-Eijnden, ...]

Methods for rare event estimation



Sampling:

- Importance sampling, adaptive importance sampling, cross-entropy [Kahn, Marshall, Rubinstein, ...]
- Sequential sampling, splitting [Au, Beck, Papaioannou, ...]

Asymptotic approximation:

- Reliability methods [Breitung, Hasofer, Lind, ...]
- Large deviation theory [Grafke, Vanden-Eijnden, ...]

Outline

Introduction to rare event estimation

Large deviation theory-based adaptive importance sampling

Numerical experiments

Summary

Large deviation theory [Tong, Vanden-Eijnden, Stadler (2021)]

Under regularity assumptions on F and rate function I (depends only on π_{pr}),

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx C(z) \exp(-I(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)) \text{ as } z \to \infty, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$



- $\log(\text{decay rate of } p_{\mathcal{F}})$ is $I(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star})$
- C(z): sub-exp. prefactor
- I(θ): Legendre transform of cumulant generating fct of θ

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sup_{\eta} \eta^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta} - \log \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\mathsf{pr}}} [\exp(\eta^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

•
$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{\theta} \|^2$$
 for Gaussian $\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n)$

Large deviation theory [Tong, Vanden-Eijnden, Stadler (2021)]

Under regularity assumptions on F and rate function I (depends only on π_{pr}),

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx C(z) \exp(-I(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star})) \text{ as } z \to \infty, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star} \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}} I(\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$



 $\pmb{\theta}^{\star}$ dominates $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ in \mathcal{F}

- LDT optimizer
- Reliability: most probable/design point
- Bayesian: MAP point
- SDE/SPDE: instanton/saddle point

LDT optimization for Gaussian $\boldsymbol{ heta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n)$

1

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star} \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{\theta} \|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq z \}$$



- (PDE-) constrained optimization problem
- Solved by adjoint method
- Mass concentrate near θ^{\star}

LDT optimization for Gaussian $\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n)$

1

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star} \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{\theta} \|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq z \}$$



- (PDE-) constrained optimization problem
- Solved by adjoint method
- Mass concentrate near $heta^{\star}$
- Good initial biasing density $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star}, \boldsymbol{I}_n)$

LDT optimization for Gaussian $\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n)$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star} \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{\theta} \|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq z \}$$



- (PDE-) constrained optimization problem
- Solved by adjoint method
- Mass concentrate near θ^{\star}
- Good initial biasing density $\mathcal{N}({m{ heta}}^\star, {m{I}}_n)$
- Remove exponential term in variance

LDT optimization for Gaussian $\boldsymbol{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}_n)$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star} \coloneqq \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{\theta} \|^{2}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} : F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq z \}$$



- (PDE-) constrained optimization problem
- Solved by adjoint method
- Mass concentrate near θ^{\star}
- Good initial biasing density $\mathcal{N}({m{ heta}}^\star, {m{I}}_n)$
- Remove exponential term in variance

Challege I completed: Find a good initialization to reduce sample size!

What is the optimal biasing density?

Importance sampling (IS): sample from a biasing density $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^N \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \pi_{\text{bias}}$

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \text{ with } w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) = \frac{\pi_{\mathsf{pr}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)}{\pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)}$$



What is the optimal biasing density?

Importance sampling (IS): sample from a biasing density $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^N \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \pi_{\text{bias}}$

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \text{ with } w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) = \frac{\pi_{\mathsf{pr}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)}{\pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)}$$



• Optimal biasing density $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \coloneqq \frac{1}{p_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi_{\mathsf{pr}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

"posterior" if view $\mathbb{1}_\mathcal{F}(heta)$ as likelihood

What is the optimal biasing density?

Importance sampling (IS): sample from a biasing density $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^N \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \pi_{\text{bias}}$

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) \text{ with } w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) = \frac{\pi_{\mathsf{pr}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)}{\pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)}$$



• Optimal biasing density $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \coloneqq \frac{1}{p_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi_{\mathsf{pr}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

"posterior" if view $\mathbb{1}_\mathcal{F}(heta)$ as likelihood

- $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ is unknown (target) \Longrightarrow impractical
- Approximate $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ with Gaussian

Cross-entropy method

Cross-entropy method (CE):

- min Kullback-Leibler divergence between $\pi_\mathcal{F}$ and Gaussian $\pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\cdot; v)$
- use evaluations from previous $\theta_i \sim \pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\cdot; v')$ (iterative)

Optimal mean μ^{\star} and covariance Σ^{\star} are high-dimensional:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}') \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')}, \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\star} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star})(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')}$$

Large sample size required for estimating optimal parameter

Cross-entropy method

Cross-entropy method (CE):

- min Kullback-Leibler divergence between $\pi_\mathcal{F}$ and Gaussian $\pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\cdot; v)$
- use evaluations from previous $\theta_i \sim \pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\cdot; v')$ (iterative)

Optimal mean μ^{\star} and covariance Σ^{\star} are high-dimensional:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}') \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')}, \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\star} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star})(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')}$$

- Large sample size required for estimating optimal parameter
- \blacktriangleright Intrinsic low-dim \Longrightarrow No need of changes in every direction

Cross-entropy method

Cross-entropy method (CE):

- min Kullback-Leibler divergence between $\pi_\mathcal{F}$ and Gaussian $\pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\cdot; v)$
- use evaluations from previous $\theta_i \sim \pi_{\mathsf{bias}}(\cdot; v')$ (iterative)

Optimal mean μ^{\star} and covariance Σ^{\star} are high-dimensional:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}') \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')}, \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\star} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star})(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}) w(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{v}')}$$

- Large sample size required for estimating optimal parameter
- \blacktriangleright Intrinsic low-dim \Longrightarrow No need of changes in every direction

Our contributions:

- Build a low-dim subspace using local info of θ^*
- Reweigh samples and evaluations to save costs

II. LDT-informed dimension reduction

Low-dimensional subspace includes

- normal direction $\hat{n} = \nabla F(\theta^*) / \|\nabla F(\theta^*)\|$
- dominating eigvec of $H_{\text{LDT}} \coloneqq (I_n \hat{n}\hat{n}^{\mathsf{T}}) \nabla^2 F(\theta^{\star}) (I_n \hat{n}\hat{n}^{\mathsf{T}})$ (large curvatures)



Inspired by asymptotic approx/SORM:

$$C(z) \approx \left[2\pi \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\star}\|^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 - \lambda \lambda_{i} \left(\boldsymbol{H}_{\text{LDT}}\right)\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $\lambda_i(\cdot)$: *i*th eigval
- Coincides with local likelihood-informed subspace from Bayesian inversion

II. LDT-informed dimension reduction

Low-dimensional subspace includes

- normal direction $\hat{n} = \nabla F(\theta^*) / \|\nabla F(\theta^*)\|$
- dominating eigvec of $H_{LDT} := (I_n \hat{n}\hat{n}^{\mathsf{T}}) \nabla^2 F(\theta^*) (I_n \hat{n}\hat{n}^{\mathsf{T}})$ (large curvatures)



- Randomized SVD or Lanczos
- Only need Hessian-applies
- Apply CE only in this low-dim subspace
- Use $\pi_{\rm pr}$ in remaining orthogonal space

II. LDT-informed dimension reduction

Low-dimensional subspace includes

- normal direction $\hat{n} = \nabla F(\theta^*) / \|\nabla F(\theta^*)\|$
- dominating eigvec of $H_{LDT} := (I_n \hat{n}\hat{n}^{\mathsf{T}}) \nabla^2 F(\theta^*) (I_n \hat{n}\hat{n}^{\mathsf{T}})$ (large curvatures)



- Randomized SVD or Lanczos
- Only need Hessian-applies
- Apply CE only in this low-dim subspace
- Use $\pi_{\rm pr}$ in remaining orthogonal space

Challenge II completed: Build a low-dim subspace to tackle high dim!

III. Multiple importance sampling

MIS: Reweigh all previous samples and evaluations (as from a mixture distribution)

$$p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx \frac{1}{JM} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{F}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{(j)}) w_{\text{MIS}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{(j)})$$

Recompute weights:
$$w_{\text{MIS}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i^{(j)}) \coloneqq \frac{J}{\sum_{j'=1}^J 1/w_{j'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_i^{(j)})}$$

Challenge III completed: MIS to reuse evaluations and save costs!







- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^*$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives



- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^*$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives
- 3. Adaptive IS: initialization



- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^*$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives
- 3. Adaptive IS: initialization
 - 3.1 Sample from current biasing density



- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^{\star}$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives
- 3. Adaptive IS: initialization
 - $3.1\,$ Sample from current biasing density
 - 3.2 CE to update μ^{\star} and Σ^{\star}



- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^{\star}$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives
- 3. Adaptive IS: initialization
 - $3.1\,$ Sample from current biasing density
 - 3.2 CE to update μ^{\star} and Σ^{\star}
 - 3.3 Repeat



- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^{\star}$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives
- 3. Adaptive IS: initialization
 - $3.1\,$ Sample from current biasing density
 - 3.2 CE to update μ^{\star} and Σ^{\star} , reuse samples
 - 3.3 Repeat



- 1. LDT optimization $\Rightarrow \theta^*$
- 2. Build low-dim subspace using local derivatives
- 3. Adaptive IS: initialization
 - $3.1\,$ Sample from current biasing density
 - 3.2 CE to update μ^{\star} and $\Sigma^{\star},$ reuse samples

3.3 Repeat

4. Estimate $p_{\mathcal{F}}$ using MIS and all previous eval

Outline

Introduction to rare event estimation

Large deviation theory-based adaptive importance sampling

Numerical experiments

Summary

Quadratic map

$$F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \coloneqq -\frac{5}{4}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} = [\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n], \quad p_{\mathcal{F}} \coloneqq \mathbb{P}(F(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge z)$$

Relative root-mean-square error after $\boldsymbol{5}$ iterations, \boldsymbol{M} samples per iteration



LAIS: insensitive to dimension & target probability $p_{\mathcal{F}}$

Extreme tsunami estimation

Target: Estimate $\mathbb{P}(\text{tsunami height} \ge z[m])$



Extreme tsunami estimation - Comparison with iCEred¹



Relative error

• $p_{\mathcal{F}} \approx 10^{-4}$

- each PDE solve: $\approx 10 \text{min}$
- MC (10% error): 10^6 SWE solves

Number of adjoint (extra) PDE solves:

- ► LAIS: *O*(1) ≈ 20
- iCEred: O(N)

¹Uribe, Felipe, Iason Papaioannou, Youssef M. Marzouk, and Daniel Straub, *Cross-entropy-based importance sampling with failure-informed dimension reduction for rare event simulation*. JUQ (2021)

Outline

Introduction to rare event estimation

Large deviation theory-based adaptive importance sampling

Numerical experiments

Summary

Main takeaways

LAIS: Efficient for rare event probability estimation

- insensitive to extremeness and dimensions
- works for high-dim random space and expensive evaluations
- Connection to reliability methods and Bayesian inverse problems

Main takeaways

LAIS: Efficient for rare event probability estimation

- insensitive to extremeness and dimensions
- works for high-dim random space and expensive evaluations
- Connection to reliability methods and Bayesian inverse problems

Extensions:

- Infinite dim: SDE/SPDEs [Statistics and Computing (2023)]
 MS 223: Friday 5:30-5:55PM, Timo Schorlepp: Scalable Methods for Computing Sharp Extreme Event Probabilities in Infinite-Dimensional Stochastic Systems
- Non-Gaussian: triangular maps/normalizing flows/diffusion models (ongoing)
- ▶ Risk control: optimization under rare chance constraints [SIOPT (2022)]
- Digital twin: MS169: Thursday 5:30-5:55PM, Georg Stadler: Rare Event Estimation in Complex Physics Models

References

- S. Tong and G. Stadler, Large deviation theory-based adaptive importance sampling for rare events in high dimensions, SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification 11.3 (2023)
- T. Schorlepp, S. Tong, T. Grafke and G. Stadler, Scalable methods for computing sharp extreme event probabilities in infinite-dimensional stochastic systems, Statistics and Computing (2023)
- S. Tong, E. Vanden-Eijnden and G. Stadler, *Estimating earthquake-induced tsunami* height probabilities without sampling, Pure and Applied Geophysics (2023)
- S. Tong, A. Subramanyam and V. Rao, *Optimization under rare chance constraints*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 32.2 (2022)
- S. Tong, E. Vanden-Eijnden and G. Stadler, Extreme event probability estimation using PDE-constrained optimization and large deviation theory, with application to tsunamis, CAMCoS (2021)