

We consider a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  on which  $R^d$  acts as a group  $\{\tau_x : x \in R^d\}$  of measure preserving transformations.  $P$  is assumed to be ergodic under this action. Let the function  $H(p, \omega) : R^d \times \Omega \rightarrow R$  be convex in  $p$  for each  $\omega$ .  $L$  is the conjugate function

$$L(y, \omega) = \sup_p [\langle p, y \rangle - H(p, \omega)]$$

We will assume some growth and regularity conditions on  $H$  or equivalently on  $L$ .

For any given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we consider the solution  $u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(t, x, \omega)$  of equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta u_\epsilon + H(\nabla u_\epsilon, \tau_{\frac{x}{\epsilon}} \omega), \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times R^d,$$

with the initial condition  $u_\epsilon(0, x) = f(x)$ .

We wish to show that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  the solutions  $u_\epsilon$  of 1 converge to the solution of an effective equation

$$(2) \quad u_t = \overline{H}(\nabla u)$$

with the same initial condition  $u_\epsilon(0, x) = f(x)$

We note that the solution  $u_\epsilon(t, x, \omega)$  of (1) is equal to  $\epsilon v_\epsilon(\frac{t}{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}, \omega)$ , the rescaled version of  $v_\epsilon$  that solves

$$\frac{\partial v_\epsilon}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta v_\epsilon + H(\nabla v_\epsilon, \tau_x \omega), \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times R^d,$$

with  $v_\epsilon(0, x) = \epsilon^{-1} f(\epsilon x)$ .

We now construct the convex function  $\overline{H}(p)$  that appears in (2). The translation group  $\{\tau_x : x \in R^d\}$  acting on  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  will have infinitesimal generators  $\{\nabla_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\}$  in the coordinate directions and the corresponding Laplace operator  $\Delta = \sum_i \nabla_i^2$ . For reasonable choices of  $b(\omega) : \Omega \rightarrow R^d$ , the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_b = \frac{1}{2} \Delta + \langle b(\omega), \nabla \rangle$$

will define a Markov process on  $\Omega$ . Construction of this Markov Process is not difficult. Given a starting point  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we define  $b(x, \omega) : R^d \rightarrow R^d$  by  $b(x, \omega) = b(\tau_x \omega)$ . This allows us to define the diffusion  $Q_{0,0}^{b,\omega}$ , starting from 0 at time 0, in the random environment that corresponds to the generator

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta + \langle b(x, \omega), \nabla \rangle$$

The diffusion is then lifted to  $\Omega$  by evolving  $\omega$  randomly in time by the rule  $\omega(t) = \tau_{x(t)} \omega$ . The induced measure  $P^{b,\omega}$  defines the Markov process on  $\Omega$  that corresponds to  $\mathcal{A}_b$ . The problem of finding the invariant measures for the process  $P^{b,\omega}$  with generator  $\mathcal{A}_b$  on  $\Omega$  is very hard and nearly impossible to solve. However, if we can find a density  $\phi > 0$  such

that  $\phi dP$  is an invariant ergodic probability measure for  $\mathcal{A}_b$ , then one has by the ergodic theorem,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t F(\omega(s)) ds = \int_{\Omega} F(\omega) \phi(\omega) dP$$

a.e  $P^{b,\omega}$  or in  $L^1(P^{b,\omega})$  for almost all  $\omega$  with respect to  $P$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the space of essentially bounded maps from  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and by  $\mathcal{D}$  the space of probability densities  $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  relative to  $P$ , with  $\phi, \nabla\phi, \nabla^2\phi$  essentially bounded and  $\phi$  in addition having a positive essential lower bound. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the following subset of  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{D}$

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ (b, \phi) : \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi = \nabla \cdot (b \phi) \right\}.$$

Here we assume that the equation  $\frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi = \nabla \cdot (b \phi)$  is satisfied in the weak sense. We define the convex function  $\overline{H}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$(3) \quad \overline{H}(p) = \sup_{(b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}} [ \langle p, E^P[b(\omega) \phi(\omega)] \rangle - E^P[L(b(\omega), \omega) \phi(\omega)] ]$$

The corresponding variational solution of (2) is given by

$$u(t, x) = \sup_y [f(y) - t \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{y-x}{t}\right)],$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is related to  $\overline{H}$  by the duality relation

$$I(x) = \sup_p [\langle p, x \rangle - \overline{H}(p)]$$

We will show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u_{\epsilon}(t, x) = u(t, x)$$

The first step in establishing the lower bound is the variational representation of solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all bounded maps  $c(s, x)$  from  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\sup_{s, x} \|c(s, x)\| < \infty$ . Consider the diffusion  $Q_{0,x}^c$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  starting from  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  at time 0 with time dependent generator

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta + c(s, x) \cdot \nabla$$

in the time interval  $[0, t]$ . For each  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$  we consider

$$v_c(t, x, \omega) = E^{Q_{0,x}^c} \left( f(x(t)) - \int_0^t L(c(s, x(s)), \tau_{x(s)} \omega) ds \right),$$

If

$$v(t, x, \omega) = \sup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} v_c(t, x, \omega)$$

then  $v$  is the solution of

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta v + H(\nabla v, \tau_x \omega)$$

with  $v(0, x) = f(x)$ .

There is a simple relation between  $v(t, y, \cdot)$  and  $v(t, 0, \cdot)$ . If we define  $f^y(x) = f(x+y)$ , then the solution of (1) with initial data  $v(0, x) = f^y(x)$  and  $\omega' = \tau_y \omega$  is given by

$$v^y(t, x, \omega') = v^y(t, x, \tau_y \omega) = v(t, x+y, \omega).$$

In particular,

$$(3) \quad v(t, y, \omega) = v^y(t, 0, \tau_y \omega).$$

The solution  $u_\epsilon$  of (1) with initial data  $f(x)$  is related to the solution  $v_\epsilon$  of (2) with initial data  $\epsilon^{-1}f(\epsilon x)$  by

$$u_\epsilon(t, x, \omega) = \epsilon v_\epsilon \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}, \omega \right).$$

We, therefore, obtain the following variational expression for  $u_\epsilon(t, x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon(t, x, \omega) &= \sup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} E^{Q_{0,x/\epsilon}^c} \left( f(\epsilon x(t/\epsilon)) - \epsilon \int_0^{t/\epsilon} L(c(s, x(s)), \tau_{x(s)} \omega) ds \right) \\ &= \sup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} E^{Q_{0,x}^{c,\epsilon}} [f(x(t)) - \xi_\epsilon(t)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_{0,x}^{c,\epsilon}$  is the diffusion on  $R^d$  starting from  $x$  corresponding to the generator

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \Delta + c(s, x) \cdot \nabla$$

i.e. almost surely with respect to  $Q_{0,x}^{c,\epsilon}$ ,  $x(t)$  satisfies

$$x(t) = x + \int_0^t c(s, x(s)) ds + \sqrt{\epsilon} \beta(t)$$

and

$$\xi_\epsilon(t) = \int_0^t L(c(s, x(s)), \tau_{\epsilon^{-1}x(s)} \omega) ds$$

Since the supremum over  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  is taken for each  $\omega$  one can choose  $c$  to depend on  $\omega$ . A special choice for  $c(t, x)$ , one that depends on  $\omega \in \Omega$  but not on  $t$ , is the choice  $c(t, x) = c(t, x, \omega) = c(x, \omega) = b(\tau_x \omega)$  with  $(b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}$ . With that choice we can consider either the process  $\{Q_{0,x}^{b,\omega}\}$  on  $R^d$  or the process  $\{P^{b,\omega}\}$  with values in  $\Omega$ . It is easy to see that for any  $y \in R^d$ , the translation map  $\hat{\tau}_y$  on  $C([0, T]; R^d)$  defined by  $x(\cdot) \rightarrow x(\cdot) + y$  has the property

$$Q_{0,y}^{b,\omega} = Q_{0,0}^{b,\tau_y \omega} \hat{\tau}_y^{-1},$$

which is essentially a restatement of (3). Since  $(b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}$ , by the ergodic theorem we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \int_0^{\frac{t}{\epsilon}} b(\omega(s))ds = t \int b(\omega)\phi(\omega)dP = t m(b, \phi)$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \int_0^{\frac{t}{\epsilon}} L(b(\omega(s)), \omega(s))ds = t \int L(b(\omega), \omega)\phi(\omega)dP = t h(b, \phi)$$

Both limits are valid in  $L^1(P^{b, \omega})$  for  $P$  almost all  $\omega$ . If we define  $\mathbf{A} \subset R^d \times R$  as

$$\mathbf{A} = \{(m(b, \phi), h(b, \phi)) : (b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

then

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\epsilon(t, 0, \omega) \geq [f(t m) - t h]$$

for every  $(m, h) \in \mathbf{A}$ . Therefore, for almost all  $\omega$  with respect to  $P$

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\epsilon(t, 0, \omega) &\geq \sup_{(m, h) \in \mathbf{A}} [f(t m) - t h] \\ &= \sup_{y \in R^d} (f(y) - t \mathcal{I}(\frac{y}{t})) \\ &= u(t, 0) \end{aligned}$$

This is a very weak form of convergence and work has to be done in order to strengthen it to locally uniform convergence.

The upper bound is first obtained for linear  $f$  and then extended to general  $f$ . By using the convex duality and the minimax theorem the right hand side of (3) is rewritten in terms of the dual problem. If we take  $f(x) = \langle p, x \rangle$ , we have established an asymptotic lower bound for  $u_\epsilon$ , which is the solution

$$u(t, x) = \langle p, x \rangle + t \overline{H}(p)$$

of (2) with  $u(0, x) = \langle p, x \rangle$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H}(p) &= \sup_{(b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}} E^P[\langle p, b(\omega) \rangle - L(b(\omega), \omega)\phi(\omega)] \\ &= \sup_{\phi} \sup_b \inf_{\psi} E^P[\langle p, b(\omega) \rangle - L(b(\omega), \omega) + \mathcal{A}_b \psi]\phi(\omega) \\ &= \sup_{\phi} \inf_{\psi} \sup_b E^P[\langle p, b(\omega) \rangle - L(b(\omega), \omega) + \mathcal{A}_b \psi]\phi(\omega) \\ &= \sup_{\phi} \inf_{\psi} \sup_b E^P[\langle p + \nabla \psi(\omega), b(\omega) \rangle - L(b(\omega), \omega) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi]\phi(\omega) \\ &= \sup_{\phi} \inf_{\psi} [H(p + (\nabla \psi)(\omega), \omega) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi] \\ &= \inf_{\psi} \sup_{\phi} [H(p + (\nabla \psi)(\omega), \omega) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi] \\ &= \inf_{\psi(\cdot)} \text{ess sup}_{\omega} [H(p + (\nabla \psi)(\omega), \omega) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta \psi)(\omega)]. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that

$$\inf_{\psi} E^P[\mathcal{A}_b \psi \phi] = -\infty$$

unless  $\phi dP$  is an invariant measure for  $\mathcal{A}_b$ , in which case it is 0. It follows that for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a "ψ" such that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta\psi)(\omega) + H(\theta + (\nabla\psi)(\omega), \omega) \leq \overline{H}(\theta) + \delta.$$

The "ψ" is a weak object and one has to do some work before we can use it as a test function and obtain the upper bound by comparison. The interchange of inf and sup that we have done freely needs justification.

We start with the formula

$$\overline{H}(p) = \sup_{(b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}} E^P[[< p, b(\omega) > -L(b(\omega), \omega)]\phi(\omega)]$$

If  $L(b, \omega)$  grows faster than linear in  $b$ , say like a power  $|b|^\alpha$  (uniformly in  $\omega$ ), then  $\overline{H}(p)$  is finite and grows at most like the conjugate power  $|p|^\alpha$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is an inconvenient set to work with, we rewrite this as

$$\overline{H}(p) = \sup_{\phi} \sup_b \inf_{\psi} E^P[[< p, b(\omega) > -L(b(\omega), \omega) + \mathcal{A}_b \psi]\phi(\omega)]$$

The inf over  $\psi$  is  $-\infty$  unless  $(b, \phi) \in \mathcal{E}$  in which case it is 0. We limit the sup over  $b$  to a bounded set  $\mathcal{B}_k = \{b : \|b\|_\infty \leq k\}$  and  $\phi$  to a set  $\mathcal{D}_r$  with  $\frac{1}{r} \leq \phi \leq r$  and  $|\nabla\phi| \leq r^2$ . We would then have

$$\overline{H}(p) \geq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}_k} \inf_{\psi} E^P[[< p, b(\omega) > -L(b(\omega), \omega) + \mathcal{A}_b \psi]\phi(\omega)]$$

We now are in a position to interchange the sup and inf in order to rewrite

$$\overline{H}(p) \geq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r} \inf_{\psi} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}_k} E^P[[< p, b(\omega) > -L(b(\omega), \omega) + \mathcal{A}_b \psi]\phi(\omega)]$$

We can carry out the sup over  $b$  for each  $\omega$  to obtain

$$\overline{H}(p) \geq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r} \inf_{\psi} E^P[[\frac{1}{2}(\Delta\psi)(\omega) + H_k((p + \nabla\psi)(\omega), \omega)]\phi(\omega)]$$

where

$$H_k(p, \omega) = \sup_{b:|b| \leq k} [< p, b > -L(b, \omega)]$$

After integration by parts

$$\overline{H}(p) \geq \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r} \inf_{\psi} E^P[[-\frac{1}{2} < (\nabla\psi)(\omega), \frac{\nabla\phi}{\phi}(\omega) > + H_k((p + \nabla\psi)(\omega), \omega)]\phi(\omega)]$$

We can again interchange the sup and inf to get

$$\overline{H}(p) \geq \inf_{\psi} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r} E^P \left[ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \langle (\nabla \psi)(\omega), \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi}(\omega) \rangle + H_k((p + \nabla \psi)(\omega), \omega) \right] \phi(\omega) \right]$$

In other words we have  $\psi_{k,r}$  such that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r$

$$E^P \left[ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \langle (\nabla \psi_k)(\omega), \frac{\nabla \phi}{\phi}(\omega) \rangle + H_k((p + \nabla \psi_k)(\omega), \omega) \right] \phi(\omega) \right] \leq \overline{H}(p) + \delta$$

While  $H_k$  will only grow linearly but the rate grows with  $k$  and it is not hard to see that because  $H_k \uparrow H$ ,  $\nabla \psi_{k,r}$  is an uniformly integrable sequence in  $k$ . We can take a weak limit to get  $W_r$  that satisfies  $E^P[W_r] = 0$ ,  $\nabla \times W_r = 0$ , and for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_r$

$$E^P \left[ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \langle W_r(\omega), \nabla \phi(\omega) \rangle + H((p + W_r)(\omega), \omega) \phi(\omega) \right] \right] \leq \overline{H}(p)$$

It is easy to see that, under suitable growth conditions on  $H$ ,  $W_r$  is bounded in  $L_\beta(P)$  for some  $\beta > 1$ , and if  $W$  is a weak limit, we have  $W \in L_\beta(P)$ ,  $E^P W = 0$ ,  $\nabla \times W = 0$  and

$$E^P \left[ \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \langle W(\omega), \nabla \phi(\omega) \rangle + H((p + W)(\omega), \omega) \phi(\omega) \right] \right] \leq \overline{H}(p)$$

for all  $\phi \in \cup_r \mathcal{D}_r$ , and therefore

$$ess \sup_{\omega} [H(p + W(\omega), \omega) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \cdot W)(\omega)] \leq \overline{H}(p)$$

If we define  $W(x, \omega) = W(\tau_x \omega)$ , then we can integrate it on  $R^d$  to get  $U(x, \omega)$  with  $U(0, \omega) = 0$  and  $\nabla U = W$  on  $R^d$ . Then for almost all  $\omega$

$$H(p + (\nabla U)(x, \omega)) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta U)(x, \omega) \leq \overline{H}(p)$$

on  $R^d$  as a distribution. Morally, by the ergodic theorem  $U$  will be sublinear and  $\langle p, x \rangle + U(x, \omega)$  can be used as test function to bound  $u_\epsilon$  with  $f(x) = \langle p, x \rangle$  with the help of the maximum principle. To actually do it one may have to mollify  $U$  and that can be done provided  $H$  is regular enough to transfer the convolution inside.